# Subpart K—All Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty)

5. The authority citation for part 21, subpart K continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), chs. 30, 36, unless otherwise noted.

## § 21.7131 [Amended]

6. In § 21.7135, paragraph (j) is removed and reserved.

### § 21.7131 [Amended]

7. In § 21.7135, paragraph (aa) is removed and reserved.

#### Subpart L—Educational Assistance for Members of the Selected Reserve

8. The authority citation for part 21, subpart L continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 10 U.S.C. ch. 1606; 38 U.S.C. 501(a), 512, ch. 36, unless otherwise noted.

#### § 21.7631 [Amended]

9. In § 21.7631, paragraph (f) is removed and reserved.

### § 21.7635 [Amended]

10. In § 21.7635, paragraph (w) is removed and reserved.

[FR Doc. 01–18609 Filed 7–25–01; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 169-0282; FRL-7013-5]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District and San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) and San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) portions of the California SIP. These revisions were proposed in the **Federal** Register on January 10, 2001 and concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from oil-effluent water separators and municipal solid waste disposal sites. We are approving local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule is effective on

August 27, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** You can inspect copies of the administrative record for this action

at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, 150 South Ninth Street, El Centro, CA 92243.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 East Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; (415) 744–1135.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

## I. Proposed Action

On January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1927), EPA proposed to approve the following rules into the California SIP.

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
ICAPCDSJVUAPCD	416 4642	Oil-Effluent Water Separators	09/14/99 04/16/98	05/26/00 09/29/98

We proposed to approve these rules because we determined that they complied with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

### II. Public Comment and EPA Response

EPA's proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received a comment from the following party. Brad Poirez, ICAPCD; telephone call on February 12, 2001.

The comment and our response is summarized below.

Comment: ICAPCD commented that ICAPCD Rule 416 was incorrectly referenced in the SUMMARY section of the proposed rule.

Response: EPA concurs and ICAPCD Rule 416 is correctly referenced in the SUMMARY section of today's final rule.

## **III. EPA Action**

No comments were submitted that change our assessment that the submitted rules comply with the relevant CAA requirements. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving these rules into the California SIP.

# IV. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-

existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely

approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing ŠIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 24, 2001. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 18, 2001.

#### Iane Diamond.

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(266)(i)(B)(4) and (c)(279)(i)(A)(3) to read as follows:

# § 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \*

(266) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(B) \* \* \*

(4) Rule 4642, adopted on April 16, 1998.

(279) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(A) \* \* \*

(3) Rule 416, adopted on September 14, 1999.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 01–18535 Filed 7–25–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 70

[FRL-7012-9]

# Clean Air Act Full Approval of Operating Permits Program in Alaska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking final action to fully approve the operating permits program submitted by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (Alaska) for the purpose of complying with federal requirements for an approvable State program to issue operating permits to all major stationary sources, and to certain other sources. EPA published final interim approval to Alaska's air operating permit program on December 5, 1996. Alaska has revised its operating permits program to satisfy the conditions of the interim approval and this action approves those revisions.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on September 24, 2001 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by August 27, 2001. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect. The public comments will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule published in this Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Denise Baker, Environmental Protection Specialist (OAQ–107), Office of Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Copies of the State of Alaska's submittal and other supporting information used in developing this final full approval are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are also available for public inspection at Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 410 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 303, Juneau, AK, 99801-1796 and at Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK, 99501–2617. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.