

records. Appellate determinations, including extensions of time on appeal, with respect to records of the United States Secret Service will be made by the Deputy Director, United States Secret Service. Appeals may be mailed or delivered personally to: Privacy Act Amendment Appeal, Deputy Director, United States Secret Service, 950 H Street, NW., Suite 8300, Washington, DC 20373-5802.

\* \* \* \* \*

5. Amend 31 CFR part 1, subpart C, appendix D—UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE, paragraph 6, by removing “Room 843, 1800 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20223,” and adding in its place, “Suite 8300, 950 H Street, NW., Washington, DC 20373-5802.”

Date: February 6, 2001.

W. Earl Wright, Jr.,

Chief Management and Administrative Programs Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-3634 Filed 2-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-42-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 131

[FRL -6941-1]

RIN 2040-AC44

### Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final Rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to a final rule, *Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California*, also known as the California Toxics Rule, which was published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, May 18, 2000 (65 FR 31682). The California Toxics Rule promulgated numeric aquatic life and human health criteria for priority toxic pollutants and a compliance schedule provision which authorizes the State to issue schedules of compliance for new or revised National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit limits based on the federal criteria when certain conditions are met.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This action is effective February 13, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** The administrative record for the final rule is available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Water

Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. For access to the administrative record, call Diane E. Fleck, P.E., Esq. at (415) 744-1997 for an appointment. A reasonable fee will be charged for photocopies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Diane E. Fleck, P.E., Esq. or Philip Woods, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Water Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 744-1984 or (415) 744-1997, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 18, 2000, EPA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** titled *Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California* (see 65 FR 31682) that contained typographical errors. These typographical errors consisted of omission of units in the column headings to a table, inadvertent placement of a zero in one of the numeric criteria values, an oversight in the correct CAS number for a pollutant, and the incorrect placement of a parameter in a formula. This action corrects those typographical errors. These corrections are all minor in nature and do not substantively alter the final rule.

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. EPA has determined that there is good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because this action merely corrects typographical errors in a rule that already went through public notice and comment. Furthermore, the corrections in today's rule are all minor in nature and do not substantively alter the final rule. Thus, notice and public procedure are unnecessary. EPA finds that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. Because the agency has made a “good cause” finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility

Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), or to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). In addition, this action does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. This rule also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant. This technical correction action does not involve technical standards; thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). EPA's compliance with these statutes and Executive Orders for the underlying rule is discussed in the May 18, 2000, **Federal Register** notice (65 FR 31682).

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA has made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefor, and established an effective date of February 13, 2001. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not

a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 131

Environmental protection, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, water pollution control.

Dated: January 19, 2001.

**J. Charles Fox,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, part 131 of chapter 1 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 131—WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

1. The authority citation for part 131 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

#### Subpart D—[Amended]

2. Section 131.38 is amended:

a. In the table to paragraph (b)(1) under the column heading for “B Freshwater” by revising the column headings for “Criterion Maximum Concentration” and “Criterion Continuous Concentration”.

b. In the table to paragraph (b)(1) under the column heading for “C

Saltwater” by revising the column headings for “Criterion Maximum Concentration” and “Criterion Continuous Concentration”.

c. Revising entry “23.” to the table in paragraph (b)(1).

d. Revising entry “67.” to the table in paragraph (b)(1).

e. Revising paragraph (b)(2)(ii).

The revisions read as follows:

#### § 131.38 Establishment of Numeric Criteria for priority toxic pollutants for the State of California.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b)(1) \* \* \*

A		B Freshwater		C Saltwater		D Human health (10 <sup>-6</sup> risk for carcinogens) For consumption of:	
# Compound	CAS number	Criterion maximum conc. (µg/ L) <sup>d</sup> B1	Criterion continous conc. (µg/ L) <sup>d</sup> B2	Criterion maximum conc. (µg/ L) <sup>d</sup> C1	Criterion continous conc. (µg/ L) <sup>d</sup> C2	Water & organisms (µg/L) D1	Organisms only (µg/L) D2
23. Chlorodibromomethane .....	124481	*	*	*	*	a,c 0.41	a,c 34
67. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether .....	108601	*	*	*	*	a 1,400	a,t 170,000

Footnotes to table in Paragraph (b)(1):

<sup>a</sup> Criteria revised to reflect the Agency q1\* or RfD, as contained in the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) as of October 1, 1996. The fish tissue bioconcentration factor (BCF) from the 1980 documents was retained in each case.

<sup>c</sup> Criteria are based on carcinogenicity of 10<sup>-6</sup> risk.

<sup>d</sup> Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) equals the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for a short period of time without deleterious effects. Criteria Continuous Concentration (CCC) equals the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for an extended period of time (4 days) without deleterious effects. µg/L equals micrograms per liter.

<sup>t</sup> These criteria were promulgated for specific waters in California in the NTR. The specific waters to which the NTR criteria apply include: Waters of the State defined as bays and estuaries including San Francisco Bay upstream to and including Suisun Bay and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta; and waters of the State defined as inland (i.e., all surface waters of the State not bays or estuaries or ocean) without a MUN use designation. This section does not apply instead of the NTR for these criteria.

\* \* \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(ii)  $CCC = WER \times (\text{Chronic Conversion Factor}) \times (\exp\{m_c[\ln(\text{hardness})] + b_c\})$

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 01-3617 Filed 2-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 21, 73, and 76

[MM Docket Nos. 94-150, 92-51, and 87-154; FCC 00-438]

[RIN 3060-AF82]

#### Attribution Rules

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; petition for reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** This document concerns rules and policies for attributing cognizable interests in applying the broadcast multiple ownership rules, the broadcast-cable cross-ownership rule, and the cable-Multipoint Distribution Service cross-ownership rule. The intended effect of this action is to clarify and resolve issues raised in petitions for reconsideration pertaining to the application of the Commission's attribution rules.

**DATES:** Effective April 16, 2001. Written comments by the public on the proposed information collections are due April 16, 2001. Written comments must be submitted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on the proposed information collection(s) on or before April 16, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Washington DC 20554. A copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov), and the Edward C. Springer, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to [edward.springer@omb.eop.gov](mailto:edward.springer@omb.eop.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cyndi Thomas or Mania Baghdadi, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau, at (202) 418-2120. For additional information concerning the information collection(s) contained in this document, contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214, or via the Internet at [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the *Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration ("MO&O")* in MM Docket Nos. 94-150, 92-51, and 87-154, FCC 00-438, adopted on December 14, 2000, and released on January 19, 2001. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Room CY-A257, Washington DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington DC. The complete text is also available under the file name fcc00438.doc on the

Commission's Internet site at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov).

This MO&O contains either new or modified information collection(s) subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). The general public and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the proposed information collections contained in this proceeding.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This MO&O contains either new or modified information collections. The Commission, therefore, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget to comment on the information collections contained in this MO&O as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Public and agency comments are due 60 days from date of publication of this MO&O in the **Federal Register**. Comments should address: (a) Whether the new or modified collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

OMB Approval Number: 3060-XXXX

Title: Reconsideration of Mass Media Attribution Rules, MM Docket Nos. 94-150, 92-51, and 87-154.