8202–OCR–SM. SSA uses form SSA–8202–F6 to conduct low-and middle-error-profile (LEP-MEP) telephone or face-to-face redetermination (RZ) interviews with Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients and representative payees. The information collected during the interview is used to

determine whether SSI recipients have met and continue to meet all statutory and regulatory requirements for SSI eligibility and whether they have been and are still receiving the correct payment amount. Form SSA-8202-OCR-SM (Optical Character Recognition-Self Mailer) collects information similar to that collected on Form SSA–8202–F6. However it is used exclusively in LEP RZ cases on a 6-year cycle. The respondents are recipients of SSI benefits or their representative payees.

	Respondents	Frequency response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated annual burden (hours)
SSA-8202-F6	920,000 800,000	1 1	18 9	276,000 120,000
Total Burden				396,000

8. Statement For Determining Continuing Eligibility for Supplemental Security Income Payments—0960-0416. SSA uses form SSA-8203-BK for higherror-profile (HEP) redeterminations. The information is normally completed in field offices by personal contact (faceto-face or telephone interview) using the automated Modernized SSI Claim System (MSSICS). The paper form is used only when a systems limitation prevents the interview from being completed on MSSICS. When the paper form is used, a tear-off sheet (Pages 7 and 8 of the form) is given to recipients at the conclusion of a face-to-face interview or is mailed to recipients at the completion of the telephone interview. The tear-off includes information about how, what, when, where, and why SSI recipients report when there is a change in income, resources, or living arrangements. The respondents are recipients of title XVI SSI benefits.

Number of Respondents: 920,000. Frequency of Response: 1.

Average Burden Per Response: 18 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden: 276,000 hours.

9. Summary of Evidence—0960–0430. The information on Form SSA–887 is used by State Disability Determination Services (DDS) to provide claimants with a list of medical/vocational reports pertaining to their disability. The form will aid claimants in reviewing the evidence in their folders and will be used by hearing officers in preparing for and conducting hearings. The respondents are State DDSs that make disability determinations.

Number of Respondents: 49,000. Frequency of Response: 1.

Average Burden Per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Average Burden: 12,250 hours.

10. Notice Regarding Substitution of Party Upon Death of Claimant—Reconsideration of Disability Cessation—0960–0351. The Social Security Administration uses the form SSA–770 to obtain information from substitute parties regarding their intention to pursue the appeals process for an individual who has died. The respondents are such parties.

Number of Respondents: 1,200. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 1

Average Burden Per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden: 200 hours. II. The information collections listed below have been submitted to OMB for clearance. Your comments on the information collections would be most useful if received by OMB and SSA within 30 days from the date of this publication. You can obtain a copy of the OMB clearance packages by calling the SSA Reports Clearance Officer on (410) 965–4145, or by writing to him at the address listed above.

1. Internet Direct Deposit
Application—0960—NEW. SSA uses
Direct Deposit/Electronic Funds
Transfer (DD/EFT) enrollment
information received from beneficiaries
to facilitate DD/EFT of their social
security benefits with a financial
institution. The respondents are Social
Security beneficiaries who use the
Internet to enroll in DD/EFT.

Number of Respondents: 3,485. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Average Burden: 581 hours. 2. Request To Have Supplemental Security Income Overpayment Withheld from My Social Security Benefits—0960–0549. Form SSA–730–U2 is used by SSA to confirm that a request has been made by a Social Security beneficiary for SSA to recover his/her SSI overpayment from title II benefits and that the request was made

voluntarily by the beneficiary. The respondents are Social Security beneficiaries who received SSI overpayments.

Number of Respondents: 10,000. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 5

ninutes.

Estimated Average Burden: 833 hours. 3. Farm Self-Employment Questionnaire—0960-0061. Section 211(a) of the Social Security Act requires the existence of a trade or business as a prerequisite for determining whether an individual or partnership may have "net earnings from self-employment." Form SSA-7156 elicits the information necessary to determine the existence of an agricultural trade or business and subsequent covered earnings for Social Security entitlement purposes. The respondents are applicants for Social Security benefits whose entitlement depends on whether the worker has covered earnings from self-employment as a farmer.

Number of Respondents: 47,500. Frequency of Response: 1. Average Burden Per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Average Burden: 7,917 hours.

Dated: May 4, 2001.

# Frederick W. Brickenkamp,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–11753 Filed 5–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 3659]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations; "Mies in Berlin"

**DEPARTMENT:** United States Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 [79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459], the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 [112 Stat. 2681 et seq.], Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999 [64 FR 56014], and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999 [64 FR 57920], as amended, I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibit "Mies in Berlin," imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects will be imported pursuant to loan agreements with foreign lenders. I also determine that the temporary exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at The Museum of Modern Art, in New York, NY, from on or about June 21, 2001, to on or about September 11, 2001, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these determinations is ordered to be published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of exhibit objects, contact Julianne Simpson, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202/619–6529). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 700, Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: May 2, 2001.

### Helena Kane Finn,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State. [FR Doc. 01–11821 Filed 5–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-08-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

Draft Environmental Impact Statement: Evansville, IN and Henderson, KY

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for the proposed I–69 corridor in the Evansville, Indiana and Henderson, Kentucky area.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Dirks, Environmental Specialist, Federal Highway Administration, 575 North Pennsylvania Street, Room 254, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204. Telephone: (317) 226–7492, Fax: (317) 226–7341, email: robert. dirks@fhwa. dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Indiana department of Transportation (INDOT), the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) and the Evansville Urban Transportation Study (EUTS), will prepare an EIS to determine a proposed route for the I-69 Corridor through the Evansville, Indiana-Henderson, Kentucky area, extending south from I-64 in Indiana to the Pennyrile Parkway in Kentucky. The proposed facility is anticipated to provide an interstate-type facility with two lanes in each direction (with the possibility of three lanes in each direction depending on forecasted traffic volumes) separated by a median. The study will build upon the previous Corridor 18 studies (the Feasibility Study, 1995; the Special Issues Study, 1997; and the Special Environmental Study, 2000), which identified a variety of environmental and location factors that must be considered prior to the construction of I-69 as an addition to the Interstate System. The EIS will discuss environmental, social, and economic impacts associated with the develoment of the proposed action.

Up to five (5) possible conceptual alternatives (including one (1) to the east and two (2) to the west of Evansville and Henderson, one (1) incorporating U.S. 41, and one (1) focusing on ITS (Intelligent Transportation Systems) strategies for existing US 41 and I–164 through Evansville and Henderson), as well as a no-build alternative, will be examined. The study will identify and consider

potential impacts the project may have on natural, cultural, historic and other environmental resources. Early coordination meetings will be held with federal, state, regional and local resource agencies. An environmental "footprint" will be developed at the outset of the study, and will be made available at the initial public information meetings. These early public meetings will be held to solicit input from citizens and local officials prior to the development of detailed alternatives. A formal scoping meeting will be scheduled with the appropriate resource agencies to review the purpose and need and the conceptual alternatives to be considered. A second interagency meeting will be held to review the selection of alternatives to be retained for detailed study. A third interagency review meeting will be held to review the selected action and conceptual mitigation. Utilizing input from these agencies, input received at public meetings, and information obtained from field review, alternatives will be developed along with preliminary cost estimates for each of the alternatives. This information, along with environmental investigations, will be presented at a second series of public information meetings for review and public comment.

Following evaluation of public comments, alternatives will be refined and evaluated, a preferred alternative may be identified, and a Draft EIS will be prepared. Public hearings will then be held in accordance with all State and Federal requirements. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the public hearings. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment. To ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action are addressed and that all significant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA contact at the address provided above.

State contacts

FHWA Division contacts

#### Indiana

Steve Cecil, Deputy Commissioner of Planning and Intermodal Transp., Indiana Department of Transportation, 100 North Senate Ave., Room N755, Indianapolis, IN 46204–2249; Phone: 317–232–5535; Fax: 317–232–0238; e-mail: scecil@indot.state.in.us.

John Baxter, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, 575 North Pennsylvania St., Room 254, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; Phone: 317–226–7475; Fax: 317–226–7341; e-mail: john.baxter@fhwa.dot.gov