

Department of Justice, 601 D Street NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 4, 2001.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-25488 Filed 10-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day notice of information collection under review: new collection categorical assistance progress report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, (OJP) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** (Volume 66, Number 125, pages 34461-34462 on June 28, 2001, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until November 13, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additional comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of information collection:* New collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Categorical Assistance Progress Report.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is OJP Form 45871/1, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Federal Government, Local or Tribal. Other: Individuals or households; not-for-profit institutions.

The Uniform Administrative Requirements for grants and Cooperative Agreements—28 CFR, part 66, and OMB Circular A-110—authorizes the Department of Justice to collect information from grantees to report on project activities and project accomplishments. Grantees that are recipients of discretionary grant (and some formula grant) programs are required by OJP program offices to submit Categorical Assistance Progress Reports on project activities and accomplishments. It is expected that reports will include data appropriate to this stage of project development and in sufficient detail to provide a clear idea and summary of work and accomplishments to date. Progress reports are primarily designed to aid grant managers in carrying out their responsibilities for monitoring grant-support activities. The major focus of these reports is the progress achieved on each task in relation to the approved schedule and project milestones for that reporting period. The grantee's review of the project, its functions, and activities are included in the progress report. Generally, progress reports are brief (normally less than five pages) and are in chart form, narrative form, or both. Grantees must include the following information in progress reports submitted to the OJP grant manager: Description of the progress made during the reporting period

toward accomplishing goals and objectives.

Changes in the overall project, its objectives, time schedule, organization, or staffing for the period. Favorable developments or events which enable the grantee to meet time schedules or milestones sooner than anticipated. Any problems, delays, or adverse conditions which have affected or will affect the ability on the grantee to attain project objectives, including the timely submission of products.

Accomplishments during reporting period, such as statistics on measurable project outcomes (e.g., number of people trained, manuals produced, etc.).

Need for technical assistance relating to programmatic or financial issues. Next steps; and other pertinent information including, where appropriate, analysis and explanation of expenditures.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that 10,366 grantees will each take approximately two hours to complete each semi-annual submission of their Categorical Assistance Progress Report form for a total of four hours annually per grantee. A progress report is required from the grantee for each current grant.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total hour burden to complete the progress report forms is 41,464 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, 601 D Street NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 4, 2001.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-25489 Filed 10-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents

summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of September, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,163; Bridgestone/Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Inc. Decatur, IL
TA-W-39,453; Arnold Engineering Co., Ferrite Products Div. Sevierville, TN
TA-W-38,997; Emsig Manufacturing Corp., Villas, NJ
TA-W-39,618; Belding Hausman, Inc., Bogor City, NC

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,617; Silgan Plastic, Fairfield, OH
TA-W-38,967; Conexant, Inc., TTM Module System, El Paso, TX
TA-W-39,574; SGL Carbon Group, Morganton, NC
TA-W-38,670; Mayfiar Creamery, Somerset, PA

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-39,233; Fansteel Intercast, a/k/a Fansteel/Escast Co., Addison, IL: April 24, 2000.
TA-W-40,025; The Bramton Co., Retail Products Group, Dallas, TX: August 20, 2000.

TA-W-39,225; Panther Pacific, Lewisville, TX: April 16, 2000.

TA-W-39,455; Rivoli Mills, Jasper, TN: May 29, 2000.

TA-W-39,645; S.D. Warren, d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper, North America Mobile Operations, Mobile, AL: June 26, 2000.

TA-W-39,618; Winer Industries, Dallas, TX: June 27, 2000.

TA-W-39,723; & A, B; Springford Industries, Spring City, PA, Leesport, PA and Boyertown, PA: July 19, 2000.

TA-W-39,722; Rexnord Corp., Indianapolis, IN: August 11, 2000.

TA-W-39,376; Ocello, Inc., Richland, PA: May 17, 2000.

TA-W-39,577; Et Al Group, Inc., New York, NY: June 22, 2000.

TA-W-39,133; Ansell Protective Products, Tarboro, NC: April 12, 2000.

TA-W-39,777; & A; Allison Manufacturing, Brownsville TX and McAllen, TX: August 31, 2001.

TA-W-39,811; Howes Leather Corp., 500 Cooper Road & 101 Meadow Street, Curwensville, PA: July 30, 2000.

TA-W-39,280; Lear Corp., Interior Systems Div., Lewistown, PA: May 2, 2000.

TA-W-39,328; Komatsu Mining Systems, Inc., Peoria Operations, Peoria, IL: May 9, 2000.

TA-W-39,502; Recmix of Pennsylvania, Canonsburg, PA: June 12, 2000.

TA-W-39,620; Perry Manufacturing Co., Mount Airy, NC: July 2, 2000.

TA-W-39,323; Atlantic Wire and Cable Corp., College Point, NY: May 11, 2000.

Also, pursuant to title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of September, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or

appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05083; Ben Mer Manufacturing, Rochester, NY
NAFTA-TAA-05095; Rexnord Corp., Roller Chain Div., Indianapolis, IN
NAFTA-TAA-04700; Conexant, Inc., TTM Module Systems, El Paso, TX
NAFTA-TAA-05228; Realco Diversified, Inc., Meadville, PA
NAFTA-TAA-04900; Bangor Industries, Inc., Bangor, MI
NAFTA-TAA-05306; Alcatel Submarine Networks, Inc., Portland, OR
NAFTA-TAA-04946; Honeywell, Burkesville Div., Burkesville, KY
NAFTA-TAA-05232; Asarco, El Paso, TX
NAFTA-TAA-04941; Ocello, Inc., Richland, PA

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

NAFTA-TAA-05150; Niedfeldt Trucking Services, LaCrosse, WI
NAFTA-TAA-05311; GE Capitol IT Solutions, Managed Services, Erlanger, KY

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-05230; Aquatech, Inc., Cookeville, TN: August 13, 2000.
NAFTA-TAA-05145; KMA Manufacturing, Inc., Livingston, TN: July 27, 2000.
NAFTA-TAA-05287; UBI Soft Entertainment, Novato, CA: August 17, 2000.
NAFTA-TAA-05207; Bonifay Manufacturing, Inc., Bonifay, FL: August

NAFTA-TAA-05190; *Sequa Corp., Men's Apparel Group, Athens, GA: August 10, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04897; *Komatsu Mining Systems, Inc., Peoria Operations, Peoria, IL: May 2, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04898; *Fansteel Intercast, a/k/a Fansteel/Escast Co., Addison, IL: May 16, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05255; *Interroll Corp., Wilmington, NC: August 20, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05148; *PasticSource, Inc., Kelly Staff Leasing, El Paso, TX: July 26, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05089; *Malbon, Inc., Hiram, GA: July 16, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04434; *Precise Cutting, Marking & Grading, Los Angeles, CA: December 20, 1999.*

NAFTA-TAA-05036; *Andrew Corp., RF Subsystems Group, Orland Park, IL: June 27, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04917; *Pratt and Whitney HAC, Grand Prairie, TX: May 29, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05266; *UniFirst Corp., Wilburton, OK: August 24, 2000.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of September, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: September 24, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-25467 Filed 10-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,725]

Ametek/Dixson Grand Junction, CO; Including Employees of Ametek/Dixson, Grand Junction, CO; Located in Sellersville, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the

Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 17, 2001, applicable to workers of Ametek/Dixson, Grand Junction, Colorado. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2001 (66 FR 22262).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations occurred involving two employees of the Grand Junction, Colorado facility of Ametek/Dixson, located in Sellersville, Pennsylvania. These employees are engaged in employment related to the production of instrumentation for trucks at the Grand Junction, Colorado location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the Ametek/Dixson, Grand Junction, Colorado facility located in Sellersville, Pennsylvania.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Ametek/Dixson adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-38,725 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Ametek/Dixson, Grand Junction, Colorado including workers of the Grand Junction, Colorado facility located in Sellersville, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 9, 2000, through April 17, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of September, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-25466 Filed 10-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221 (a)

of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under title II, chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than October 22, 2001.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than October 22, 2001.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of September, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.