FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 2001-NM-41-AD.

Applicability: Model 727–100 and 727–200 series airplanes, certificated in any category, as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–22A0093, dated December 20, 2000.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent undesirable and potentially dangerous pitch oscillations during coupled instrument landing systems (ILS) approaches, accomplish the following:

Revision of Airplane Flight Manual

(a) Within six months after the effective date of this AD, revise the Limitations Section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) by adding the following paragraphs under AUTOPILOT/FLIGHT DIRECTOR SYSTEM. This may be

accomplished by inserting a copy of this AD into the AFM.

"CAT II autopilot coupled ILS approach shall not be performed if the Middle Marker (ground or airborne system) is inoperative.

Disconnect the autopilot at, or prior to, 80 ft. (above the runway's touchdown-zone elevation) during Cat II autopilot coupled ILS approaches."

Modification and Testing of Autopilot

(b) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the existing SP–50 or SP–150 single channel autopilot with a modified single channel autopilot in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–22A0093, dated December 20, 2000.

(c) Concurrent with the modifications required by paragraph (b) of this AD, and before reinstallation of the modified autopilot and further flight, perform a one-time test procedure of the modified autopilot in accordance with Sperry Service Bulletin 21–1132–121, dated November 23, 1982 (for SP–50 autopilots), or 21–1132–122, dated February 7, 1983 (for SP–150 autopilots), as applicable.

Post-Modification Revision of Airplane Flight Manual

(d) Before further flight after performing the replacement required by paragraph (b) of this AD, revise the Limitations Section of the AFM by adding the following paragraph under AUTOPILOT/FLIGHT DIRECTOR SYSTEM. This may be accomplished by inserting a copy of this AD into the AFM.

"Limit the approach flap setting to 30 degrees when conducting CAT II autopilot coupled ILS approach."

Spare Parts

(e) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane an autopilot pitch control computer unless it has been modified and the applicable AFM has been revised in accordance with this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 31, 2001.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–22589 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA-4152b; FRL-7050-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; VOC and NO_X RACT Determinations for 14 Individual Sources in the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing and requiring reasonably available control technology (RACT) for 14 major sources of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and/or nitrogen oxides (NO_X). These sources are located in the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton ozone nonattainment area. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if adverse comment is received for a specific source or subset of sources covered by an amendment, section or paragraph of this rule, only that amendment, section, or paragraph for that source or subset of sources will be withdrawn.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by October 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief,

Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ray Chalmers at (215) 814–2061, the EPA Region III address above or by e-mail at chalmers.ray@epa.gov. Please note that while questions may be posed via telephone and e-mail, formal comments must be submitted, in writing, as indicated in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: August 29, 2001.

Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 01–22613 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[FRL-7052-8]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; States of Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed action.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the small Municipal Waste Combustion (MWC) units section 111(d) plan negative declarations submitted by the states of Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. These negative declarations certify that small MWC units subject to the requirements of sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act do not exist in these states.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving each state's negative declaration as a direct final rule without prior proposal

because the Agency views this as noncontroversial and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by October 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 30, 2001.

William W. Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 01–22621 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 70

[AZ041-OPP; FRL-7052-2]

Clean Air Act Proposed Full Approval of Operating Permit Programs; Pima County Department of Environmental Quality, Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Pima County
Department of Environmental Quality (PDEQ or District) operating permit program. The PDEQ operating permit program was submitted in response to the directive in the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments that permitting authorities develop, and submit to EPA, programs for issuing operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources within the permitting authorities' jurisdiction. EPA granted interim approval to the PDEQ operating permit program on October

30, 1996. The District has revised its program to satisfy the conditions of the interim approval. However, PDEQ must also revise its rules to incorporate the adoption date of the rule it has incorporated by reference. Therefore, in addition to proposing approval of several rules already submitted by PDEQ, EPA is proposing in this rulemaking action to approve two rules in parallel with the District's adoption of revised rules that will add reference dates for materials incorporated by reference. We are proposing to approve rules that were submitted by PDEQ on May 28, 1998 and those that were public noticed by the District on August 9, 2001 and are scheduled for an adoption hearing on September 11, 2001.

DATES: Comments on the program revisions discussed in this proposed action must be received in writing by October 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Gerardo Rios, Acting Chief, Permits Office, Air Division (AIR-3), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, 94105. You can inspect copies of PDEQ's submittal and other supporting documentation relevant to this action during normal business hours at the Air Division of EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, 94105. You may also see copies of the submitted title V program at the following location: Pima County Department of Environmental Quality, 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona 85701.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ginger Vagenas, EPA Region IX, Permits Office (AIR-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1252 or vagenas.ginger@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is the operating permit program? What is EPA's proposed action? What is parallel processing? What are the program changes that EPA is approving?

What is the effect of this proposed action? Are there other issues with this program?

I. What Is the Operating Permit Program?

The CAA Amendments of 1990 required all state and local permitting authorities to develop operating permit programs that met certain federal criteria. In implementing the operating permit programs, the permitting authorities require certain sources of air pollution to obtain permits that contain all applicable requirements under the