U.S. Coast Guard vessel via siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator shall proceed as directed.

(3) The Captain of the Port may be contacted via U.S. Coast Guard Group Milwaukee on Channel 16, VHF–FM.

Dated: June 8, 2001.

#### M.R. DeVries,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Milwaukee.

[FR Doc. 01–16489 Filed 6–29–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 4910–15–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165 [CGD1-01-099]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zone; Swampscott July 2nd Fireworks, Swampscott, MA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the Swampscott July 2nd Fireworks, July 2, 2001 in Swampscott, MA. The safety zone will temporarily close all waters of Nahant Bay within a four hundred (400) yard radius of the fireworks barge. The safety zone prohibits entry into or movement within this portion of Nahant Bay and is needed to protect the maritime public from the hazards posed by a fireworks display.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8:30 p.m. July 2, 2001 until 10 p.m. on July 2, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Boston, 455 Commercial Street, Boston, MA between the hours of 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant (junior grade) David Sherry, Marine Safety Office Boston, Waterways Management Division, at 617–223–3000.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Regulatory History

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was not published for this regulation. Good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM and for making this regulation effective in less than 30 days after **Federal Register** publication. Conclusive information about this event was not provided to the Coast Guard until June

13, 2001, making it impossible to draft or publish a NPRM or a final rule 30 days in advance of its effective date. Any delay encountered in this regulation's effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to prevent traffic from transiting a portion of Nahant Bay, Swampscott, Massachusetts, and provide for the safety of life on navigable waters. Additionally, this temporary safety zone is only for an 1 hour 30 minute long local event and should have negligible impact on vessel transits due to the fact that vessels can safely transit around the zone and that they are not precluded from using any portion of the waterway except the safety zone area itself.

# **Background and Purpose**

This regulation establishes a safety zone on the waters of Nahant Bay within a four hundred (400) yard radius around the fireworks barge located at 42°29.81′ N, 070°54.95′. The safety zone is in effect from 8:30 p.m. July 2, 2001 to 10 p.m. July 2, 2001. This safety zone prohibits entry into or movement within this portion of Nahant Bay and is needed to protect the maritime public from the dangers posed by this event. Marine traffic may transit safely outside of the safety zone during the event. The Captain of the Port does not anticipate any negative impact on vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the effective period via local notice to mariners and marine information broadcasts.

# **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a portion of Nahant Bay during this event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant for several reasons: The minimal time that vessels will be restricted from the area, that vessels may safely transit outside of the safety zone, and advance notifications which will be made to the

local maritime community by marine information broadcasts.

# **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of Nahant Bay from 8:30 p.m. July 2, 2001 until 10 p.m. July 2, 2001. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: Vessel traffic can safely pass outside of the safety zone during the event, the event is limited in duration, and the Coast Guard will issue maritime advisories before the effective period widely available to users of Nahant Bay by marine information broadcasts.

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### Federalism

The Coast Guard analyzed this rule under EXECUTIVE ORDER 13132 and has determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

The Coast Guard analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not pose an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments. A rule with tribal implications has a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.lC, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping

requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01–099 to read as follows:

# §165.T01-099 Safety Zone: Swampscott July 2nd Fireworks, Swampscott, Massachusetts

- (a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Nahant Bay within a four hundred (400) yard radius of the fireworks barge at position 42°29.81′ N, 070°54.95′ W.
- (b) *Effective date*. This section is effective from 8:30 p.m. July 2, 2001 until 10 p.m. on July 2, 2001.
  - (c) Regulations.
- (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into or movement within this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Boston.
- (2) All vessel operators shall comply with the instructions of the COTP or the designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard on board Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, local, state, and federal law enforcement vessels.

Dated: 19 June, 2001.

# B.M. Salerno,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Boston, Massachusetts.

[FR Doc. 01–16488 Filed 6–29–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD09-01-033]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zone: Northcoast Rockin' & Roarin' Offshore Grand Prix, Lake Erie and Cleveland Harbor, Cleveland, OH

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary Safety Zone

during the Northcoast Rockin' & Roarin' Offshore Grand Prix, Lake Erie and Cleveland Harbor, Cleveland, OH, between 12:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. on Saturday, August 11 and Sunday, August 12, 2001. The event will involve approximately 90 race boats traveling at speeds up to 125 m.p.h. These Safety Zone regulations are necessary to ensure the safe navigation of vessels and the safety of life and property. This safety zone is intended to restrict vessel traffic from a portion of the Cleveland Harbor and Lake Erie.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 12:30 p.m. until 4:30 p.m. daily on Saturday, August 11 and on Sunday, August 12, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CDG09–01–033 and are available for copying at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Cleveland, 1055 East Ninth Street, Cleveland, Ohio, 44114 between 7 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant John Natale, Chief Port Operations Department, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office, 1055 East Ninth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44114; (216) 937–0111.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. The Coast Guard had insufficient time to comply with the time requirements for publishing an NPRM. Publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking would be contrary to the public interest because immediate action is necessary to prevent possible loss of life, injury, or damage to property due to spectator vessel coming into close proximity with racing boats traveling at excessive speeds.

#### **Background and Purpose**

During this event, approximately 90 boats ranging from 24' to 40' will participate in a series of races. The race course will be a 9.9 mile loop including the waters of Lake Erie and Cleveland Harbor. The race course will consist of a loop beginning at a point just east of the eastern end of the Cleveland Harbor breakwall, proceed in a northwesterly