

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### **§ 71.7 [Amended]**

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **ANM UT E5 Cedar City, UT [Revised]**

Cedar City Regional Airport, UT  
(lat. 37°42'03"N., long. 113°05'55"W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 38°03'00"N., long. 113°13'30"W.; to lat. 38°05'30"N., long. 112°58'30"W.; to lat. 37°58'30"N., long. 112°45'30"W.; to lat. 37°45'00"N., long. 112°56'45"W.; to lat. 37°47'30"N., long. 113°15'00"W.; thence to point of beginning; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 38°00'00"N., long. 113°45'30"W.; to lat. 38°19'00"N., long. 112°51'30"W.; to lat. 37°58'32"N., long. 112°38'00"W.; to lat. 37°37'00"N., long. 112°53'30"W.; to lat. 37°38'15"N., long. 113°22'18"W.; thence to point of origin; and excluding that airspace within Federal airways; the Milford, UT, and St. George, UT, Class E airspace areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on August 13, 2001.

**Dan A. Boyle,**

*Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 01–21613 Filed 8–24–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 71**

**[Airspace Docket No. 00–ANM–34]**

#### **Proposed Revision of Class E Airspace, Greeley, CO**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to revise the Class E airspace at Greeley, CO. A newly revised Airport Reference Point (ARP) coordinates at the Greeley-Weld Airport has made this proposal necessary. The change of the ARP coordinates requires the legal

description of Greeley-Weld Airport Class E airspace to reflect the new coordinates. Additionally, a revision to the Class E 700-feet controlled airspace, above the surface of the earth is required to reflect changes in airspace configurations in Colorado. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Greeley-Weld Airport, Greeley, CO.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 11, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Airspace Branch, ANM–520, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 00–ANM–34, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours in the office of the Manager, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, at the address listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brain Durham, ANM–520.7, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 00–ANM–34, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington, 98055–4056; telephone number (425) 227–2527.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this action must submit, with those comments, a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Airspace Docket No. 00–ANM–34.” The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this action may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination at the address listed above both before and after the closing

date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### **Availability of NPRM's**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Airspace Branch, ANM–520, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Communications must identify the docket number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, which describes the application procedure.

#### **The Proposal**

The FAA is considering an amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, part 71 (14 CFR part 71) by revising Class E airspace legal description at Greeley, CO. A change in the ARP at the Greeley-Weld Airport has made this proposal necessary. The change of the ARP coordinates requires the legal description of Greeley-Weld Airport Class E airspace to reflect the new coordinates and to revise the airspace to provide adequate controlled airspace to contain IFR procedures. Additionally, a revision to the Class E 700-feet controlled airspace, above the surface of the earth is required to realign configurations in the Denver, Colorado area due to the conversion from Stapleton International Airport to the Denver International Airport. Class E 700-feet controlled airspace, above the surface of the earth is required to contain aircraft executing IFR Procedures at Greeley-Weld Airport. The FAA establishes Class E airspace where necessary to contain aircraft transitioning between the terminal and en route environments. The intended effect of this proposal is designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. This proposal would promote safe flight operations under IFR at the Greeley-Weld Airport and between the terminal and en route transition stages.

The area would be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth, are published in Paragraph 6005, or FAA Order 7400.9H dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation

listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11013; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

##### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designation and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

##### ANM CO E5 Greeley, CO [Revised]

Greeley-Weld County Airport, CO  
(lat. 40°25'43"N., long. 104°37'58"W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 40°38'00"N., long. 104°53'02"W.; to lat. 40°41'00"N., long. 104°27'02"W.; to lat. 40°18'00"N., long. 104°23'30"W.; to lat. 40°15'30"N., long. 104°49'30"W.; thence to point of origin;

excluding the airspace within Federal Airways, the Denver, CO; Fort Collins, CO, and Loveland CO Class E Airspace areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on August 13, 2001.

**Dan A. Boyle,**

*Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 01–21614 Filed 8–24–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–M**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[MD112–3066; FRL–7043–7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of VOC Emissions From Distilled Spirits Facilities

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. The revision establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) requirements to reduce emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) from distilled spirits facilities. The intended effect of this action is to propose approval of Maryland's proposed revised regulation to reduce VOC emissions from distilled spirits facilities. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act. EPA is also withdrawing its earlier proposal to approve Maryland's regulation for the control of VOC emissions from distilled spirits facilities, published in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 2001.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 26, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rose Quinto, (215) 814–2182, or via e-mail at [quinto.rose@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:quinto.rose@epamail.epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On November 13, 2000, the State of Maryland submitted formal revisions to its SIP. These SIP revisions, submitted by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), consisted of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 26.11.19.29, Control of Volatile Organic Compounds from Distilled Spirits Facilities. The State of Maryland had adopted COMAR 26.11.19.29 to establish and impose RACT to reduce VOC from distilled spirits facilities.

On May 22, 2001 (66 FR 23138), EPA proposed to approve COMAR 26.11.19.29, Control of Volatile Organic Compound from Distilled Spirits Facilities as a SIP revision. EPA received an adverse comment on that proposal. Subsequent to EPA's proposing approval of COMAR 26.11.19.29, MDE informed EPA of its intent to revise that regulation. Because EPA is withdrawing its proposed approval of the un-revised version of COMAR 26.11.19.29, EPA does not address the substance of that adverse comment in this current rulemaking. EPA will receive comments on this proposal to approve a revised version of COMAR 26.11.19.29 as a SIP revision. With respect to this current rulemaking, EPA will only consider newly submitted comments, if any.

##### II. Summary of Maryland's Proposed SIP Revision and EPA's Evaluation

On July 2, 2001, the MDE requested that EPA parallel process its approval of the proposed revised version of COMAR 26.11.19.29, Control of Volatile Organic Compounds from Distilled Spirits Facilities. The proposed revised regulation still imposes RACT for the control of VOC emissions from distilled spirits facilities. To expedite the approval of this regulation as a revision to the Maryland SIP, EPA is using the parallel rulemaking process to propose approval of Maryland's amended regulation concurrently with the State's own process for adopting the amended version of COMAR 26.11.19.29. On August 10, 2001, the MDE proposed the revised version of COMAR 26.11.19.29, Control of Volatile Organic Compounds from Distilled Spirits Facilities, in the Maryland Register.

##### A. Summary of Maryland's Regulation

The regulation at COMAR 26.11.19.29 establishes RACT to control VOC emissions from distilled spirits facilities that have the total potential to emit 25