- 3. How often the collection is required: There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a license. Once a specific license has been issued, there is a 10-year resubmittal of the information for renewal of the license.
- 4. Who is required or asked to report: All applicants requesting a license of broad scope for byproduct material and all current licensees requesting renewal of a broad scope license.
- 5. The number of annual respondents:
- 6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 1.
- 7. Abstract: 10 CFR part 33 contains mandatory requirements for the issuance of a broad scope license authorizing the use of byproduct material. The subparts cover specific requirements for obtaining a license of broad scope. These requirements include equipment, facilities, personnel, and procedures adequate to protect health and minimize danger to life or property.

Submit, by June 3, 2002, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
  - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site (http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doccomment/omb/index.html). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 E 6, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of March 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–8040 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants".
- 3. The form number if applicable: N/A.
- 4. How often the collection is required: One-time submission with application for renewal of an operating license for a nuclear power plant and occasional collections for holders of renewed licenses.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Commercial nuclear power plant licensees who wish to renew their operating licenses.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: 20 (an average of 6 responses annually + 14 recordkeepers).

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 14 (6 respondents annually based on an estimate of the receipt of 19 new renewal applications over three years + 8 current recordkeepers).

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Approximately 432,333 hours (405,333 hours one-time reporting burden and 27,000 hours recordkeeping burden).

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: N/A.

10. Abstract: 10 CFR part 54 of the NRC regulations, "Requirements for

Renewal of Operating Licensees for Nuclear Power Plants," specifies the procedures, criteria, and standards governing nuclear power plant license renewal, including information submittal and recordkeeping requirements, so that the NRC may make determinations that the operation of civilian nuclear power reactors during the extended term of the license will adequately protect the health and safety of the public and the environment.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by May 3, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0155), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of March 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–8037 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-443]

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–86, issued to North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would make administrative changes to Technical Specification Sections 1.9, Core Alteration; 1.14, Engineered Safety Features Response Time; and 1.29, Reactor Trip Response Time.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated August 6, 2001, as supplemented by letters dated November 2, 2001, and February 1, 2002.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action will allow the licensee to implement Technical Specification changes to support refueling outage 08, currently scheduled for spring 2002.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that it has no environmental impact.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, dated December 1982.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On February 13, 2001, the staff consulted with the New Hampshire State official, Mike Nawoj, of the New Hampshire Office of Emergency Management, and on February 19, 2002, the staff consulted with the Massachusetts State official, James Muckerheid, of the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State officials had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 6, 2001, as supplemented by letters dated November 2, 2001, and February 1, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of March 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### George F. Wunder,

Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 02–8038 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# International Conference on Wire System Aging

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The International Conference on Wire System Aging (ICWSA) will be held April 23–25, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. at the DoubleTree Hotel at 1750 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, USA.

The conference has a three-fold purpose: (1) To review current practices and programs for understanding and managing wire system aging, (2) to exchange information on the current status of research related to the issue, and (3) to identify technical issues and programs of interest for collaborative research. The conference will focus on four specific topics as they relate to wire system aging:

- Reliability Physics Modeling of Wire System Aging;
- Fire Risk Assessment of Wire System Aging;
- Risk Significance of Wire System Aging; and
- Prognostics and Diagnostics for Installed Wire Systems.

Michael Mayfield, Director of the Division of Engineering Technology of the NRC Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, will open the conference on Tuesday, April 23, and Ashok C. Thadani, Director of the NRC Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, will be the keynote speaker.

Technical sessions on each of the four topics will be held Tuesday and Wednesday. Each session will include technical papers, followed by question and answer periods. On Thursday morning a general session on initiatives and insights on Wire System Aging will be held. This session will contain presentations by international wire system experts regarding initiatives in their countries to address wire system aging, along with presentations on initiatives in the USA.

On Thursday afternoon, April 25, an expert panel will discuss issues related to wire system aging, along with the future direction of collaborative research to address these issues. Panel members will include Dr. Nilesh Chokshi, NRC Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research; Dr. Elliot Cramer, NASA-Langley; and Dr. John Brewer, Department of Transportation.

Technical exhibits will be on display all three days of the conference. These exhibits will demonstrate state-of-the-art