

O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following services are added to the Procurement List:

Services:

Administrative Services

Social Security Administration, Sam Nunn
Federal Building, Atlanta, Georgia

Janitorial/Custodial

VA Medical Center—Outbuildings #2, 3, 4, 5,
6, 7, 12, 19 and T2, Louisville, Kentucky

Janitorial/Custodial

U.S. Army Reserve Center, Auburn, Maine

Janitorial/Custodial

U.S. Army Reserve Center, Lewiston, Maine

Janitorial/Custodial

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Building 4600,
Aberdeen, Maryland

Janitorial/Custodial

U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Animal
and Plant Health Inspection Service,
Gulfport, Mississippi

Warehousing

U.S. Army Logistics Management College
(ALMC), Fort Lee, Virginia

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.

[FR Doc. 01–20812 Filed 8–16–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Nebraska Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Nebraska Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 1:00 p.m. on August 24, 2001, at the Doubletree Hotel, 1616 Dodge, Omaha, Nebraska 68102. The purpose of the meeting is to plan future activities.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913–551–1400 (TDD 913–551–1414). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, August 13, 2001.

Ivy L. Davis,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 01–20837 Filed 8–16–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–866]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Certain Folding Gift Boxes From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended preliminary antidumping duty determination of sales at less than fair value and postponement of final determination: certain folding gift boxes from the People's Republic of China.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 17, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Schauer or George Callen, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0410 and (202) 482–0180, respectively.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Significant Ministerial Error

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value in the antidumping duty investigation of certain folding gift boxes from the People's Republic of China (PRC) to reflect the correction of significant ministerial errors made in the margin calculations regarding Max Fortune Industrial Ltd. (Max Fortune) and Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd.

(Red Point), in that determination, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(g)(1) and (g)(2). A ministerial error is defined as an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial. See 19 CFR 351.224(f). A significant ministerial error is defined as an error, the correction of which, singly or in combination with other errors, would result in (1) a change of at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted-average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero or *de minimis* and a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than *de minimis* or vice versa. See 19 CFR 351.224(g). We are publishing this amendment to the preliminary determination pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e). As a result of this amended preliminary determination, we have revised the antidumping rates for two respondents, Max Fortune and Red Point.

Scope of Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are certain folding gift boxes. Certain folding gift boxes are a type of folding or knock-down carton manufactured from paper or paperboard. Certain folding gift boxes are produced from a variety of recycled and virgin paper or paperboard materials, including, but not limited to, clay-coated paper or paperboard and kraft (bleached or unbleached) paper or paperboard. The scope of the investigation excludes gift boxes manufactured from paper or paperboard of a thickness of more than 0.8 millimeters, corrugated paperboard, or paper mache. The scope of the investigation also excludes those gift boxes for which no side of the box, when assembled, is at least nine inches in length.

Certain folding gift boxes are typically decorated with a holiday motif using various processes, including printing, embossing, debossing, and foil stamping, but may also be plain white or printed with a single color. The subject merchandise includes certain folding gift boxes, with or without handles, whether finished or unfinished, and whether in one-piece or multi-piece configuration. One-piece gift boxes are die-cut or otherwise formed so that the top, bottom, and sides form a single, contiguous unit. Two-piece gift boxes are those with a

folded bottom and a folded top as separate pieces. Certain folding gift boxes are generally packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, or other packaging materials, in single or multi-box packs for sale to the retail customer. The scope of the investigation excludes folding gift boxes that have a retailer's name, logo, trademark or similar company information printed prominently on the box's top exterior (such folding gift boxes are often known as "not-for-resale" gift boxes or "give-away" gift boxes and may be provided by department and specialty stores at no charge to their retail customers). The scope of the investigation also excludes folding gift boxes where both the outside of the box is a single color and the box is not packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, other resin-based packaging films, or paperboard.

Imports of the subject merchandise are classified under *Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States* (HTSUS) subheadings 4819.20.00.40 and 4819.50.40.60. These subheadings also cover products that are outside the scope of this investigation. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Ministerial Error Allegation

On July 30, 2001, the Department issued its affirmative preliminary determination in this proceeding. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Folding Gift Boxes from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 40973 (August 6, 2001) (*Preliminary Determination*). Two respondent manufacturers/exporters, Max Fortune and Red Point, are participating in this investigation.

On August 6, 2001, the Department received timely allegations of ministerial errors in the *Preliminary Determination* from Red Point and Max Fortune. Red Point alleged that the Department inadvertently used the value for ink in place of the value for shrink wrap in its margin calculation for Red Point. See letter from Red Point alleging ministerial errors in the *Preliminary Determination* (August 6, 2001). Max Fortune alleged two ministerial errors: (1) The Department used an incorrect unit cost for declaration fees by converting the cost to a per-piece basis as if it had been reported on a per-kilogram basis rather than on a per-set basis, and (2) the Department did not convert either seaport fees or documentation fees from Hong Kong dollars to U.S. dollars. See letter from Max Fortune alleging

ministerial errors in the *Preliminary Determination* (August 6, 2001).

The Department has reviewed its preliminary calculations and agrees that the errors which Red Point and Max Fortune alleged are ministerial errors do constitute ministerial errors within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.224(f). Furthermore, we determine these are ministerial errors which rise to the level of "significant errors" pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(g)(1) and (g)(2), and we are amending the *Preliminary Determination* to reflect the correction of these significant ministerial errors made in the margin calculations for Max Fortune and Red Point in that determination, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e). See the Red Point Amended Preliminary Calculation Memorandum dated August 7, 2001, and the Max Fortune Amended Preliminary Calculation Memorandum dated August 7, 2001.

The collection of bonds or cash deposits and suspension of liquidation will be revised accordingly and parties will be notified of this determination, in accordance with section 733(d) and (f) of the Act.

Amended Preliminary Determination

As a result of our correction of ministerial errors, we have determined that the following weighted-average dumping margins apply. In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all imports of subject merchandise from the PRC, except for subject merchandise produced and exported by Max Fortune (which has a *de minimis* weighted-average margin), that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds the export price or constructed export price, as indicated in the chart below. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average percent margin
Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd	19.96
Max Fortune Industrial Ltd	0.39
PRC-wide rate	164.75

The PRC-wide rate has not been amended, and applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for

entries from exporters/producers that are identified individually above.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On August 8, 2001, Red Point requested that the Department postpone its final determination until November 12, 2001 (which is not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**), and requested an extension of the provisional measures. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) the respondent requesting the postponement accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise (see Memorandum from Laurie Parkhill to Richard W. Moreland dated May 1, 2001), and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the respondent's request and are postponing the final determination. Because November 12, 2001, is a federal holiday, we are postponing the final determination until November 13, 2001. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our amended preliminary determination. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of the preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the subject merchandise.

Public Comment

Because of the aforementioned postponement of the final

determination, case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than October 2, 2001, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, no later than October 9, 2001. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i) and (d)(1). A list of authorities used and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with section 774 of the Act, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. Tentatively, any hearing will be held on October 12, 2001, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, September 5, 2001 (within 30 days of the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination*). See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. At the hearing, each party may make an affirmative presentation only on issues raised in that party's case brief and may make rebuttal presentations only on arguments included in that party's rebuttal brief. See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

If this investigation proceeds normally, we will make our final determination no later than November 13, 2001.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f), 735(a)(2), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2).

Dated: August 13, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-20835 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 17, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff at (202) 482-1009 or Chris Brady at (202) 482-4406, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On January 30, 2001, the Department received a request from Groupstars Chemical, Co., Ltd., to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China. On March 8, 2001, the Department published its initiation of this new shipper review covering the period of January 1, 2000, through December 31, 2000 (66 FR 13895). The preliminary results are currently due no later than August 27, 2001.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department's regulations requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. However, if the Secretary concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, under § 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's

regulations the Secretary may extend the 180-day period to 300 days.

Due to the issue discussed in the memorandum to Bernard T. Carreau, we have determined that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than December 25, 2001. See Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and § 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-20834 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-825]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Germany; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order, and intent to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(c), Sensormatic Electronics Corporation (Sensormatic) filed a request for a clarification of the scope of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany with respect to the specialty stainless steel strip product described in the "Scope of Changed Circumstances Review" section, below. Alternatively, Sensormatic requested the Department conduct a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order and revoke the order with regard to the specific product at issue, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b). Domestic producers of the like product have expressed no interest in continuation of the order with respect to this particular stainless steel product.

In response to Sensormatic's request, the Department of Commerce (the