Unaccounted-For and Other Fuel Gas from 1.30% to 1.35%, reflecting a decrease in the fuel reimbursement percentage for Transportation Fuel Gas from 2.59% to 2.37%, and reflecting an increase in the fuel reimbursement percentage for Storage Fuel Gas from 1.31% to 1.40% effective October 1, 2001

CIG states that copies of this filing have been served on CIG's jurisdictional customers and public bodies, and that the filing is available for public inspection at CIG's offices in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before September 14, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–23126 Filed 9–14–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–P**

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-439-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application

September 7, 2001.

Take notice that on August 31, 2001, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 12801 Fair Lakes Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22030–0146, filed in Docket No. CP01–43–000, an application, pursuant to Sections 7(b) and (c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's

Regulations for abandonment authorization and a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain pipeline, compression and appurtenant facilities in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Columbia proposes to construct and operate the facilities in order to accommodate deliveries to a power plant being constructed by Mantua Creek Generating Company, L.P. (Mantua Creek) in Gloucester County, New Jersey. Specifically, Columbia proposes to construct 2.1 miles of 20inch lateral pipeline in Gloucester County, New Jersey, 12.6 miles of pipeline loop (including 5.1 miles of 20inch pipeline in Delaware County, Pennsylvania, and 7.5 miles of 20-inch pipeline in Gloucester County, New Jersey), 8.8 miles of 24-inch replacement pipeline in Chester County, Pennsylvania, and 0.3 mile of 24-inch replacement pipeline in Chester County. Columbia proposes to abandon 8.8 miles of 10-inch line in Chester County (to be replaced by the 8.8 miles of 24-inch line noted above) and 0.3 mile of 14-inch line in Chester County (to be replaced by the 0.3 mile of 24-inch line noted above).

Columbia also proposes to construct and operate a 6,000 horsepower (hp) compressor unit at the existing Eagle Compressor Station in Chester County, Pennsylvania, a 6,000 hp compressor unit at the existing Downingtown Compressor Station in Chester County, Pennsylvania, and a new measuring and regulating (M&R) station on the power plant property in Delaware County, Pennsylvania. Columbia proposes to provide firm transportation service for Mantua Creek, delivering 165,000 Dekatherms of natural gas per day to Mantua Creek's plant. Columbia estimates the total cost of the proposed facilities at \$40,714,700, and requests rolled-in rate treatment for the project. Columbia requests that the Commission issue a certificate by April 1, 2002.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Fredric J. George, Certificates, at (304) 357–2359, Columbia Gas Transmission Company, PO Box 1273, Charleston, West Virginia 25325–1273.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before September 28, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding. Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the Commission's website at http:/ /www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents, and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, Commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission, and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order to a Federal court.

The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervenor status.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and ion landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may

need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–23097 Filed 9–14–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-580-000]

Dauphin Island Gathering Partners; Notice of Tariff Filing and Charge Adjustment

September 7, 2001.

Take notice that on August 31, 2001, Dauphin Island Gathering Partners (DIGP) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet, with an effective date of October 1, 2001:

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 6

DIGP states that this filing is submitted pursuant to Section 154.402(c) of the Commission's Regulations pursuant to FERC Order No. 472 in Docket No. RM87–3–000. DIGP states that it has revised Sheet No. 6 to reflect the ACA unit charge of \$0.0021 per Dekatherm as specified by the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before September 14, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party

must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–23132 Filed 9–14–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-440-000]

Dominion Transmission, Inc.; Notice of Application

September 10, 2001.

Take notice that on August 31, 2001, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia, 26301, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, authorizing DTI to construct and operate a new storage well within the existing limits of DTI's Racket-Newberne Storage Reservoir (Racket) in Gilmer County, West Virginia. The application is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (please call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

The well DTI seeks to construct and operate will be in the Racket reservoir in southern Troy District, Gilmer County, West Virginia, and is a replacement well for well 5003, which was plugged and abandoned in 1996. The well is expected to replace the deliverability lost from the abandonment of well 5003. Project costs are estimated to be \$572,534.

DTI also seeks specific and explicit certification of the existing Racket operations and facilities in order to remove any potential uncertainty due to the "grandfathered" status of this long existing storage field. The Racket reservoir was converted to storage operations in 1947.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to Sean R. Sleigh, Certificates Manager, Dominion Transmission, Inc., 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, at (304) 627–3462 or fax (304) 627–3305.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before October 1, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of