

determinative in formulating this proposed Final Judgment. Accordingly, none are being filed with this Competitive Impact Statement.

Dated: June 20, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,
Salvatore Massa,
Wisconsin Bar No. 1029907
Douglas Rathbun,
Trial Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice,
Antitrust Division, Transportation, Energy
and Agriculture Section, Suite 500, 325
Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC
20530, (202) 307-6351

Certificate of Mailing

I, Salvatore Massa, hereby certify that, on June 20, 2001, I caused the foregoing document to be mailed on defendants Signature Flight Support Corporation, Ranger Aerospace Corporation and Aircraft Service International Group, Inc., by having a copy mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, to:

William R. Norfolk, Sullivan &
Cromwell, 125 Broad Street, New
York, NY 10004
James H. Mutchnik, Kirkland & Ellis,
200 East Randolph Dr., Chicago, IL
60601

Salvatore Massa

[FR Doc. 01-17479 Filed 7-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

process heater burners with NO_x emissions in the 5-10 ppm range in a refinery process heater and to assist in the acceleration of burner vendors' commercial development of these burners by observing flame interaction, heat flux, tramp air, and other effects on NO_x emissions. The activities to be carried out include the collection, exchange, and analysis of commercial unit data, and development of correlations or other predictive methods based on available or readily measurable variables.

Participation in this project will remain open until the termination of the Agreement for PERF Project No. 2000-03, and the participants intend to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership of the project. Information regarding participation in this project may be obtained by contacting Dr. Colin G. Grieves, Manager, Environmental Management, BP Amoco Naperville Complex, 150 W. Warrenville Road, Mail Code H-7, Naperville, IL 60563-8469.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-17477 Filed 7-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

having a QA program approved by the NRC to satisfy the provisions of 10 CFR part 71, subpart H, to transport or deliver for transport licensed material in a package, JLS&A is required by 10 CFR part 71, subpart C, to have and comply with the package's CoC issued by the NRC.

II

On November 3-4, 1999, NRC staff conducted an inspection of the JLS&A QA activities. The extent and nature of problems identified during this limited scope inspection raised serious concerns about implementation of the JLS&A QA program and missed opportunities, over the period of several years, to self-identify and correct package deficiencies. The inspection identified multiple examples of violations of 10 CFR part 71. These violations concerned shipments of licensed material in Type B packages that were not in accordance with two CoCs. JLS&A made nineteen shipments using two different package designs that did not meet the requirements of the CoCs. The team further identified six nonconformances: specifically, these included 10 CFR 71.13(a), using a package that was fabricated after August 31, 1986; 10 CFR 71.87, failure to determine that the package with its contents satisfies the applicable requirements of part 71; 10 CFR 71.107(c), package design control, where new wood liners were constructed with a wood that did not comply with the design specifications approved by NRC; and 10 CFR 71.111, failure to prepare formal procedures or instructions to establish and maintain model 181361 or model A-0117 packaging in conformance with the CoC. Both a Notice of Violation and a Notice of Nonconformance were issued on March 2, 2000. As a result of the extent and nature of the problems identified during the November 3-4, 1999, inspection, NRC issued a Confirmatory Action Letter on April 24, 2000. As part of its December 4, 2000, response to the NRC Confirmatory Action Letter, JLS&A stated that the packaging used in the August 15, 2000, export to be shipped to Ethiopia via the United Kingdom, which contained 18,000 curies of cobalt-60, met the terms and conditions of the NRC-issued CoC No. 6280.

As a result of an April 17, 2001, letter from the French Competent Authority raising concerns about noncompliance of the August 15, 2000, transportation package undergoing multilateral approval, NRC staff conducted an inspection of the returned package at JLS&A's facility to determine if JLS&A had delivered for export a model A-

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") Project No. 2000-03

Notice is hereby given that, on June 18, 2001, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") Project No. 2000-3 has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Amoco Oil Company, Naperville, IL; Equilon Enterprises LLC, Houston, TX; and Phillips Petroleum Company, Sweeny, TX. The nature and objectives of the venture are to establish a joint effort to test next-generation

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 71-0122 Approval No. 0122 EA-01-164]

In the Matter of JL Shepherd & Associates San Fernando, California; Order Withdrawing Quality Assurance Program Approval (Effective Immediately)

I

JL Shepherd & Associates (JLS&A or Approval Holder) is the holder of Quality Assurance (QA) Program Approval for Radioactive Material Packages No. 0122 (Approval No. 0122), issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR part 71, subpart H. The approval was issued pursuant to the QA requirements of 10 CFR 71.101. QA activities authorized by Approval No. 0122 include: design, procurement, fabrication, assembly, testing, modification, maintenance, repair, and use of transportation packages subject to the provisions of 10 CFR part 71. Approval No. 0122 was originally issued January 17, 1980. Revision No. 5 was issued January 24, 1996, with an expiration date on January 31, 2001, and is under timely renewal. In addition to

0109 irradiator and the A-0117 overpack design that were not in accordance with CoC No. 6280. The inspection conducted on May 29-31, 2001, identified significant concerns with the implementation of the JLS&A QA program regarding the design, use, repair, and maintenance of transportation packages approved for use by NRC under CoC No. 6280. The inspection determined that JLS&A failed to implement portions of the QA program Approval No. 0122. The failure to implement portions of the QA program resulted in JLS&A delivering for export radioactive material in a transportation package that did not comply with the requirements of 10 CFR part 71. A description of the significant findings from the inspection follows:

1. The team determined that the package JLS&A delivered for export was not in accordance with the drawings listed in CoC No. 6280, Condition 5(a)(3). For example, the irradiator contained in the package did not conform to the drawings listed in the CoC No. 6280. The "stacks" used to restrict lateral movement of the irradiator were made of fir and pine woods and not hardwood as required by the CoC No. 6280. The "spacers" used to center the irradiator did not conform to the drawings listed in the CoC No. 6280.

1. The team determined that JLS&A had not notified NRC of the design changes to the A-0109 irradiator and did not provide a detailed analysis to support these changes to the conditions of the CoC No. 6280 for approval by NRC. This is of significant concern because the A-0109 is described in CoC No. 6280 as part of the packaging. As such, any changes to the drawings listed in CoC No. 6280, Condition 5(a)(3), required NRC approval. JLS&A made numerous changes to the irradiator design features that were not in conformance with the drawings listed in CoC No. 6280, Condition 5(a)(3).

2. The team determined that the JLS&A did not implement QA procedures to assure that the bolts used to attach the lid to the cask met the performance specifications required by CoC No. 6280. JLS&A also could not demonstrate that the balsa wood used to repair the A-0117 overpack met the density specifications identified in Drawing No. A-0117-B, listed in CoC No. 6280 Condition 5(a)(3). The team also determined that JLS&A had not established measures for the identification and control of materials, parts, and components used in the repair of the A-0117 overpack as required by 10 CFR 71.117.

3. The team determined that the A-0109 irradiator included in the shipment, an integral part of the packaging, was manufactured after August 31, 1986. Fabrication of previously approved packaging must have been completed by August 31, 1986 as required by 10 CFR 71.13.

4. The team determined that JLS&A had not obtained multilateral approval required by 10 CFR 71.13 before delivering the package for export shipment since the package was not of the design authorized by the NRC CoC No. 6280 nor as approved by the Competent Authority for the transportation of radioactive materials in the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom approval was issued about 3 months after JLS&A delivered the package for export to Ethiopia via the United Kingdom. The package was subsequently returned to the United States from the United Kingdom.

5. The team determined that the Vice President of JLS&A, who is also the Acting QA/Quality Control Program Plan Administrator, does not have sufficient independence from cost and schedule. JLS&A had not implemented procedures appropriate to preclude a conflict of interest from cost and scheduling as described in the JLS&A QA Program Plan and as required by 10 CFR 71.103(d).

As a result of the findings during the May 29-31, 2001, inspection the NRC no longer has confidence that JLS&A will implement the QA Program approved by NRC in accordance with 10 CFR part 71, subpart H, in a manner that will assure the required preparation and use of transportation packages in full conformance with the terms and conditions of an NRC CoC and with 10 CFR part 71. This is of significant concern because JLS&A, in addition to holding CoC No. 6280, also holds CoC No. 5984 for transportation packages which may be used by eight licensees, including JLS&A.

These inspection findings are of particular concern in light of the fact that on December 4, 2000, in response to an NRC Confirmatory Action Letter, JLS&A stated that the packaging used in the August 15, 2000, export to Ethiopia via the United Kingdom met the terms and conditions of the NRC issued CoC No. 6280. This package contained 18,000 curies of cobalt-60 in special form sources. The ability of the package to meet the performance requirements for transportation packages is evaluated for a specific design. The package that was to be shipped to Ethiopia via United Kingdom had been modified and did not meet the design that was approved by the NRC. Accordingly, the

unapproved package design had not been demonstrated to meet the transportation package approval standards for both normal and accident conditions. This could have resulted in significant safety consequences.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that the Approval Holder's current operations can be conducted under Approval No. 0122 in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public, including the Approval Holder's employees, will be protected. Therefore, the interests of protecting public health and safety, require that Approval No. 0122 be withdrawn. Accordingly, JLS&A may no longer certify to any person that its 10 CFR part 71 transportation activities are conducted under an NRC approved Quality Assurance Program. JLS&A is no longer authorized to design, procure, fabricate, assemble, test, modify, maintain, repair, and use transportation packages for which a 10 CFR part 71 QA program approval is required by 10 CFR part 71, subpart C. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR section 2.202, I find that the significance of the conduct described above is such that the public health, safety, and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 62, 81, 161b, 161i, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR section 2.202 and 10 CFR Parts 71 and 110, *it is hereby ordered, effective immediately, that approval no. 0122 is withdrawn pending further order.*

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards may, in writing, relax or rescind this order upon demonstration by the Approval Holder of good cause.

V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, the Approval Holder must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and include a statement of good cause for the extension. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny

each charge made in this order and set forth the matters of fact and law on which the Approval Holder or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of the hearing request also should be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards at the same address, to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington TX, 76011, and to the Approval Holder if the hearing request is by a person other than the Approval Holder. If a person other than the Approval Holder requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR section 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by the Approval Holder or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), the Approval Holder, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated this 3rd day of July 2001.

Frank J. Congel,

Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 01-17450 Filed 7-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8006]

Consideration of License Amendment to Kerr-McGee Corporation Technical Center and Opportunity for Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of consideration of amendment request and opportunity for a hearing.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission is considering issuance of a license amendment to Material License No. SUB-986, issued to the Kerr-McGee Corporation, to perform remediation in accordance with the submitted decommissioning plan of its Technical Center in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and leading to release of the property for unrestricted use and subsequent termination of its license.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blair Spitzberg, Ph.D., Chief, Fuel Cycle and Decommissioning Branch at (817) 860-8191 or Rachel Carr, FCDB at (817) 276-6552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 5, 2001, the licensee submitted a decommissioning plan (DP) to the NRC for review that summarized the decommissioning activities which will be undertaken to remediate the Kerr-McGee Technical Center located in Oklahoma County approximately 15 miles northwest of downtown Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The Kerr-McGee Technical Center was established in 1963 to provide a research and development facility for conducting chemical and radiological analyses for testing and calibration of instrumentation used for mineral prospecting and small-scale laboratory experiments to develop and prove new or proposed changes to processes for the extraction and purification of uranium and thorium. On April 5, 2001, the licensee submitted a decommissioning plan and license amendment request for unrestricted release of the site and subsequent termination of the license. The NRC will require the licensee to remediate the site to meet NRC's decommissioning criteria and, during decommissioning activities, to maintain doses within NRC requirements and as low as reasonably achievable.

NRC Approval Process

Prior to approving the decommissioning plan, NRC will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and NRC's regulations. The Kerr-McGee Technical Center falls under Type III decommissioning facility requirements as defined in NUREG/BR-0241. In addition, the licensee's activities are covered under the categorical exclusion in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(14)(v), "use of radioactive materials for research and development and for educational purposes." The final approval of the decommissioning plan will be incorporated into the license as a license amendment. Facilities under Type III decommissioning requirements will receive a confirmatory survey and a closeout inspection by the NRC. If the confirmatory survey results indicate that the licensee's evaluation of the final radiological status of the site is statistically valid and meets NRC's criteria and NRC has determined that the Final Status Survey demonstrates that the site satisfies NRC requirements, the site is suitable for release from regulatory control. At the time of release of the site or termination of the license, a subsequent **Federal Register** notice will be published to announce the intent of the NRC Staff to release the site for unrestricted use or to terminate the license.

Documents

The Decommissioning Plan submitted by Kerr-McGee Corporation is available for public inspection from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room). Assistance with the Public Electronic Reading Room may be obtained by calling (800) 397-4209.

Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

The NRC hereby provides notice that this is a proceeding on an application for amendment of a license falling within the scope of subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings," of NRC's rules and practice for domestic licensing proceedings in 10 CFR part 2. Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding may file a request for a hearing in accordance with § 2.1205(d). A request for a hearing must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice.