

Transco states that approximately four acres of the existing station site will be impacted by the installation activity.

Transco states that no ground or surface water impacts will occur as a result of this project. The existing fenced station facility property does not contain any wetlands or surface water bodies. Areas disturbed by the installation activity will be restored and maintained according to applicable provisions in the Commission's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan ("Plan").

Transco states that the station noise emissions after the installation of the new electric units will be equal to or lower than the current station noise emissions at the nearest noise-sensitive areas.

Transco states that the above-discussed installations will cost approximately \$18.2 million.

Transco states that no air permits are required for the compressor conversion, although a new natural gas-fired auxiliary generator will be registered with the TNRCC, at which time it will be authorized under a Permit-By-Rule. The TNRCC Title V permit will be modified upon the completion of this NO_x emission reduction project. Other than the above, Transco is not aware of any application to supplement or effectuate the proposal set forth herein which must be or is to be filed by Transco, any of Transco's customers, or any other persons with any other Federal, State or regulatory body.

Transco states that the construction and operation of the proposed facilities will have no significant impact on the quality of human health or the environment other than the positive impact of reducing NO_x emissions. Transco certifies that the proposed facilities will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with all applicable safety standards and plans for maintenance and inspection.

Transco states that because of installation of the new electric units, the existing natural gas-fired compressors and associated equipment will not be needed. Accordingly, the four units and all associated equipment required for operation of these units will be removed. The existing compressor building will be demolished and removed. This will require the disturbance of an additional one acre within the Station No. 35 site. This area will be restored pursuant to the Commission's Plan and maintained as a grassy area. The estimated cost of this removal work is approximately \$2.5 million.

Transco submits that this project will serve the public convenience and

necessity because it will eliminate NO_x emissions at Station No. 35 and enable Transco to comply with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 and the requirements set by the TNRCC for the Metropolitan Houston-Galveston Intrastate Air Quality Control Region.

Transco's contact person for this project is Tom Messick, P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, at (713) 215-2772.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this abandonment. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this abandonment should, on or before September 20, 2001, file with David P. Boergers, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this abandonment. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the abandonment provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this abandonment should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission.

Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-22336 Filed 9-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of New Docket Prefix "AD"

August 30, 2001.

Notice is hereby given that a new docket prefix "AD" has been established to identify Administrative items which may be listed on the Commission meeting schedule.

The prefix will be ADFY-NNN-000, where "FY" stands for the fiscal year in which the item is being scheduled, and "NNN" is a sequential number.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-22338 Filed 9-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG01-285-000, et al.]

FPL Energy Operating Services, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 30, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. FPL Energy Operating Services Inc.

[Docket No. EG01-285-000]

Take notice that on August 24, 2001, FPL Energy Operating Services, Inc. (the Applicant), with its principal office at 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408, filed with the Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it is a Florida corporation who entered into an O&M Agreement to operate and maintain (i) a nominal 708 megawatt (MW) gas-fired combined cycle power generation facility and (ii) a nominal 171 MW gas-fired simple cycle power generation facility (Facility) located in Ashland County, Virginia. Electric energy produced by the Facility is sold at wholesale.

Comment date: September 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. King City Energy Center, LLC.

[Docket No. EG01-286-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 2001, King City Energy Center, LLC (King City) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

King City, a Delaware limited liability company, proposes to own and operate one 45 MW simple cycle natural gas-fired combustion turbine peaking unit located in King City, California. King

City will sell the output at wholesale to Calpine Energy Services, L.P., and other purchasers.

Comment date: September 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. Gilroy Energy Center, LLC.

[Docket No. EG01-287-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 2001, Gilroy Energy Center, LLC (Gilroy) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Gilroy, a Delaware limited liability company, proposes to own and operate six simple cycle natural gas-fired combustion turbine peaking units located in Gilroy, California. Gilroy will sell the output at wholesale to Calpine Energy Services, L.P., and other purchasers.

Comment date: September 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Atlantic City Electric Company, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, Delmarva Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, Potomac Electric Power Company, PECO Energy Company, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, UGI Utilities, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-897-002]

Take notice that on August 27, 2001 Atlantic City Electric Company, Baltimore Gas & Electric Company, Delmarva Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, PECO Energy Company, Potomac Electric Power Company, PPL, Inc., Public Service Electric & Gas Company, UGI Utilities, Inc. tendered for filing in compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) delegated letter order issued on April 27, 2001, in the above captioned docket, pages which have been formatted to comply with the Order No. 614, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,096 (2000) pagination requirements that are applicable to rate schedules: Atlantic City Electric Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 75; Delmarva Power & Light Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 124; Metropolitan Edison Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 77; PECO Energy Company Rate

Schedule FERC No. 123; Potomac Electric Power Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 46; Public Service Electric and Gas Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 166; UGI Utilities, Inc. Rate Schedule FERC No. 9; Baltimore Gas and Electric Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 58; PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Rate Schedule FERC No. 168.

Comment date: September 17, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER01-900-002]

Take notice that on August 27, 2001 Pennsylvania Electric Company tendered its compliance filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) delegated letter order issued on April 27, 2001, in the above captioned docket, pages which have been formatted to comply with the Order No. 614, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,096 (2000) pagination requirements that are applicable to rate schedules: Pennsylvania Electric Company Rate Schedule FERC No. 63.

Comment date: September 17, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER01-901-002]

Take notice that on August 27, 2001, Pennsylvania Electric Power Company tendered its compliance filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) delegated letter order issued on April 27, 2001, in the above captioned docket, pages which have been formatted to comply with the Order No. 614, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,096 (2000) pagination requirements that are applicable to rate schedule: Pennsylvania Electric Company FERC Rate Schedule No. 100.

Comment date: September 17, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. CP&L Holdings, Inc., et al.

[Docket No. ER00-1520-003]

Take notice that on August 23, 2001, Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy), on behalf of Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L) and Florida Power Corporation (FPC), tendered for filing First Revised Rate Schedule FERC No. 1-System Integration Agreement between CP&L and FPC (Revised SIA) in compliance with CP&L Holdings, et al., 92 FERC ¶ 61,023 (2000).

Progress Energy respectfully requests that the Revised SIA become effective August 24, 2001, the day after filing.

Copies of the filing were served to North Carolina Utilities Commission,