

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 66, No. 86

Thursday, May 3, 2001

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Availability of an Environmental Assessment for an Amendment to the Fishlake National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan To Change the Forage Utilization Standards

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Agriculture.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of an environmental assessment.

**SUMMARY:** The Fishlake National Forest proposes to amend the Forest Plan forage utilization guidelines. Supervisor Guy Pence (Responsible Official) has made available copies of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Amendment to the Fishlake National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. This amendment changes the forage utilization guidelines for riparian vegetation from percent of available forage utilized to residual stubble height. The amendment also modifies the use levels in upland areas. The Environmental Assessment is available for 30-day public review and comment. The notice and comment period is expected to end on June 1, 2001. This notice is required pursuant to National Forest System Land and Resource Management Planning regulations (36 CFR 219.35(b)).

**DATES:** In February of 1998, the Fishlake National Forest initiated scoping for a proposal to revise allotment management plans and to amend the Fishlake National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. In October of 2000, the Fishlake National Forest Supervisor decided to separate the documentation and analysis for the forest plan amendment. A new scoping notice was sent to the public on February 21, 2001. The Environmental Assessment is available for public comment beginning May 2, 2001. Comments will be accepted through

June 1, 2001. A decision is expected in June of 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the environmental assessment can be submitted to the Forest Supervisor at: Forest Supervisor, Fishlake National Forest, 115 East 900 North St., Richfield, UT 84701.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Grider, Range Specialist, at 435-865-3700 or Responsible Official: Guy Pence, Acting Forest Supervisor, 115 East 900 North St., Richfield, UT 84701.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** New guidelines are being proposed because scientific research indicates that residual stubble height offers a more accurate and more efficient measure of forage utilization. This is a non-significant amendment.

Dated: April 16, 2001.

**Guy W. Pence,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor, Fishlake National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 01-11041 Filed 5-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### April 2001 Sunset Reviews: Final Results and Revocation

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of five-year ("Sunset") reviews and revocation of antidumping duty orders: polyvinyl alcohol from the People's Republic of China (A-570-842), Japan (A-588-836), and Taiwan (A-583-824).

**SUMMARY:** On April 2, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on polyvinyl alcohol from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), Japan, and Taiwan (66 FR 17524). Because no domestic interested party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, the Department is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 14, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

##### Background

On May 14, 1996, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on polyvinyl alcohol from the PRC, Japan, and Taiwan. Pursuant to section 751(c) and 19 CFR part 351 in general, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders by publishing a notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register**, 66 FR 17524 (April 2, 2001). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of sunset reviews of these orders.

Because the Department did not receive any domestic interested party response to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, April 17, 2001, the Department notified the International Trade Commission on April 19, 2001, that it intended to issue a final determination revoking these antidumping duty orders.

##### Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), of the *Sunset Regulations*, if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the finding or order or terminating the suspended investigation. Because no domestic interested party filed a response to the notice of initiation, the Department finds that no domestic interested party

is participating in these reviews, and it is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

#### Effective Date of Revocations

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after May 14, 2001. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: April 27, 2001.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-11150 Filed 5-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-421-807]

#### Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 3, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Melissa Blackledge, Stephanie Arthur, or Robert James at (202) 482-3518, (202) 482-6312, or (202) 482-0649, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### The Applicable Statute and Regulations:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments

made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

#### Preliminary Determination

We preliminarily determine that certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from the Netherlands are being sold, or are likely to be sold, in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 733 of the Tariff Act. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

#### Case History

On December 4, 2000 the Department initiated antidumping investigations of hot-rolled steel from Argentina, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands, the People's Republic of China, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands, the People's Republic of China, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine, 65 FR 77568 (December 12, 2000). Since the initiation of these investigations the following events have occurred.

In its initiation notice the Department set aside a period for all interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. See 65 FR 77568. We received comments regarding product coverage as follows: from Duracell Global Business Management Group on December 11, 2000; from Energizer on December 15, 2000; from Bouffard Metal Goods Inc. and Truelove & MacLean, Inc. on December 18, 2000; from the Corus Group plc., which includes Corus Steel USA (CSUSA) and Corus Staal BV (Corus Staal), and Thomas Steel Strip on December 26, 2000; and from Rayovac Corporation on March 12, 2001.

On December 22, 2000, the Department issued a letter to interested parties in all of the concurrent HR products antidumping investigations, providing an opportunity to comment on the Department's proposed model matching characteristics and hierarchy. Comments were submitted by: petitioners (January 5, 2001); Corus Staal and CSUSA (January 3, 2001); Iscor Limited (Isacor), respondent in the South Africa investigation (January 3, 2001); and Zaporizhstal, respondent in the Ukraine investigation (January 3,

2001). Petitioners agreed with the Department's proposed characteristics and hierarchy of characteristics. Corus Staal and CSUSA suggested adding a product characteristic to distinguish prime merchandise from non-prime merchandise. Neither Iscor nor Zaporizhstal proposed any changes to either the list of product characteristics proposed by the Department or the hierarchy of those product characteristics but, rather, provided information relating to its own products that was not relevant in the context of determining what information to include in the Department's questionnaires. For purposes of the questionnaires subsequently issued by the Department to the respondents, no changes were made to the product characteristics or the hierarchy of those characteristics from those originally proposed by the Department in its letter dated December 22, 2000. With respect to Corus Staal's and CSUSA's request, the additional product characteristic suggested to distinguish prime from non-prime merchandise is unnecessary. The Department already asks respondents to distinguish prime from non-prime merchandise in field number 2.2 "Prime vs. Secondary Merchandise." See the Department's Antidumping Duty Questionnaire, at B-7 and C-7. These fields are used in the model-match program to prevent matches of prime merchandise to non-prime merchandise.

On December 28, 2000, the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department that it preliminarily determined that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by the reason of imports of the subject merchandise from Argentina, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands, the People's Republic of China, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine. See Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Argentina, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands, the People's Republic of China, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine, 66 FR 805 (January 4, 2001).

On January 4, 2001 the Department issued an antidumping questionnaire to the Corus Group plc., the sole producer of subject hot-rolled steel in the Netherlands. We requested that Corus Staal and CSUSA respond to section A (general information, corporate structure, sales practices, and merchandise produced), section B (home market or third-country sales), section C (U.S. sales), section D (cost of production/constructed value), and, if applicable, section E (cost of further