

143,000 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of annual pipeline capacity and by 20,000 Dth/d of winter only capacity. PG&E estimates that the cost of the facilities is estimated to be approximately \$111.3 million, which it states will be financed using internally-generated funds. PG&E proposes to install the looping and compression facilities in order to provide the additional transportation service by November 2003 or sooner. PG&E requests Commission approval by December 31, 2002, at the latest, in order to complete the installation of the proposed facilities in time for the 2003/2004 winter heating season.

PG&E states that it held an open season in which it made capacity on its system available to interested shippers on a not unduly discriminatory basis. PG&E states that as a result it has executed binding, long term precedent agreements for a total of 143,000 Dth/d of annual service and 20,000 Dth/d of winter-only service for terms averaging 25.3 years with five shippers to serve new electric generation projects and other uses in the Pacific Northwest and California. This represents 100% of the proposed expansion capacity. PG&E states that these precedent agreements demonstrate that there is sufficient market demand for natural gas transportation service on PG&E's system to support this project.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to John A. Roscher, Director, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation; 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900; Portland, Oregon; 97201, (503) 833-4254.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before December 7, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. The preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the

instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29239 Filed 11-21-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER02-303-000]

Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company; Notice of Filing

November 15, 2001.

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company (Williams EM&T) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 824d (1994), and part 35 of the Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR part 35, revised pages to the Reliability Must-Run Service Agreements (RMR Agreements) between Williams EM&T and the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) for certain RMR units located at the Alamitos and Huntington Beach Generating Stations.

The purpose of the filing is to update Williams EM&T's existing RMR Agreements to reflect an extension of the two existing RMR Agreements and certain annual updates to Schedules A, B, D and J of the RMR Agreements. Copies of the filing were served upon the ISO and Southern California Edison Company.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before December 4, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on

file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-filing" link.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29240 Filed 11-21-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG02-26-000, et al.]

CPN 3rd Turbine, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

November 15, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. CPN 3rd Turbine, Inc.

[Docket No. EG02-26-000]

Take notice that on November 9, 2001, CPN 3rd Turbine, Inc. (CPN) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

CPN, a Delaware corporation, proposes to own and operate a 45 MW natural gas-fired, simple-cycle, combination turbine generator located at the John F. Kennedy International Airport. CPN will sell the output at wholesale to Calpine Energy Services, L.P., and other purchasers.

Comment date: December 6, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. FPL Energy Marcus Hook, L.P.

[Docket No. EG02-27-000]

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, FPL Energy Marcus Hook, L.P. (the Applicant), with its principal office at 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, FL 33408, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status

pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it is a Delaware limited partnership engaged directly and exclusively in the business of developing and operating an approximately 740 MW generating facility to be located in Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania. Electric energy produced by the facility will be sold at wholesale or at retail exclusively to foreign consumers.

Comment date: December 6, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. Duke Energy Murray, LLC

[Docket No. EG02-28-000]

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Duke Energy Murray, LLC (Duke Murray) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the Commission) for determination an application for exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Duke Murray is a Delaware limited liability company that will be engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning and operating all or part of one or more eligible facilities to be located in Murray County, Georgia. The eligible facilities will consist of an approximately 1,240 MW natural gas-fired, combined cycle electric generation plant and related interconnection facilities. The output of the eligible facilities will be sold at wholesale.

Comment date: December 6, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Condon Wind Power, LLC

[Docket No. EG02-29-000]

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Condon Wind Power, LLC (Condon Wind Power), whose sole member is SeaWest WindPower, Inc., located at 1455 Frazee Road, Ninth Floor, San Diego, California 92108, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Condon Wind Power will construct, own or lease and operate a wind-powered generating facility located near

Condon, Oregon (the Project). The Project, which is to be developed in two phases, will have a total maximum output of 49.8 MW. Phase I is expected to begin commercial operation no later than December 31, 2001; Phase II is expected to begin commercial operation on or about June 15, 2002. Condon Wind Power will be engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or leasing (or subleasing) and/or operating the Project and selling electric energy exclusively at wholesale within the meaning of section 32(a) of PUHCA.

Comment date: December 6, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

5. Duke Energy Murray, LLC

[Docket No. ER02-302-000]

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Duke Energy Murray, LLC (Duke Murray) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act its proposed FERC Electric Tariff No. 1.

Duke Murray seeks authority to sell energy and capacity, as well as ancillary services, at market-based rates, together with certain waivers and preapprovals. Duke Murray also seeks authority to sell, assign, or transfer transmission rights that it may acquire in the course of its marketing activities. Duke Murray seeks an effective date 60 days from the date of filing for its proposed rate schedules.

Comment date: December 4, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-304-000]

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) submitted for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) two service agreements for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service and Loss Compensation Service with Texas-NM Power Company (Transmission Customer).

SPP requests an effective date of November 8, 2001 for these service agreements. A copy of this filing was served on the Transmission Customer.

Comment date: December 4, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Condon Wind Power, LLC

[Docket No. ER02-305-000]

Take notice that on November 9, 2001, Condon Wind Power, LLC