\$1,000,000 shall be referred to the Civil Division at the Department of Justice.

(b) The CFO will clearly indicate on the CCLR the actions the DOJ should take on the referred claim.

Subpart H—Mandatory Transfer of Delinquent Debt to Financial Management Service (FMS) of the Department of Treasury

§ 213.38 Mandatory transfer of debts to FMS—general.

- (a) USAID's procedures call for transfer of legally enforceable debt to FMS 90 days after the Bill for Collection or demand letter is issued. A debt is legally enforceable if there has been a final agency determination that the debt, in the amount stated, is due and there are no legal bars to collection action. A debt is not considered legally enforceable for purposes of mandatory transfer to FMS if a debt is the subject of a pending administrative review process required by statute or regulation and collection action during the review process is prohibited.
- (b) Except as set forth in paragraph (a) of this section, USAID will transfer any debt covered by this part that is more than 180 days delinquent to FMS for debt collection services. A debt is considered 180 days delinquent for purposes of this section if it is 180 days past due and is legally enforceable.

§ 213.39 Exceptions to mandatory transfer.

USAID is not required to transfer a debt to FMS pursuant to § 213.37(b) during such period of time that the debt:

- (a) Is in litigation or foreclosure;
- (b) Is scheduled for sale;
- (c) Is at a private collection contractor;
- (d) Is at a debt collection center if the debt has been referred to a Treasurydesignated debt collection center;
- (e) Is being collected by internal offset: or
- (f) Is covered by an exemption granted by Treasury

Dated: April 4, 2002.

Linda Porter,

Authorized Representative, Agency for International Development.

[FR Doc. 02-8518 Filed 4-10-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100 [CGD01-02-025] RIN 2115-AA97

Special Local Regulations: Weymouth 4th of July Celebration—Fore River—Weymouth, MA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to amend its temporary special local regulations for the Weymouth Fourth of July Celebration in Weymouth, MA. The proposed rule would change the effective date of the special local regulations to occur annually on July 3, as opposed to annually on the Friday or Saturday prior to July 4. The special local regulations would continue to prohibit entry into or movement within a portion of the Weymouth Fore River during the fireworks display.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before May 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to Marine Safety Office Boston, 455 Commercial Street, Boston, MA. Marine Safety Office Boston maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of the docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Boston between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Petty Officer Michael Popovich, Marine Safety Office Boston, Waterways Safety and Response Division, at (617) 223–3000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Information

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD01–02–025), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know your comments reached us, please enclose a stamped, self addressed

postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. However, you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Marine Safety Office Boston at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that a public meeting would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a separate notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

This regulation proposes to change the effective date of the special local regulations for the Weymouth Fourth of July Fireworks from annually on the Friday or Saturday prior to July 4 to annually on July 3. This change is necessary because the sponsors of the event, the Town of Hingham, Massachusetts, have requested the change in event dates. The Coast Guard must change the effective date of the special local regulations to run concurrent with the actual event in order to protect the maritime public from the dangers posed by a fireworks display. We are not proposing any change in the size or location of the special local regulations. Marine traffic may continue to transit safely outside of the special local regulations during the effective periods. The Captain of the Port does not anticipate any negative impact on vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the effective period via safety marine information broadcasts and local notice to mariners.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Although this proposed regulation will prevent traffic from transiting a portion of the Weymouth Fore River during the effective period, the effects of this regulation will not be significant for the following reasons: the minimal time that vessels will be restricted from the area, the fact that vessels may safely transit outside of the special local regulations, and the advance notifications which will be made to the local maritime community by safety marine information broadcasts and local notice to mariners.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-forprofit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of the Weymouth Fore River between 8:30 p.m. and 11 p.m. on July 3, 2002. This special local regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: Vessel traffic can safely pass outside of the area affected by the special local regulations during the effective periods, the periods are limited in duration, and advance notifications will be made to the local maritime community by safety marine information broadcasts and local notice to mariners.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it

qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Chief Petty Officer Michael Popovich at the address listed under ADDRESSES.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

The Coast Guard analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13132 and has determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This proposed rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

FIREWORKS DISPLAY TABLE

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

The Coast Guard analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not pose an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, (34)(h), of Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

PART 100—REGATTAS AND MARINE PARADES [AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. In § 100.114, in the FIREWORKS DISPLAY TABLE, in the unit under "July", revise the entry for Massachusetts: 7.11 to read as follows:

§ 100.114 Fireworks displays within the First Coast Guard District.

(a) * * *

Dated: March 25, 2002.

M.E. Landry,

Commander, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Acting, Boston, Massachusetts. [FR Doc. 02–8789 Filed 4–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165 [CGD09-02-004] RIN 2115-AA97

Security Zone; Captain of the Port Detroit Zone, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Lake St. Clair

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a permanent security zone on the navigable waters of Lake St. Clair in the Captain of the Port Detroit Zone. This security zone is necessary to protect the Selfridge Air National Guard Base from possible acts of terrorism. This security zone is intended to restrict vessel traffic from a predetermined and specific area in Lake St. Clair off of Selfridge Air National Guard Base.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before May 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Detroit, 110 Mt. Elliott Ave, Detroit, Michigan 48207. The telephone number is (313) 568–9580. Marine Safety Office Detroit maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and materials received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Junior Grade (LTJG) Brandon Sullivan, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Detroit, 110 Mt. Elliott Ave, Detroit, Michigan 48207, (313) 568–9580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD09–02–004), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Detroit at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

On September 11, 2001, the United States was the target of coordinated attacks by international terrorists resulting in catastrophic loss of life, the destruction of the World Trade Center and significant damage to the Pentagon. National security and intelligence officials warn that future terrorists attacks are likely.

We propose to establish a permanent security zone in the waters off of Selfridge Air National Guard Base in Harrison Township, Michigan. The security zone commences at the northeast corner of Selfridge Air National Guard Base at 42° 37.8′ N, 082° 49.1' W; then eastward approximately one half mile from shore to 42° 37.8′ N, 082° 48.45′ W, then south to 42° 37.2′ N, 082° 48.45' W, then southeast to 42° 36.8' N, 082° 47.2' W, then southwest to Mac and Rays Marina 42° 36.4′ N. 082° 47.9' W. These coordinates are based upon North American Datum 1983 (NAD 83). The westerly boundary is the shoreline of Selfridge Air National Guard Base.

This security zone is necessary to protect the public, facilities, and the surrounding area from possible sabotage or other subversive acts. All persons other than those approved by the Captain of the Port Detroit, or his authorized representative, are prohibited from entering or moving within this zone. The Captain of the Port Detroit may be contacted via VHF Channel 16 for further instructions before transiting through the restricted area. The Captain of the Port Detroit's on-scene representative will be the

patrol commander. In addition to publication in the **Federal Register**, the public will be made aware of the existence of this security zone, exact location and the restrictions involved, via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

Following the catastrophic nature and extent of damage realized from the attacks of September 11, this proposed rulemaking is necessary to protect the national security interests of the United States against future attacks.

On September 24, 2001 we published a temporary final rule establishing a security zone on the waters around Selfridge Air National Guard Base (66 FR 48796), which was later amended on October 18, 2001 (66 FR 52851). The current rulemaking proposes to establish a permanent security zone in place of that temporary security zone. This regulation proposes to establish permanent security zone for the waters off of Selfridge Air National Guard Base in Harrison Township, Michigan, commencing at the northeast corner of Selfridge Air National Guard Base at 42° 37.8' N, 082° 49.1' W; then eastward approximately one half mile from shore to 42° 37.8′ N, 082° 48.45′ W; then south to 42° 37.2′ N, 082° 48.45′ W; then southeast to 42° 36.8′ N, 082° 47.2′ W; then southwest to Mac and Rays Marina 42° 36.4′ N, 082° 47.9′ W. These coordinates are based upon North American Datum 1983 (NAD 83). The westerly boundary is the shoreline of Selfridge Air National Guard Base.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted it from review under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently