- 2. Pursuant to Article 3 of the Articles of Association, the Community Council is the governing body of the Tribe with the power to enact ordinances to promote the general welfare and economic advancement of the Tribe and its members.
- 3. The Tribe is the owner and operator of a gaming facility located on the Rancheria known as the Cher-Ae Heights Bingo & Casino (hereinafter Facility), at which Class II and Class III Gaming is conducted pursuant to the Tribe's Gaming Ordinance and a Compact executed with the State of California on September 10, 1999, ratified by the California Legislature, approved by the Secretary of the Interior on May 5, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 16, 2000.
- 4. The Facility, located on trust land, is an integral and indispensable part of the Tribe's economy, providing income to the Tribe and training and employment to its members.
- 5. The Facility includes a restaurant and lounge area separate from the area in which Class III Gaming activity is conducted and at which food and beverages are provided to patrons (hereinafter referred to as Premises).
- 6. The Community Council has determined that it is now in its best interest to offer for sale and consumption in this specified Premises only alcoholic beverages.
- 7. It is the purpose of this Ordinance to set out the terms and conditions under which the sale of said alcoholic beverages may take place.

III. General Terms

- 1. The sale of alcohol within the Premises, for on-Premises consumption only, is hereby authorized.
- 2. No alcoholic beverages may be sold at any location on the Rancheria other than within the Premises. For the purpose of this section, the term Premises shall include only area within the perimeter of the restaurant and lounge, which shall be separate from any Class III gaming activity.
- 3. The sale of said alcoholic beverages authorized by this Ordinance shall be in conformity with all applicable laws of the State of California and applicable federal laws, and the sale of said beverages shall be subject to state sales tax, federal excise tax and any fees required by the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms. This includes but is not limited to the following examples:
- a. No person under the age of 21 years shall consume, acquire or have in his or her possession at the Premises any alcoholic beverage.

- b. No person shall sell alcohol to any person under the age of 21 at the Premises.
- c. No person shall sell alcohol to a person apparently under the influence of liquor at the Premises.
- 4. Where there may be a question of a person's right to purchase liquor by reason of his or her age, such person shall be required to present any one of the following types of identification which shows his or her correct age and bears his or her signature and photograph: (1) Driver's license or identification card issued by any state Department of Motor Vehicles; (2) United States Active Duty Military card; (3) passport.
- 5. All liquor sales within the Premises shall be on a cash only basis and no credit shall be extended to any person, organization or entity, except that this provision does not prevent the use of major credit cards.

IV. Posting

This Ordinance shall be conspicuously posted within the Premises at all times it is open to the public.

V. Enforcement

- 1. The Gaming Commission may enforce this Ordinance by implementation of monetary fines not to exceed \$500 and/or withdrawal of authorization to sell alcohol at the Premises. Prior to any enforcement action, Gaming Commission shall provide the alleged offender of this ordinance with at least three (3) days notice of an opportunity to be heard during a specially called meeting. The decision of the Gaming Commission shall be final.
- 2. This Ordinance also may be enforced by the Humboldt County Sheriff's Office at the request of the Gaming Commission.

VI. Severability

If any provision or application of this ordinance is determined by review to be invalid, such adjudication shall not be held to render ineffectual the remaining portions of this title or to render such provisions inapplicable to other persons or circumstances.

VII. Amendment

This ordinance may only be amended by a majority vote of the Community Council.

VIII. Sovereign Immunity

Nothing in this ordinance in any way limits, alters, restricts or waives the Tribe's sovereign immunity from unconsented suit or action.

IX. Effective Date

This Ordinance shall become effective following its certification by the Secretary of the Interior and its publication in the **Federal Register**.

[FR Doc. 02–8818 Filed 4–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–4J–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Environmental Impact Statement; Alcatraz Island Historic Preservation and Safety Construction; Golden Gate National Recreation Area, California, Notice of Approval of Record of Decision

SUMMARY: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended) and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Part 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared and approved a Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Alcatraz Island Historic Preservation and Safety Construction Program, Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The no-action period was initiated October 26, 2001, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Federal Register (V66, N208, P54241) notification of the filing of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

Decision: As soon as practical the National Park Service will begin to implement the historic preservation and safety construction program described as the Proposed Action alternative contained in the FEIS, issued in October, 2001. This alternative was deemed to be the "environmentally preferred" alternative, and it was further determined that implementation of the selected actions will not constitute an impairment of park values or resources. This course of action and two additional alternatives were identified and analyzed in the Final and Draft Environmental Impact Statements (the latter was distributed in March 2001). The full range of foreseeable environmental consequences were assessed, and appropriate mitigation measures identified.

Copies: Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a copy by contacting the Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123; or via telephone request at (415) 561–4936. Dated: February 25, 2002.

John J. Revnolds,

Regional Director, Pacific West Region.
[FR Doc. 02–8817 Filed 4–10–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Environmental Assessment for proposal to reconstruct the entrance station at Great Falls Park, Virginia

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the reconstruction of the entrance station at Great Falls Park, Virginia.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations and National Park Service policy, the National Park Service announces the availability of an Environmental Assessment for the reconstruction of the entrance station at Great Falls Park, a unit of the George Washington Memorial Parkway (GWMP). The existing entrance station is in major disrepair, has deficiencies with respect to accessibility, does not include a restroom facility, has unsafe pedestrian circulation patterns, and in its current form does not function to move incoming traffic through expeditiously, causing lengthy delays and long traffic backups. The Environmental Assessment examines several alternatives for reconstruction of the entrance station aimed to correct deficiencies with respect to improving access, providing a restroom and office/ remit space for staff who work there, and providing better traffic flow into and out of the park. The National Park Service is soliciting comments on this Environmental Assessment. These comments will be considered in evaluating it and making decisions pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

DATES: The Environmental Assessment will remain available for public comment 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. Written comments should be received no later than this date.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this Environmental Assessment should be submitted in writing to: Ms. Audrey F. Calhoun, Superintendent, George Washington Memorial Parkway, Turkey Run Park, McLean, Virginia 22101. The Environmental Assessment will be available for public inspection Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. through 4 p.m. at the Great Falls Park Visitor Center, Great

Falls, Virginia; GWMP Headquarters, Turkey Run Park, McLean, Virginia; and at the following libraries: Dolly Madison Library, McLean, Virginia; Great Falls Library, Great Falls, Virginia; and Fairfax City Regional Library, Fairfax, Virginia.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service proposes to construct a new accessible entrance station at Great Falls Park, Virginia, in replacement of the existing one. The new entrance station will correct the following:

- 1. The existing entrance station has deficiencies with respect to accessibility.
- 2. The existing entrance station does not provide a restroom facility for staff who work there,
- 3. The existing entrance station provides few security measures,
- 4. Pedestrian circulation around the existing building is currently unsafe for staff and visitors,
- 5. The existing entrance station is in major disrepair, and
- 6.Traffic trying to enter the park during high visitation periods, backs up on Old Dominion Drive, creating lengthy delays entering the park.

A related project will rehabilitate the existing roads and parking areas throughout the park. This includes minor road widening around the entrance station and the intersection of the entrance road with the lower parking lot access road. All interested individuals, agencies, and organizations are urged to provide comments on the Environmental Assessment. The National Park Service, in making a final decision regarding this matter, will consider all comments received by the closing date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Daniel E. Sealy (703) 289–2531.

Audrey F. Calhoun,

Superintendent, George Washington Memorial Parkway.

[FR Doc. 02-8815 Filed 4-10-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Summary of Record of Decision; Reanalysis of Cumulative Impacts on the Sonoran Pronghorn; Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument; Arizona

The Department of the Interior, National Park Service, has prepared a Record of Decision on the *Final*

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, Re-analysis of Cumulative *Impacts on the Sonoran Pronghorn* for Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument. The Record of Decision includes a brief planning history, the alternatives considered, the methodology used in the decision-making process, findings of the supplement, findings on impairment of park resources and values, basis for the decision, a description of the environmentally preferred alternative, an overview of public and agency involvement in the decision-making process, and measures to minimize harm. This notice serves as a summary of the Record of Decision and does not constitute the decision document. For a copy of the Record of Decision, please contact Laurie Domler, Planning and Environmental Compliance, NPS Intermountain Region, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225-0287, or by e-mail at Laurie—Domler@nps.gov.

Planning History

The General Management Plan/ Development Concept Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/ DCP/EIS) for Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument was completed in 1997. The GMP/DCP/EIS looked at a range of alternatives for management of park resources and visitation as well as the appropriateness and location of needed facilities. The NPS initially presented a range of three alternatives that were presented in Draft and Supplemental Environmental Impact Statements. A fourth alternative and the selected action, the New Proposed Action, was developed by combining concepts and actions from the other alternatives.

During the general management planning process, the NPS entered into formal consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) through its May 22, 1996 submittal of a biological assessment. The biological assessment examined the effects on four endangered species in the park, including the Sonoran pronghorn. The analysis of the Sonoran pronghorn indicated that there were no proposed actions in the GMP/DCP/EIS that would directly effect the pronghorn. However, it was found that increased visitor use may lead to indirect effects on the Sonoran pronghorn if human presence in the front- and backcountry causes an alternation in behavior and habitat use. The potential for increased traffic on Highway 85 was also examined. Past observations of pronghorn movements suggested that traffic along Highway 85 acts as a barrier to pronghorn, restricting their movements across the highway.