in interest with respect to the Plan; (d) Safeway will be treated no differently than any other pharmacy participating in the PPN; and (e) the transaction is not part of an agreement, arrangement or understanding designed to benefit Safeway or any other party in interest with respect to the Plan.

For a more complete statement of the facts and representations supporting the Department's decision to grant this exemption refer to the notice of proposed exemption published on October 23, 2001 at 66 FR 53637.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This exemption is effective as of August 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary H. Lefkowitz of the Department, telephone (202) 693–8540. (This is not a toll-free number.)

### **General Information**

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

- (1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions to which the exemptions does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;
- (2) These exemptions are supplemental to and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transactional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and
- (3) The availability of these exemptions is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of January, 2002.

#### Ivan Strasfeld,

Director of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 02–549 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

#### LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

# Solicitation for Expressions of Interest in Participation in Design of a State Justice Community Evaluation Instrument

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation. **ACTION:** Solicitation of expressions of interest in participation in design of a State Justice Community Evaluation Instrument

DATE: Submit expressions of interest must be received by January 15, 2002. SUMMARY: LSC is establishing a Design Team to advise its consultant group on the development and testing of a tool that will effectively evaluate state justice community efforts and outcomes. LSC hereby solicits expressions of interest in appointment to the Design Team from individuals with experience in the provision of civil legal services to low income people and in outcome evaluation protocols.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia M. Hanrahan, Special Assistant to the Vice President for Programs, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First St., NE., Tenth Floor, Washington, DC 20002–4250; (202) 336–8848; phanrahan@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: LSC has retained Greacen Associates to develop a state justice community evaluation instrument that allows LSC to make reasonable and comparative judgments about the effectiveness, efficiency and adequacy of state justice communities established through state planning. To assist with this process and ensure the effectiveness of the product, LSC is establishing a Design Team composed of twelve members; up to six will be solicited through the LSC Web site and Federal Register. LSC is seeking external members representing national legal services advocacy organizations; individual recipients (preferable reflecting large/small and/or urban/rural diversity); clients; national and local organized bar associations; and other interested stakeholders. While there are no specific "criteria" for membership, it is expected that applicants will have the support of their organizations in participating in the effort and be

knowledgeable about the issues. Interested parties should have experience in evaluating outcomes, particularly in civil legal services organizations. Understanding the goals and purposes of state planning and related issues is also critical.

There will be three meetings: March 13 to 15, 2002 in Washington, DC; April 16 and 17 in Cleveland; May 16 and 17, 2002. Participation in conference calls and other communications such as email is also expected. The project will take nine months.

LSC hereby solicits expressions of interest in appointment to the Design Team from the civil legal services community, clients, advocates, the organized bar and other interested parties. Expressions of interest must be submitted no later than 15 days from the date of publication of this notice. Expressions of interest must be submitted in writing (by regular mail, fax or e-mail) to LSC's Patricia M. Hanrahan at the addresses listed in this notice.

Once LSC has received expressions of interest, the President working in consultation with the Vice President for Programs, will make appointments of individuals and organizations to the Design Team. Groups or organizations asked to participate in the Design Team will be responsible for selecting and designating their own representatives.

### Victor M. Fortuno,

General Counsel and Vice President for Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 02–520 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am]

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8681-MLA-11; ASLBP No. 02-795-02-MLA]

## International Uranium (USA) Corporation; Designation of Presiding Officer

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission, see 37 FR 28,710 (Dec. 29, 1972), and the Commission's regulations, see 10 CFR 2.1201, 2.1207, notice is hereby given that (1) a single member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel is designated as Presiding Officer to rule on petitions for leave to intervene and/or requests for hearing; and (2) upon making the requisite findings in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(h), the Presiding Officer will conduct an adjudicatory hearing in the following proceeding:

International Uranium (USA) Corporation White Mesa Uranium Mill

(Source Material License Amendment)

The hearing will be conducted pursuant to 10 CFR part 2, subpart L, of the Commission's Regulations, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." This proceeding concerns a December 15, 2001 hearing request submitted by William E. Love. The request was filed in response to an NRC staff proposal, following a staff environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact, to authorize an amendment to the International Uranium (USA) Corporation (IUSA) source material license for its Blanding, Utah White Mesa Uranium Mill that would permit IUSA to receive and process alternate feed materials from the Molycorp site located in Mountain Pass, California. The notice of final finding of no significant impact and opportunity for a hearing was published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2001 (66 FR 64,064).

The Presiding Officer in this proceeding is Administrative Judge Alan S. Rosenthal. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.722, 2.1209, Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole has been appointed to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and in preparing a suitable record for review.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with Judges Rosenthal and Cole in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1203. Their addresses are:

Administrative Judge Alan S. Rosenthal, Presiding Officer, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

Dr. Richard F. Cole, Special Assistant, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of January 2002.

### G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 02–496 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-17]

Portland General Electric Company; Trojan Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Notice of Docketing of Materials License SNM-2509 Amendment Application

By letter dated October 26, 2001, Portland General Electric Company (PGE) submitted an application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission), in accordance with 10 CFR part 72, requesting the amendment of the Trojan independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) license (SNM-2509) and the technical specifications for the ISFSI located in Columbia County, Oregon. PGE is seeking Commission approval to amend the materials license and the ISFSI technical specifications to reflect a change in supplier to Holtec International for portions of the Trojan ISFSI.

This application was docketed under 10 CFR part 72; the ISFSI Docket No. is 72–17 and will remain the same for this action. The amendment of an ISFSI license is subject to the Commission's approval.

The Commission may issue either a notice of hearing or a notice of proposed action and opportunity for hearing in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(1) or, if a determination is made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether public health and safety will be significantly affected, take immediate action on the amendment in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2) and provide notice of the action taken and an opportunity for interested persons to request a hearing on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html">http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html</a>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to <a href="mailto:pdr@nrc.gov">pdr@nrc.gov</a>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of December, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Charles L. Miller,

Acting Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 02–497 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-338]

Virginia Electric and Power Company; North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License (FOL) No. NPF–4, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, located in Louisa County, Virginia. As required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the FOL and Technical Specifications (TS) to remove expired license conditions, make editorial changes, relocate license conditions, remove redundant license conditions that are covered elsewhere in the license, and remove license conditions and TS associated with completed modifications.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 9, 2001.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because some requirements in the North Anna, Unit 1, FOL have become obsolete. In addition, the need for editorial changes has been identified.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed license amendment and associated changes to the TS are administrative in nature and have no effect on plant equipment or plant operation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no