This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act and the regulations of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (40 CFR 1506.6). All comments that we receive, including names and addresses, will become part of the official administrative record and may be made available to the public. We will evaluate West Fork's request for an amendment and comments submitted thereon, along with the documents associated with the permit, to determine whether the application meets the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act regulations and section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act. If we determine that those requirements are met, we will amend permit PRT-777837 for incidental take of Canada lynx and bull trout. We will make our final decision no sooner than 30 days from the date of this notice.

Dated: October 1, 2001.

Rowan W. Gould,

Deputy Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1, Portland, Oregon. [FR Doc. 01–25952 Filed 10–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Thirty-Day Notice of Submission of Study Package to Office of Management and Budget—Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) Social Science Program has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for clearance of an extended program of surveys of the public related to the mission of the NPS. The NPS is publishing this notice to inform the public of this program and to request

comments on the program.

Since many of the NPS surveys are similar in terms of the population being surveyed, the types of questions being asked, and research methodologies, the NPS proposed to OMB and received clearance for a pilot program of approval for NPS visitor surveys (OMB #1024–0224 exp. 8/31/2001). The program presented an alternative approach to complying with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). In the two years since the NPS received clearance for the program of expedited approval, 58 visitor surveys have been

conducted in units of the National Park Service. The benefits of this program have been significant to the NPS, Department of the Interior (DOI), OMB, NPS cooperators, and the public. Significant time and cost savings have been incurred. Expedited approval was typically granted in 45 days or less from the date the Principal Investigator first submitted a survey package for review. This is a significant reduction over the approximate 6 months involved in the standard OMB approval process. It is estimated that the expedited approval process saved a total of 261 months in Fiscal Years 1999 and 2000. In two years, the expedited approval process has accounted for a cost savings to the federal government and PIs estimated at \$92,250. The initial program included surveys of park visitors. The extended program will include surveys of park visitors, potential park visitors, and residents of communities near parks.

Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR Part 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the National Park Service is soliciting comments on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the NPS, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the NPS estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted on or before November 15, 2001.

SEND COMMENTS TO: Dr. Gary E. Machlis, Visiting Chief Social Scientist, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., (3127), Washington, DC 20204.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY OF THE STUDY PACKAGE SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW, CONTACT: Dr. Gary E. Machlis, Voice: 202–208–5391, Fax: 202–208–4620, Email: garymachlis@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Programmatic Approval of NPS-Sponsored Public Surveys.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: 1024–0224.

Expiration Date: To be requested.

Type of Request: Extension of a

currently approved collection.

Description of Need: The National

Park Service needs information

concerning park visitors and visitor services, potential park visitors, and residents of communities near parks to provide park managers with usable knowledge for improving the quality and utility of park programs and planning efforts.

Automated Data Collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information, since the information gathering process involves asking the public to evaluate services and facilities that they used during their park visits, services and facilities they are likely to use on future park visits, perceptions of park services and facilities, and opinions regarding park management. The burden on individuals is minimized by rigorously designing public surveys to maximize the ability of the surveys to use small samples of individuals to represent large populations of the public, and by coordinating the program of surveys to maximize the ability of new surveys to build on the findings of prior surveys.

Description of Respondents: A sample of visitors to parks, potential visitors to parks, and residents of communities near parks.

Estimate Average Number of Respondents: The program does not identify the number of respondents because that number will differ in each individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each information collection.

Estimated Average Number of Responses: The program does not identify the average number of responses because that number will differ in each individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each individual survey. For most surveys, each respondent will be asked to respond only one time, so in those cases the number of responses will be the same as the number of respondents.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: The program does not identify the average burden hours per response because that number will differ from individual survey to individual survey, depending on the purpose and design of each individual survey.

Frequency of Response: Most individual surveys will request only 1 response per respondent.

Estimate Annual Reporting Burden: The program identifies the requested total number of burden hours annually for all of the surveys to be conducted under its auspices to be 15,000 burden hours per year. The total annual burden per survey for most surveys conducted under the auspices of this program would be within the range of 100 to 300 hours.

Leonard E. Stowe,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, Acting WASO Administrative Program Center, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 01–25933 Filed 10–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

USITC SE-01-033

Notice of Correction

Agency Holding the Meeting: United States International Trade Commission. *Time and Date:* October 12, 2001 at 11:00 a.m.

Place: Room 101, 500 E Street S.W. Washington, DC 20436. Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

Status: Open to the public. On October 3, 2001, the Commission issued the agenda for the above referenced meeting. In that notice the Commission inadvertently announced that Commissioners' opinions concerning Carbon and Allov Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Egypt, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Ukraine, and Venezuela (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-417-421 and 731-TA-953-963 Preliminary) are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce on November 2, 2001. The correct date for the Commissioners' opinions to be transmitted to the Secretary in these

Issued: October 11, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–26115 Filed 10–12–01; 12:46 pm]

investigations is October 22, 2001.

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-01-034]

Sunshine Act Meeting

Agency Holding the Meeting: United States International Trade Commission. Time and Date: October 18, 2001 at 2:00 p.m.

Place: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

Status: Open to the public. Matters to be Considered:

- 1. Agenda for future meeting: none
- 2. Minutes.

- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. No. 731–TA–739 (Review) (Clad Steel Plate from Japan)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on October 29, 2001.)
- 5. Outstanding action jackets: None. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission: Issued: October 11, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–26116 Filed 10–12–01; 12:46 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

USITC SE-01-035

Sunshine Act Meeting

Agency Holding the Meeting: United States International Trade Commission. Time and Date: October 22, 2001 at 2:00 p.m.

Place: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436 Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

Status: Open to the public. Matters to be Considered:

- 1. Agenda for future meeting: none.
- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. Nos. 701–TA–365 and 731–TA–734–735 (Review)(Certain Pasta from Italy and Turkey)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on October 29, 2001.)
- 5. Inv. No. TA-201-73 (Injury Phase)(Steel)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its recommendations to the President on December 19, 2001.)
- 6. Outstanding action jackets: none. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission: Issued: October 11, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–26117 Filed 10–12–01; 12:46 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-day Notice of information collection under review: revision of a currently approved collection workplace risk supplement.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with emergency review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. OMB approval has been requested by October 24, 2001. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. If granted, the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. Comments should be directed to OMB, Office of Information Regulation Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer (202) 395-6466, Washington, DC 20503.

During the first 60 days of this same review period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. All comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to Michael Rand, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 7th Street, NW, Washington DC 20531, or facsimile at (202) 307–1463.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated,