not interfere with the roles, rights, and responsibilities of states.

# Civil Justice Reform (Executive Order 12988)

In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor determined that this rule did not unduly burden the judicial system and met the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This rate adjustment did not affect the collection of information which had been approved by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The OMB Control Number is 1076–0141 and expires November 30, 2002.

#### **National Environmental Policy Act**

The Department determined that this rate adjustment did not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement was required under the National Environmental Policy Act of

Dated: March 5, 2002.

#### Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.
[FR Doc. 02–6446 Filed 3–15–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–W7–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **Bureau of Indian Affairs**

## **Land Acquisitions**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of decision to vacate Final Agency Determination to take land into trust under 25 CFR part 151.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs vacates the Final Agency Determination that was published in the Federal Register on June 28, 1996, to take approximately 165 acres of land into trust for the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe of Connecticut. This notice is published in the exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 Departmental Manual 8.1.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry E. Scrivner, Deputy Director, Office of Trust Responsibilities, MS 4513 MIB, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20240; Telephone 202– 208–5831.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 22, 1996, the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs made a final decision to acquire approximately 165 acres of land into trust for the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe of Connecticut, A Notice of Final Agency Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 1996, 61 FR 33764. The notice provided for judicial review of the administrative decision and stated that the Secretary shall accept title in the name of the United States in trust for the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe the five tracts of land described below no sooner than 30 days after the date of the notice. The Department has not accepted the land into trust due to ongoing litigation. By letter dated February 21, 2002, the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe withdrew its application that requested the Secretary to accept title to the 165 acre parcel into trust.

At the request of the Tribe, our final decision of May 22, 1996, to acquire approximately 165 acres of land into trust for the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe of Connecticut is withdrawn. Effective immediately, the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs vacates the Final Agency Determination that was issued on June 28, 1996, to accept title to the land described below into trust for the Mashantucket Pequot Indian Tribe of Connecticut.

#### New London County, Connecticut

Lot #101 Town of North Stonington Lot #3 Town of North Stonington Lot #30 Town of Ledyard Lot #58 Town of Ledyard Lot #72 Town of Ledyard Lot #76 Town of Ledyard. Lot #82 Town of Ledyard

Dated: March 5, 2002.

#### Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs. [FR Doc. 02–6462 Filed 3–15–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–W7–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Cachuma Lake Resource Management Plan, Santa Barbara County, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to prepare a draft environmental impact statement

(DEIS) for the Cachuma Lake Resource Management Plan (RMP). The RMP will be issued concurrent with the DEIS. Reclamation will be conducting a public scoping meeting to elicit comments on the scope and issues to be addressed in both the RMP and the DEIS. The date and time of the meeting is listed below. Reclamation is also seeking written comments, as noted below. The Draft RMP and DEIS are expected to be issued in late 2002.

**DATES:** The scoping meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 1, 2002 at 7 p.m. in Goleta, California.

Written comments on the scope of alternatives and impacts to be considered should be sent to Reclamation at the address below by May 15, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting location is at the Goleta Community Center, 5679 Hollister Avenue, Goleta, California.

Written comments should be sent to Mr. Dan Holsapple, Bureau of Reclamation, South-Central California Area Office, 1243 N Street, Fresno, CA 93721–1813; or by telephone at (559) 487–5409; or faxed to (559) 487–5130 (TDD 559–487–5933).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Dan Holsapple, Bureau of Reclamation, at the above address, telephone: (559) 487–5409.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Cachuma Project is located along the Santa Ynez River in northern Santa Barbara County, California. It was constructed in the early 1950's by Reclamation under contract with Santa Barbara County Water Agency on behalf of local water purveyors, called the Cachuma Member Units. The project consists of Bradbury Dam, Cachuma Lake, and associated conveyance facilities. The lake is about 3,000 acres in size, surrounded by about 6,500 acres of Federal land. The project is the primary water supply for the communities of Santa Ynez, Santa Barbara, Goleta, Carpinteria, and Montecito. Reclamation executed a contract with Santa Barbara County (County) in 1953 to manage recreation at the lake. The County has developed a 375-acre recreation area on the south shore of the lake with picnicking, boating, hiking, and camping.

Reclamation is preparing an RMP for the Cachuma Project. The RMP will specifically address the County recreation area, including the entire lake and all Government land surrounding the lake. The objective of an RMP is to establish management objectives, guidelines, and actions to be implemented by Reclamation directly, or through its recreation contract, that will protect the water supply and water quality functions of Cachuma Lake; protect and enhance natural and cultural resources in the Recreation Area, consistent with Federal law and Reclamation policies; and provide recreational opportunities and facilities consistent with the Cachuma Project purposes and Reclamation policies.

The development of the RMP will be performed within the authorities provided by the Congress through the Reclamation Act, Federal Water Project Recreation Act, Reclamation Recreation Management Act, and applicable agency and Department of the Interior policies.

The RMP will be developed based on a comprehensive inventory of environmental resources and Project facilities. It will include an analysis of all resources in the area, identification of land use suitability and capability, and development of management policies, objectives, responsibilities, guidelines, and plans. The overall purpose of an RMP is to foster stewardship of Reclamation lands. The RMP will enable managers to make land use and resource decisions that are consistent with the overall management objectives of Reclamation land and water areas, while meeting the needs of the public. The RMP will assist Reclamation in its efforts to minimize conflicts among the competing interests and types of use at Cachuma Lake.

The plan will be developed with input from other Federal agencies such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the U.S. Forest Service, local involved agencies such as Santa Barbara County Parks and Recreation Department and the Cachuma Operations and Maintenance Board (representing the Project Member Units); and the general public. The plan will also be used to guide future recreational uses and administrative arrangements to be considered by Reclamation in its renewal of the recreation contract with Santa Barbara County, in a separate but related process.

The primary emphasis of the RMP will be protecting Cachuma Project water supplies, water quality, and natural resources, while enhancing recreational uses at and surrounding the lake. Specific issue areas to be addressed include (among others): recreational access to the north shore, body-contact recreation, protection of sensitive natural and cultural resources, and grazing practices.

The environmental impacts of the RMP and associated alternatives will be assessed in an EIS that will be prepared concurrent with the RMP. The environmental review will focus on the potential for management actions to

cause adverse environmental impacts to natural and cultural resources such as water quality, endangered species, public safety, and historic resources. It will include an analysis of alternative land, recreation, and natural resource management approaches.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may also be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: February 28, 2002.

#### Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer. [FR Doc. 02–6381 Filed 3–15–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-439]

In the Matter of: Certain HSP Modems, Software and Hardware Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Monaghan, Esq., telephone 202–205–3152, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server, http://www.usitc.gov.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS–ON–LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public.

Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 11, 2000, based on a complaint filed by PCTEL, Inc. ("PCTEL") of Milpitas, California. The complaint named Smart Link Ltd. of Netanya, Israel and Smart Link Technologies, Inc. of Watertown, Massachusetts (collectively "Smart Link") and ESS Technology, Inc. ("ESS") of Fremont, California as respondents. The complaint alleged that Smart Link and ESS had violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and/or selling within the United States after importation certain HSP modems, software and hardware components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1-2 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,787,305, claims 1-4, 7-8, and 11-15 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,931,950, claims 1, 2, 10, and 15-17 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,841,561, and claims 1, 6-7, 10-12, and 15-19 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,940,459. On June 28, 2001, the Commission determined not to review an ID on the merits terminating the investigation as to respondent Smart Link on the basis of a settlement agreement. On October 18, 2001, the ALI issued his final ID in the investigation, and on December 6, 2001, the Commission determined to review portions of the final ID and to extend the target date for completion of the investigation by 45 days, to March 4, 2002. On Friday, February 22, 2002, complainant PCTEL and respondent ESS filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On March 4, 2002, the Commission determined to extend the target date for completion of the investigation by 17 days, until March 21, 2002, to allow sufficient time for the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") to respond to the motion to terminate and for the Commission to rule on the motion. On March 6, 2002, the IA filed a response in support of the joint motion to terminate. This action is taken under the authority of section 337