aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1 (series), this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. Promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations has been found not to have significant effect on the human environment. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 117 as follows:

# PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. Sec. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); Section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. From 12:01 a.m., December 27, 2001, through 9 a.m., March 11, 2002, § 117.T408 is added to read as follows:

#### §117.T408 Upper Mississippi River.

From 12:01 a.m., December 27, 2001 through 9 a.m., March 11, 2002, the drawspan of the Illinois Central Railroad Drawbridge, mile 579.9, requires 24 hours advance notice for bridge operation.

Dated: December 27, 2001.

#### Roy J. Casto,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. 02–504 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Coast Guard**

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach 01–013] RIN 2115–AA97

# Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a security zone covering all waters within Port Hueneme Harbor in Ventura County, CA. This security zone is needed for national security reasons to protect the Naval Base Ventura County and the commercial port from potential subversive acts. Entry into this zone is prohibited, unless specifically

authorized by the Capitan of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, the Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County, or their designated representatives.

**DATES:** The rule is effective from 12:01 a.m. PST on December 21, 2001 to 11:59 p.m. PDT on June 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach 01–013 and are available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Los Angeles-Long Beach, 1001 South Seaside Avenue, Building 20, San Pedro, California, 90731, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Ken O'Connor, Waterways Management, at (310) 732–2020.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. Publishing an NPRM, which would incorporate a comment period before a final rule was issued, would be contrary to the public interest since immediate action is needed to protect the public, ports, and waterways of the United States. For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. The Coast Guard will issue a broadcast notice to mariners advising of this new rule.

#### **Background and Purpose**

Based on the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Arlington, Virginia, there is an increased risk that further subversive activity may be launched against the United States. These terrorist acts have increased the need for safety and security measures on U.S. ports and waterways as further attacks may be launched from vessels within the area of Port Hueneme Harbor and the Naval Base Ventura County.

In response to these terrorist acts, to prevent similar occurrences, and to protect the Naval Facilities at Port Hueneme Harbor and the Naval Base Ventura County, the Coast Guard is establishing a security zone in all waters within Port Hueneme Harbor. This security zone is necessary to prevent damage or injury to any vessel or waterfront facility, and to safeguard

ports, harbors, or waters of the United States in Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, CA. Specifically this security zone prohibits all vessels from entering Port Hueneme Harbor, beyond the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGS) demarcation line set forth in section 80.1120 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), without first filing a proper Advance Notification of Arrival as required by sections 160.T208-T214 of Title 33 of the CFR as well as obtaining clearance from Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County, "Control 1".

This security zone is established pursuant to the authority of the Magnuson Act regulations promulgated by the President under 50 U.S.C. 191, including sections 6.01 and 6.04 of Title 33 of the CFR. Vessels or persons violating this section are subject to the penalties set forth in 50 U.S.C. 192: seizure and forfeiture of the vessel, a monetary penalty of not more than \$10,000, and imprisonment for not more than 10 years.

This rule will be enforced by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, who may also enlist the aid and cooperation of any Federal, State, county, municipal, and private agencies to assist in the enforcement of this rule. Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County, "Control 1," will control vessel traffic entering Port Hueneme Harbor.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979) because this zone will encompass a small portion of the waterway.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

For the same reasons stated in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

# Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the

effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

# **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Environment**

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that it is categorically excluded from further environmental review.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping

requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add a new temporary § 165.T11-060 to read as follows:

#### §165.T11-060 Security Zone; Port Hueneme Harbor, Ventura County, California.

(a) Location. The following area is a Security Zone: The water area of Port Hueneme Harbor inside of the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGS) demarcation line.

(b) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, the following rules apply to the security zone established by this section:

(i) No person or vessel may enter or remain in this security zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA, or the Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County, CA, "Control 1,";

(ii) Vessels that are required to make Advanced Notifications of Arrival as per §§ 160.T204-T214 of part 160 of this chapter continue to make such reports;

(iii) All vessels must obtain clearance from "Control 1" on VHF-FM marine radio 06 prior to crossing the COLREGS demarcation line at Port Hueneme Harbor:

(iv) Vessels without marine radio capability must obtain clearance in advance by contacting "Control 1" via telephone at (805) 982–3938 prior to crossing the COLREGS demarcation line at Port Hueneme Harbor.

(2) The Captain of the Port will notify the public of this Security Zone via broadcast and published notice to mariners.

(3) Nothing in this section shall be construed as relieving the owner or person in charge of any vessel from complying with the rules of the road and safe navigation practice.

(4) The regulations of this section will be enforced by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, the Commanding Officer, Naval Base Ventura County or their authorized representatives.

(c) Dates. This section becomes effective at 12:01 a.m. PST on December

21, 2001, and will terminate at 11:59 p.m. PDT on June 15, 2002.

Dated: December 21, 2001.

#### J.M. Holmes,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach. [FR Doc. 02-502 Filed 1-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165 [CGD01-01-223] RIN 2115-AA97

## Safety Zone; Fore River Bridge Repairs—Weymouth, MA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the Fore River (Route 3A) Bridge Repairs, starting January 1, 2002 until September 30, 2002, in Weymouth, MA. The safety zone is to ensure the safe operation of a 55-foot-wide crane barge underneath the Fore River Bridge in order to conduct repair operations, Monday through Saturday of each week during the effective time period and is necessary to protect maritime traffic in the area of the safety zone. The safety zone prohibits vessels from operating within 30-feet of the barge.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from January 1, 2002 until September 30,

ADDRESSES: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Boston, 455 Commercial Street, Boston, MA between the hours of 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Petty Officer Michael Popovich, Marine Safety Office Boston, Waterways Safety and Response Division, at (617) 223-3067.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory History**

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal** Register. Discussions were held with all interests most likely to be affected by this safety zone. These include Massachusetts Highway Department, The Middlesex Corporation (TMC), Weymouth Fore River operators, barge

and ferry companies, and recreational boater representatives. These interests agree that the parameters of the zone will not unduly impair business and unscheduled operations or transits of vessels. Therefore, notice and comment is unnecessary. Any delay encountered in this regulation's effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to protect marine traffic from bridge construction hazards while transiting a portion of the Fore River, Weymouth, Massachusetts, during the Fore River Bridge repairs. This safety zone should have minimal impact on vessel transits due to the fact that the safety zone does not block the entire channel, and procedures have been established for the movement of the construction barge, should larger vessels that are unable to transit around the barge while in the channel, need to transit the area. Notifications will be made to the maritime community via notice to mariners and marine information broadcasts informing them of boundaries of the zone.

#### **Background and Purpose**

A previous rulemaking, published at 66 FR 13851, effective from February 21 through December 31, 2001, established a safety zone identical to that established in this rulemaking to conduct repairs to the Fore River Bridge. Additional time is needed to complete the repairs required to allow for the proper operation of the bridge. The safety zone allows TMC to place a 55foot-wide crane barge in the Fore River underneath the Fore River Bridge to conduct repair operations, Monday through Saturday of each week from January 1, 2002 through September 30, 2002. This safety zone prohibits vessels from operating within 30-feet of the barge. Most marine traffic may transit safely outside of the safety zone during the repairs. In the event a large vessel should need to transit the channel, the TMC barge shall move upon request. Requests to move the barge should be made directly to TMC at (781) 665-3261 or (978) 590-2754 with as much advance notice as possible (at least 8 hours is preferred). The Captain of the Port anticipates minimal negative impact on vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the effective period via safety marine information broadcasts.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that