

style, sample size, and descriptions to AMS to revise the standard. The petition was derived from a consensus of the dried fig industry.

#### **Proposed by Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS**

A notice proposing changes to the United States Standards for Dried Figs based on the petition was published in the May 9, 2001, **Federal Register** (66 FR 23662). AMS received three comments in response to the notice. Responses were received from California Fig Advisory Board, and two growers. All responses were in favor of the revisions. One grower had requested some further changes to the standard that were not addressed in the original petition, including an additional increase in the moisture tolerance and the inclusion of an additional style. Therefore additional changes should be addressed in a new petition. Based on the submitted information and petition, AMS is revising the standard for dried figs following the standard format for U.S. Grade Standards.

The grade of a sample unit of dried figs is ascertained by considering the factors of varietal characteristics, size, moisture, and flavor and odor which are not scored; the ratings for the factors of color, uniformity of size, defects, and maturity and development, which are scored; the total score; and the limiting rules which apply.

Accordingly, this proposal would establish grade levels "A", "B" and "Substandard" with corresponding score points assigned for each level. Tolerances for each quality factor for each grade level are established. These changes will bring the standard for dried figs in line with present quality levels being marketed today and provide guidance in the effective utilization of dried figs.

AMS believes that revisions to the standard are needed to meet the needs of industry and that these changes will allow for a better marketing environment for all dried fig producers.

This revision becomes effective 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: November 15, 2001.

**A.J. Yates,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 01-29112 Filed 11-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

### **Forest Service**

#### **Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area (SRA) Advisory Council**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** An Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Advisory Council meeting will convene in Stayton, Oregon on Monday, December 3, 2001. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 6 p.m. will conclude at approximately 8:30 p.m. The meeting will be held in the South Room of the Stayton Community Center located on 400 West Virginia Street in Stayton, Oregon.

The Opal Creek Wilderness and Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Act of 1996 (Opal Creek Act) (Pub. L. 104-208) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to establish the Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Advisory Council. The Advisory Council is comprised of thirteen members representing state, county and city governments, and representatives of various organizations, which include mining industry, environmental organizations, inholders in Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area, economic development, Indian tribes, adjacent landowners and recreation interests. The council provides advice to the Secretary of Agriculture on preparation of a comprehensive Opal Creek Management Plan for the SRA, and consults on a periodic and regular basis on the management of the area. The tentative agenda will include discussion of the Environmental Analysis for the proposed SRA Management Plan and the effects of alternatives and a public comment period.

The public comment period is tentatively scheduled to begin at 8 p.m. Time allotted for individual presentations will be limited to 3 minutes. Written comments are encouraged, particularly if the material cannot be presented within the time limits of the comment period. Written comments may be submitted prior to the December 3 meeting by sending them to Designated Federal Official Stephanie Phillips at the address given below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For more information regarding this meeting, contact Designated Federal Official Stephanie Phillips; Willamette National Forest, Detroit Ranger District, HC 73 Box 329, Mill City, OR 97360; (503) 854-3366.

Dated: November 14, 2001.

**Y. Robert Iwamoto,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 01-29049 Filed 11-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

#### **Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews and request for revocation in part.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received a request to revoke one antidumping duty order in part.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 21, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4737.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2000), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. The Department also received a timely request to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia.

##### **Initiation of Reviews**

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than October 31, 2002.

	Period to be reviewed
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Malaysia: Extruded Rubber Thread A-557-805 .....	10/1/00-9/30/01
Filati Lastex Elastofibre Sdn. Bhd. Heveafil Sdn. Bhd.	
The People's Republic of China:	
Helical Spring Lock Washers <sup>1</sup> A-570-822 .....	10/1/00-9/30/01
Hangzhou Spring Washer Plant (aka Zhejiang Wanxin Group Co., Ltd.).	
Barium Chloride <sup>2</sup> A-570-007 .....	10/1/00-9/30/01
China National Chemicals Import and Export Corp.	
Zhang Jia Ba	
Tangshan	
Tianjin Chemical	
Red Star	
Linshu	
Ermeishang	
Hengnan	
Buohai	
Kunghan	
Xinji	
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
None.	
<b>Suspension Agreements</b>	
Russia: Uranium A-821-802 .....	10/1/00-9/30/01

<sup>1</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>2</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of barium chloride from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 15, 2001.

**Holly A. Kuga,**  
Senior Office Director for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-29144 Filed 11-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

#### Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Rescission of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Rescission of new shipper review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 28, 2001, the Department initiated a new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India for Metal Forgings Pvt. Ltd. (Metal Forgings). Pursuant to section 351.214(f)(2)(ii) of the Department's regulations, we find that an expansion of the normal review period to include the entry of the subject merchandise would be likely to prevent the completion of the review within the time limits set by the Department's regulations, and therefore we are rescinding this new shipper review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 21, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Killiam or Mike Heaney, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

202-482-5222 and 202-482-4475, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

##### Background

On March 28, 2001, the Department initiated a new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India, for the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 manufactured or exported by Metal Forgings. *See Certain Stainless Steel Flanges from India*, 66 FR 16905. Metal Forgings' responses to our original and supplemental questionnaires showed that its merchandise was not loaded for export until April 26, 2001, and therefore could not have entered the United States until, at the earliest, sometime in the fourth month after the conclusion of the period of review (POR).

##### Rescission of Review

Under section 351.214(f)(2)(ii) of the Department's regulations, when the sale of the subject merchandise occurs