all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public version of the official record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public version of the official record without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Offer alternative ways to improve the notice or collection activity.
- 7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
- 8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket control number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

The preliminary organophosphorous cumulative risk assessment is being made available for comment. The assessment represents a new way of analyzing data about potential exposure to pesticides. The Agency's methods result in measurements of the probability of exposure to more than one organophophorous pesticide and an assessment of such combined exposure. While EPA is interpreting the results of these analyses and the soundness of these methods, it is too soon to draw firm conclusions about risks or consider risk management possibilities. The risk mitigation measures that have already been taken on individual members of this group of pesticides have led to significant reduction in potential risk,

and EPA is continuing to address risks as they are identified. The Agency has confidence in the methods used to generate the results given the numerous scientific reviews conducted before completion of this preliminary cumulative risk assessment. Based on this analysis, EPA continues to have confidence in the overall safety of our food supply and emphasizes the importance of eating a varied diet rich in fruits and vegetables. Pesticide residues in drinking water do not appear to be a major contributor to risk. Although most indoor uses of organophophorous pesticides have been eliminated through earlier risk reduction actions, a few remaining uses may be re-evaluated.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Chemicals, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: December 19, 2001.

Lois A. Rossi,

Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 01–31938 Filed 12–27–01; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

December 13, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÁ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to

minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before January 23, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at *jboley@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0391. Title: Program to Monitor the Impacts of the Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket Nos. 98–2002 and 96–45.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 900 respondents; 1,439 responses.

Ēstimated Time Per Response: 40 minutes to 1.5 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,716. Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Commission has a program to monitor the impacts of the universal service support mechanisms. The program requires periodic reporting by telephone companies and the universal service administrator. The information is used by the Commission, Federal-State Joint Boards, Congress, and the general public to assess the impacts of the decisions of the Commission and Joint Boards. This information collection has been revised because several data elements contained in the monitoring program were eliminated due to access reform.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0823. Title: Pay Telephone Reclassification, Memorandum Opinion and Order, CC Docket No. 96–128.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Numbers of Respondents: 400.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 to 35 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; recordkeeping requirement; and third part disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 400 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$480,000.

Needs and Use: In the Memorandum Opinion and Order issued in CC Docket No. 96–128, the Commission clarified requirements established in the Payphone Orders for the provision of payphone-specific coding digits by LECs and PSPs, to IXCs, beginning October 7, 1997. Specifically, the Order clarified that only FLEX ANI complies with the requirements; required that LECs file tariffs to FLEX ANI as a nonchargeable option to IXCs; required that LECs file tariffs to recover costs associated with implementing FLEX ANI; and grants permission and certain waivers. This is an extension of a currently approved collection. The Commission is seeking approval to extend this collection for three years, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–31863 Filed 12–27–01; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

December 12, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance

the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before January 28, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at *lesmith@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

OMB Control Number: 3060–0053. Title: Application for Consent to Transfer of Control of Corporation Holding Station License.

Form Number: FCC Form 703. Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 40. Estimated Time Per Response: 36 mins.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 24 hrs. Total Estimated Cost: \$2,000. Needs and Uses: The

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and 47 CFR 5.59 of FCC Rules require applicants for Experimental Radio Services to submit FCC 703 when they propose to change, via a transfer of stock ownership, the control of a station. This information is used to determine eligibility for licenses, without which, violations of ownership regulations may occur. The FCC has made various revisions to Form 703: (1) Expiration date was deleted; (2) public coast and common carrier Alaska public fixed stations questions were removed; (3) fee multiple was deleted; (4) "FOR FCC USE ONLY" field was removed; (5) fields were added for the transferee's address and contact information to include an "Attention" field; (6) field labeled "FCC Registration Number (FRN)" was added; (7) Internet URL address was added; (8) references to item numbers were changed to match

the change in the form numbering; (9) instructions pertaining to FCC Forms 159 and 160 were added; (11) only Experimental Radio Service regular and courier addresses are given; and (12) instructions were revised.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0057. Title: Application for Equipment Authorization, 47 CFR Sections 2.911, 2.925, 2.932, 2.944, 2.960, 2.1033(a), and 2.1043.

Form Number: FCC 731.

Type of Review: Revision of currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 5,600. Estimate of Time Per Response: 24 hrs. (avg.)

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements; Third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 134,400. Total Annual Costs: \$1,120,000.

Needs and Uses: Under sections of 47 CFR parts 15 and 18 of FCC Rules, regulated equipment must comply with the FCC's technical standards before it is approved for marketing. Rules governing certain equipment operating in the licensed service also require equipment authorization under 47 CFR part 2. On September 13, 2001, the FCC adopted a First Report and Order, ET Docket No. 00-47, that established a "Class III Permissive Change." Manufacturers can now make changes affecting the frequency, power, and modulation parameters of software defined radios without having to file a new equipment authorization application. However, new software can not be loaded into radios until the FCC or a designated Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB) approves the manufacturer's software changes and test data showing compliance with FCC technical standards using the new software. In addition, the FCC now allows "electronic labeling" for software defined radio transmitters a liquid crystal display or similar screen displays the FCC identification number.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0805. Title: Section 90.523, Eligibilty; Section 90.527, Regional Plan Requirements, and Section 90.545, TV/ DTV Interference Protection Criteria. Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; and Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 26,656. Estimated Time per Response: 0.25 hrs. to 10,000 hrs.