Reclamation has withdrawn from the public domain for project purposes or has acquired in connection with the Colorado River Salinity Control Project, and lands that are still in the public domain which would complement the operational integrity of the Wellton-Mohawk Division.

Anyone interested in more information concerning the proposed title transfer should contact Mr. Strahan as provided above.

Dated: July 16, 2001.

# J.F. Williams,

Deputy Area Manager, Yuma Area Office. [FR Doc. 01–18977 Filed 7–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-395]

Certain EPROM, EEPROM, Flash Memory, and Flash Microcontroller Semiconductor Devices, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision To Deny Complainant Atmel's Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by Respondent Macronix

**AGENCY:** International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to deny complainant Atmel's petition for reconsideration of the Commission's final determination of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by respondent Macronix in the abovecaptioned investigation.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202– 205–3152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 18, 1997, based upon a complaint filed by Atmel Corporation ("Atmel") alleging that Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. ("Sanyo"), Winbond Electronics Corporation of Taiwan and Winbond Electronics North America Corporation of California (collectively "Winbond"), and Macronix International Co., Ltd. and Macronix America, Inc. (collectively "Macronix") had violated section 337 in the sale for importation, the importation, and the sale within the United States after

importation of certain erasable programmable read only memory ("EPROM"), electrically erasable programmable read only memory ("EEPROM"), flash memory, and flash microcontroller semiconductor devices thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Letters Patent 4,511,811 ("the '811 patent"), U.S. Letters Patent 4,673,829 ("the '829 patent"), and U.S. Letters Patent 4,451,903 ("the '903 patent") assigned to Atmel. 62 Fed. Reg. 13706 (March 21, 1997). Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. ("SST") intervened in the investigation.

On October 27, 2000, the Commission determined that there was a violation of section 337. The Commission found that the claims in issue of the '903 patent are valid, enforceable, and infringed by the imports of respondents Sanvo and Winbond (but not respondent Macronix), and found a violation of section 337 with regard to the '903 patent as to Sanyo and Winbond. As to the '811 and '829 patents, the Commission found that the claims in issue of those patents are valid and enforceable, but not infringed by the imports of respondents Sanyo, Winbond, or Macronix, and found no violation of section 337 with regard to the '811 and '829 patents.

The Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief was a limited exclusion order prohibiting the importation of EPROMs, EEPROMs, flash memories, and flash microcontroller semiconductor devices, and circuit boards containing those

semiconductor memory devices, that infringe claims 1 or 9 of the '903 patent and that are manufactured and/or imported by or on behalf of Sanyo and Winbond. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) do not preclude the issuance of the limited exclusion of Precident and that the bond

exclusion order and that the bond during the Presidential review period should be set at \$0.78 per device.

Winbond appealed these findings to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit regarding the '903 patent, as well as the Commission's claim construction and infringement findings, Winbond Electronics Corp. v. U.S. International Trade Commission, Case Nos. 01-1031-1032-1034 (the Winbond appeal). Atmel appealed to the Federal Circuit the Commission's finding that respondent Macronix did not infringe the asserted claims of the '903 patent and the Commission's findings of no violation with respect to the '811 and '829 patents, Atmel Corp. v. U.S. International Trade Commission, Case No. 01-1128 (the Atmel appeal). Atmel also appealed the temporal scope

of the Commission's order finding that Atmel had waived its attorney client privilege and work product protections.

On January 30, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued an order on all issues raised in the Winbond appeal and on two issues raised in the Atmel appeal. The Court also issued an unpublished opinion on the issues of claim construction and infringement of the '903 patent.

In the Atmel appeal, the Court disagreed with some of the Commission's claim construction, and vacated the Commission's finding that Macronix does not infringe the asserted claims of the '903 patent. The Court remanded the case to the Commission to determine whether Macronix infringes under the claim construction found by the Court to be correct. On March 23, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its mandate formally remanding this matter to the Commission for further fact finding and a determination on whether the Macronix devices infringe the '903 patent under the Federal Circuit's claim construction.

On June 1, 2001, the Commission determined that under the Federal Circuit's claim construction the accused Macronix devices do not infringe the claims at issue of the '903 patent, and terminated the remand investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337 by Macronix.

On June 18, 2001, Atmel filed a petition pursuant to rule 210.47 for reconsideration of the Commission's June 1, 2001, determination of no violation by Macronix. On June 25, 2001, Macronix filed a response to Atmel's petition for reconsideration. No other responses were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and rule 210.47 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.47.

Copies of the Commission Order and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be

viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public.

Issued: July 26, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

# Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–19013 Filed 7–30–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; Tribal resources grant program application.

The Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until October 1, 2001.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Gretchen DePasquale, 202–305–7780, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice, 1100 Vermont NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# **Overview of This Information**

- (1) *Type of information collection:* New collection.
- (2) The title of the form/collection: Tribal Resources Grant Program Application.
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Form: None. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Federally Recognized Tribal Governments.

Other: None. The information collected will be used by the COPS Office to determine whether Federally recognized Tribal Governments are eligible for three year grants specifically targeted to meet the most serious needs of law enforcement in Indian communities. The grants are meant to enhance law enforcement infrastructures and community policing efforts in these communities.

- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: 200 respondents at 8 hours per response. The information will be collected annually from each respondent.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are 1800 annual burden hours associated with this information collection.

If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 20, 2001.

# Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-19058 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review: Revision of a currently approved collection; Deaths in custody, 2001.

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2001, Volume 66, page 23045 allowing for a 60-day public comment period on this information collection.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "thirty days" until August 30, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn.: Mr. Nathan Knuffman, 202–395–6466, Department of Justice Desk Officer, Room 10235, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202–395–7285.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or additional information, please send them to Lawrence A. Greenfield, Acting Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh St. NW, Washington, DC 20531. If you need a copy of the collection instrument with instructions, or have additional information, please contact Christopher J. Mumola at 202–307–5995, or via facsimile at 202–514–1757.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;