from all people and housing units. This information includes age, sex, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, household relationship, and whether the residence is owned or rented. Data will be available down to the block level for many tabulations, but limited to the census tract level in cases where there are concerns with disclosure. Summaries also will be included for most other geographic areas, such as places, county subdivisions, ZIP Code tabulation areas and 106th congressional districts.

## Exhibit—Additional Information

This section provides additional information on how the Census 2000 CQR program will operate.

1. Where should a governmental unit submit a challenge for the Census 2000 CQR program?

Governmental units challenging the completeness or accuracy of the Census 2000 counts should submit their challenge in writing to: U.S. Census Bureau, Room 2002/2, Decennial Management Division, Count Question Resolution 4700 Silver Hill Road, Stop 7100, Washington, DC 20233–7100.

2. Will the Census Bureau make corrections to the census counts based on information submitted by governmental units?

The Census Bureau will make corrections if research indicates they are warranted. Our experience has shown that many of the questions received from the state, local, or tribal officials do not reflect errors in census counts. Questions may result from an incorrect or incomplete understanding of the procedures used to take the census. In other instances, questions about census counts reflect a state, local, or tribal official's reliance on different enumeration concepts, definitions, geographic assignments, and/or the currency of the information in comparison to the census. The Census Bureau's determination of whether a correction is necessary will be based on the quality and completeness of the information provided by state, local, and tribal governmental unit representatives and the results of the Census Bureau's review of the census records.

3. For what types of geographic entities can CQR challenge be submitted and which entities are eligible to submit a CQR challenge?

An executive official or other official acting on the behalf of a local or tribal government must submit the CQR challenge to the Census Bureau.

The Census Bureau will research and, if necessary, correct the counts for:

- Counties and statistically equivalent entities.
  - Minor civil divisions.
- Incorporated places, including consolidated cities.
- Census designated places in Hawaii and Puerto Rico only.
- Federally recognized American Indian reservations and off-reservation trust lands.
- American Indian tribal subdivisions.
- State recognized American Indian reservations (submitted by a state official).
- Alaska Native Regional Corporations.
- Statistical areas defined for American Indian tribes:
  - Tribal designated statistical areas;
- Alaska Native village statistical areas;
  - Oklahoma tribal statistical areas;
- State designated American Indian statistical areas (submitted by a state official); and
- Hawaiian home lands (submitted by a state official).

The Census Bureau will not process challenges for any other types of statistical or legally defined areas.

4. Will the Census Bureau incorporate corrections from the CQR process into the apportionment or redistricting data or subsequent data products?

The Census Bureau will not change the apportionment or redistricting counts to reflect corrections resulting from the CQR process. In accordance with the law, apportionment counts were delivered to the President on December 28, 2000, and the counts required for redistricting were delivered to the states by April 1, 2001.

CQR corrections also will not be incorporated in subsequent data products for Census 2000. This will allow the Census Bureau to maintain consistency between data products while maintaining the schedule for timely release of the data. However, the Census Bureau will issue a revised official Census 2000 population and housing unit counts for the affected governmental entity(es), maintain a list of CQR corrected areas on the American Factfinder, and will incorporate any corrections into its Postcensal Estimates program beginning in December 2002.

Dated: June 18, 2001.

### William G. Barron, Jr.,

Acting Director, Bureau of the Census.
[FR Doc. 01–16868 Filed 7–5–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 28–2001]

## Foreign-Trade Zone 110— Albuquerque, New Mexico Application for Expansion and Relocation

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board), by the City of Albuquerque, New Mexico, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 110, requesting authority to expand and relocate its general-purpose zone in Albuquerque, New Mexico, within the Albuquerque Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on June 28, 2001.

FTZ 110 was approved on October 30, 1984 (Board Order 279, 49 FR 44516, 11/7/84), along with Subzone 110A at the SP Pharmaceuticals facilities (approved as Summa Medical Corporation). The general-purpose zone project currently consists of 2 acres with an existing 50,000 square foot warehouse at 1414—12th Street, NW., in Albuquerque.

The applicant is requesting authority to relocate its general-purpose zone (2 acres) from its current location at 1414—12th Street in Albuquerque to 62 acres adjacent to the 2,300-acre Albuquerque International Sunport airport complex, between University Boulevard and Spirit Drive in Albuquerque. The new location is designed to serve the region's international and related logistics and cargo activities. The site is owned by the City and will be operated by the City's Aviation Department. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 4, 2001. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 19, 2001).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available

for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Albuquerque International Sunport Administrative Offices, Third Level, 2200 Sunport Boulevard SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: June 28, 2001.

#### Dennis Puccinelli.

Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 01–16977 Filed 7–5–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-421-805]

Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide From the Netherlands; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 8, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aramid fiber from the Netherlands. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter. The period of review (POR) is June 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999.

We received no comments from interested parties on our preliminary results. We have made no changes to the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results, where we found that sales of the subject merchandise were made below normal value. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Twaron is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 6, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Salkeld or Michael Grossman, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–2786.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

## **Background**

On March 8, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aramid fiber formed of poly para-phenylene terephthalamide (PPD-T aramid) from the Netherlands. See Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide from the Netherlands, 66 FR 13879 (March 8, 2001) (Preliminary Results). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Teijin Twaron BV (formerly Twaron Products V.o.F.), and its U.S. affiliate, Teijin Twaron USA, Inc. (formerly Twaron Products, Inc.) (collectively Twaron).

On February 8, 2001, the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) determined in the five-year (sunset) review that revoking the existing antidumping order on imports of PPD-T aramid from the Netherlands would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. As a result of the ITC's negative determination, the existing antidumping duty order on imports of this product was revoked retroactive to January 1, 2000. See Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order on Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terepthalamide from the Netherlands, 66 FR 14540 (March 13, 2001) (Revocation Notice). Therefore. our review covers sales of this merchandise to the United States during the period June 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999.

Interested parties did not submit case briefs nor did they request a hearing. There have been no changes since the *Preliminary Results*.

# **Scope of Review**

The products covered by this review are all forms of PPD-T aramid from the Netherlands. These consist of PPD-T aramid in the form of filament yarn (including single and corded), staple fiber, pulp (wet or dry), spun-laced and spun-bonded nonwovens, chopped fiber, and floc. Tire cord is excluded from the class or kind of merchandise

subject to this order. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 5402.10.3020, 5402.10.3040, 5402.10.6000, 5503.10.1000, 5503.10.9000, 5601.30.0000, and 5603.00.9000. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. Our written description of the scope remains dispositive.

#### **Final Results of Review**

We received no comments from interested parties on our preliminary results. In addition, we have determined that no changes to our analysis are warranted for purposes of these final results. The final weighted-average percentage margin for Twaron for the period June 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999 is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Twaron	1.03

#### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate by dividing the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales by the total entered value of those same sales. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct Customs to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise.

Furthermore, as a result of the ITC's negative sunset review determination with regard to PPD-T aramid from the Netherlands, the Department has revoked the antidumping duty order for this case, effective January 1, 2000, and we instructed the Customs Service to terminate suspension of liquidation for all entries of subject merchandise made on or after January 1, 2000. See Revocation Notice, 64 FR 14540. Therefore, we will not issue cash deposit instructions to Customs based on the results of this review.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's