(4) The image guide and protective sheath length must be at least 2 feet for more, and the distal tip diameter must be 0.450 inch or larger.

(5) There must be control handles for fourway tip articulation of the last 4 to 5 inches for a minimum of 100 degrees for each direction.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 27, 2002.

#### James E. Jackson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–7996 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4910–13–P$ 

#### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

#### 16 CFR Part 310

# Telemarketing Sales Rule; Notice of 15-Day Extension in Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("the Commission" or "FTC") has extended the comment period by which comments must be submitted concerning the proposed changes to its Telemarketing Sales Rule ("the Rule" or "TSR"). This document informs prospective commenters of the change and sets a new date of April 15, 2002, for the end of the comment period.<sup>1</sup>

**DATES:** Written comments will be received until the close of business on April 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Six paper copies of each paper and/or written comment should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If possible, comments should also be submitted in electronic form. To encourage prompt and efficient review and dissemination of the comments to the public, all comments should also be submitted, if possible, in electronic form, on either a  $5^{1/4}$  or a  $3^{1/2}$  inch computer disk, with a label on the disk stating the name of the commenter and the name and version of the word processing program used to create the document. (Programs based on DOS are preferred. Files from other operating systems should be submitted in ASCII text format to be accepted.) Individual members of the public filing comments need not submit multiple copies or comments in electronic form. Alternatively, the Commission will accept papers and

comments submitted to the following email address: tsr@ftc.gov, provided the content of any papers or comments submitted by email is organized in sequentially numbered paragraphs. All submissions should be identified as "Telemarketing Sales Rule Review—Comment. FTC File No. R411001."

Papers and written comments will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, and Commission Regulations, 16 CFR 4.9, on normal business days between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 130, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. The Commission will make this notice and, to the extent possible, all papers or comments received in response to this notice available to the public through the Internet at the following address: www.ftc.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Harrington-McBride (202) 326–2452, email cmcbride@ftc.gov; Karen Leonard (202) 326–3597, email kleonard@ftc.gov; or Carole Danielson (202) 326–3115, email cdanielson@ftc.gov, Division of Marketing Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 30, 2002, the Commission published a request for comment on proposed changes to its Telemarketing Sales Rule.<sup>2</sup> The Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("the Telemarketing Act" or "the Act") directed the Commission to promulgate rules to protect consumers from deceptive telemarketing practices and other abusive telemarketing activities. In response to this directive, the Commission adopted the TSR, which requires telemarketers to make specific disclosures of material information; prohibits misrepresentations; sets limits on the times telemarketers may call consumers; prohibits calls to a consumer who has asked not to be called again; and sets payment restrictions for the sale of certain goods and services. The comment period for proposed changes to the TSR is currently scheduled to close on March 29, 2002.

Several stakeholders that participated in the original rulemaking proceeding, in the rule review public workshop, and in the public forum focusing on the Rule's do-not-call provision have expressed concern that there will not be

sufficient time before March 29 to complete their responses to the Commission's Request for Comment on the proposed amendments. They have asked that the comment period be extended to enable them to complete their data collection. The Commission is mindful of the need to deal with this matter expeditiously. However, the Commission also is aware that the issues raised are complex and believes that the enhancement of the record that will be achieved by extending the comment period far outweighs any harm that might be caused by the delay.

Therefore, the Commission has decided to extend the comment period to April 15, 2002. This extension will provide sufficient time for commenters to prepare useful comments. This extension will not affect the date of the public forum to discuss the proposed changes to the TSR's provisions, but the Commission has determined to also extend the date by which applications to participate in the forum must be received. Accordingly, the public forum will be held, as originally scheduled, on June 5-7, 2002, and notification of interest in participating in the forum must be submitted in writing, but separate from public comments, on or before April 15, 2002, to Carole I. Danielson, Division of Marketing Practices, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

### List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 310

Telemarketing, Trade practices.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1601-1608.

By direction of the Commission.

### Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 02{-}8016\ Filed\ 4{-}2{-}02;\ 8{:}45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 70

[Petition IV-2000-1; FRL-7167-5]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Kerr-McGee Chemicals, LLC; Mobile County, AL

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces that the EPA Administrator has denied a petition to object to a state operating permit issued by the Alabama

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on March 29, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 67 FR 4492 (January 30, 2002).

Department of Environmental Management to Kerr-McGee Chemicals, LLC, Mobile County, Alabama. Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), petitioners may seek judicial review of the petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this decision under section 307 of the Act.

ADDRESSES: You may review copies of the final order, the petition, and other supporting information at EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303–8960. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before visiting day. The final order is also available electronically at the following address: http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/kerrmcgee decision2000.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Huey, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562–9104 or huey.joel@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to state operating permits if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period. Mobile Bay Watch, Inc., submitted a petition to the Administrator on May 22, 2000, seeking EPA's objection to the operating permit issued to Kerr-McGee Chemicals, LLC. The petitioner maintains that the Kerr-McGee Chemicals operating permit is inconsistent with the Act because the permit fails to: (1) Require adequate periodic monitoring of facility emissions; (2) require the facility to prepare a Risk Management Plan as well as Worst Case Scenario and Planning Case Scenario; and (3) reflect the comments submitted by Mobile Bay Watch during the 30-day draft permit period. Mobile Bay Watch also bases its petition on the following statements: (1) Kerr-McGee requested in its permit application that the number of federally enforceable limitations in the operating

permit be minimized; (2) Kerr-McGee requested in its permit application that the permit include a permit shield; (3) the period between the date of the permit application and the issuance of the draft permit was excessive; and (4) EPA failed to fully review the Kerr-McGee Chemicals permit. On February 1, 2002, the Administrator issued an order denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the Kerr-McGee Chemicals permit does not assure compliance with the Act on the grounds raised.

Dated: March 18, 2002.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 02–8063 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-711, MB Docket No. 02-66, RM-10252]

### Digital Television Broadcast Service; Rutland, VT

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Vermont ETV, Inc., licensee of noncommercial educational station WVER(TV), NTSC channel \*28, Rutland, Vermont, requesting the substitution of DTV channel \*9 for station WVER(TV)'s assigned DTV channel \*56. DTV Channel \*9 can be allotted to Rutland, Vermont, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (43-39-32 N. and 73-06-25 W.). However, since the community of Rutland is located 400 kilometers from the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence from the Canadian must be obtained for this allotment. As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel \*9 to Rutland with a power of 30 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 411 meters.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before May 23, 2002, and reply comments on or before June 7, 2002. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or

consultant, as follows: Jonathan D. Blake, Covington & Burling, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, P.O. Box 7566, Washington, DC 20044–7566 (Counsel for Vermont ETV, Inc.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 02-66, adopted March 25, 2002, and released April 1, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via-e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

# PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

#### §73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Vermont is amended by removing DTV Channel \*56 and adding DTV Channel \*9 at Rutland.