Dated: April 5, 2002.

#### Al Matera,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.
[FR Doc. 02–8977 Filed 4–12–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0068]

## Federal Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Economic Price Adjustment

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning economic price adjustment. The clearance currently expires on June 30, 2002.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 14, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julia Wise, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 208–1168.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## A. Purpose

A fixed-price contract with economic price adjustment provides for upward and downward revision of the stated contract price upon occurrence of specified contingencies. In order for the contracting officer to be aware of price changes, the firm must provide pertinent information to the Government. The information is used to determine the proper amount of price adjustments required under the contract.

### **B.** Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 5,346. Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 5,346. Hours Per Response: .25. Total Burden Hours: 1,337.

# **Obtaining Copies of Proposals**

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0068, Economic Price Adjustment, in all correspondence.

Dated: April 5, 2002.

### Al Matera,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.
[FR Doc. 02–8978 Filed 4–12–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

# Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy; Meeting

**AGENCY:** United States Military Academy, Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

committee meeting:
Name of Committee: Board of
Visitors, United States Military

Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2002. Place of Meeting: Veteran Affairs Conference Room, Room 418, Senate Russell Office Building, Washington, D.C.

Start Time of Meeting: Approximately 10 a.m.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Colonel Edward C. Clarke, United States Military Academy, West Point, NY 10996–5000, (845) 938–4200. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposed Agenda: Spring Meeting of the Board of Visitors. Review of the Academic, Military, Moral, Ethical, and Physical Programs, and the Bicentennial Campaign at the USMA. All proceedings are open.

#### Edward C. Clarke,

Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, Executive Secretary, USMA Board of Visitors. [FR Doc. 02–9074 Filed 4–12–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Platte West Water Production Facilities, Douglas and Saunders Counties, NE

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act and implementing regulations, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has been prepared to disclose the environmental impacts from the Metropolitan Utilities District's (District) proposed new drinking water production facilities for the greater metropolitan area of Omaha, Nebraska. To meet peak-day demand through the year 2030, the District needs an additional maximum capacity of 100 million gallons per day (MGD). To provide for an acceptable level of water supply redundancy, the District needs an additional 62.8 MGD from a source other than the Missouri River.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information and copies of this document contact Rebecca Latka, CENWO–PM–AE, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 106 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102, telephone at (402) 221–4602, or e-mail: rebecca.j.latka@usace.army.mil.

Comments on this document can be addressed to Rodney Schwartz, CENWO–OD–RF, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 12565 W. Center Road, Omaha, Nebraska 68144–3869, telephone at (402) 22–4143, or e-mail: rodney.j.schwartz@usace. army.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Six alternatives were selected for detailed evaluation. Each of the new water

supplies would consist of one or more treatment plants and well fields. The well fields would be in the Platte River alluvial (groundwater) aquifer. The three-way combination would also include a new surface water intake on the Missouri River. All the alternatives include implementation of a water conservation plan. For all the alternatives, significant environmental impacts requiring mitigation are predicted for flow in the Platte River, wetlands, private wells, property values, and recreation.

The well fields would pump water from the Platte River alluvial aguifer, which would lower the groundwater level around the well field and reduce the flow in the river. Lowering the water table is predicted to adversely impact about 5 to 30 private wells, cause the loss of 0.6 to 14.6 acres of wetlands, potentially alter 62 to 142 acres of wetlands, and remove subirrigation from 56 to 5,069 acres of land around the well fields. The loss of subirrigation could reduce property values because of impacts to crop yield and farm income. Recreation could be impacted by the lowering of water levels in private ponds and ponds and wetlands in the Two Rivers State Recreation Area. The impacts of flow depletion in the Platte River would be mitigated by the creation of a backwater habitat. Lost wetlands would be mitigated by the creation of new wetlands. Potential altered wetlands would be monitored for over 30 years and replaced if found to be changed by operation of the well field. The District would negotiate compensation with private property owners for reductions attributed to groundwater drawdown in well performance, subirrigation, and pond water levels. Impacts to the Two Rivers State Recreation Area would be mitigated by monetary compensation to the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission and the possible opening to the public of certain District properties for limited recreational use.

A public meeting and Section 404 hearing was held March 2, 1999 in Omaha, Nebraska to obtain comments on the original Draft EIS (DEIS), which was published in January 1999. Those comments and responses were included in the revised DEIS, which was published in February 2001. A public meeting to obtain comments on the revised DEIS was held March 21, 2001, in Omaha, Nebraska, These comments are addressed in the FEIS.

## Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 02-9073 Filed 4-12-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710-62-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Navy

## Record of Decision for Ford Island Development, Pearl Harbor, HI

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (DON) announces its decision to consolidate selected operations on and to pursue limited private development of Ford Island. The decision includes construction of new facilities and the adaptive reuse of existing facilities on Ford Island as well as the sale or lease of selected DON properties on Oahu, Hawaii, with the proceeds of such sale or lease to be used to develop DON facilities at Ford Island.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Stanley Uehara (PLN231), Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 258 Makalapa Drive, Suite 100, Pearl Harbor, HI 96860-3134, telephone (808) 471-9338, facsimile (808) 474-5909.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Record of Decision (ROD) in its entirety is provided as follows:

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. Section 4332(2)(C), and regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) that implement NEPA, 40 CFR Parts 1500–1508, the Department of the Navy (DON) announces its decision to consolidate selected operations at Pearl Harbor onto Ford Island by constructing new facilities and adaptively reusing existing structures. This decision will include the sale or lease of selected DON properties on Oahu, Hawaii with the use of proceeds to develop DON facilities at Ford Island. This action will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations, make greater use of its properties, and improve the quality of life of sailors and their families. This will be accomplished as set out in Alternative B (Medium Intensity), described in the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) as the preferred alternative.

DOÑ development on Ford Island may include new construction for up to 420 housing units, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ) for up to 1,000 personnel, and infrastructure improvements such as roads and utilities. The development may also include a combination of new construction and adaptive reuse of existing structures for administrative space to accommodate 1,500 additional employees, and a consolidated training

complex. Additionally, the action includes private development of up to 75 acres on Ford Island, which could include a historic visitor attraction, and allows for the lease of Halawa Landing and Iroquois Point/Pu'uloa Housing, and sale of Waikele Branch, Naval Magazine (NAVMAG) Pearl Harbor and property at the former Naval Air Station (NAS) Barbers Point.

The action will be implemented through the Ford Island legislation (10 U.S.C. Section 2814), and other legislative authorities such as the annual Military Construction (MILCON) program, use of Non-Appropriated Funds (NAF), and the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (10 U.S.C. Sections 2871-2885).

Alternatives Considered: The PEIS evaluated four alternatives, including "no action," that represent varying intensities of development on Ford Island. With the exception of "no action" (Alternative D), the alternatives provide for the conveyance and reuseby either sale or lease—of selected DON properties on Oahu to support the Ford Ísland development.

Alternative A provides for both DON and private development on Ford Island. DON development would consist of new construction for up to 420 family housing units, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ) for up to 1,000 personnel, and infrastructure improvements such as roads and utilities. Development would also include a combination of new construction and adaptive reuse of existing structures for administrative space to accommodate 1,500 additional employees, and a consolidated training complex. Private development envisioned includes an historic visitor attraction, commercial, and light industrial uses that could employ up to 5,600 workers and attract up to 15,000 daily visitors. The outlying properties will be conveyed or reused in the following ways in this alternative: The Halawa Landing property will be available for lease in support of an historic visitor attraction on Ford Island. The Iroquois Point/Pu'uloa Housing will be available for lease for residential and directly related uses (e.g. playgrounds). Waikele Branch NAVMAG Pearl Harbor and the property at the former NAS Barbers Point will be offered for sale. Based on DON's marketing analysis, it is anticipated that these properties will be reused for residential and related land uses.

Alternative B, Medium Intensity, is similar to Alternative A and provides for both DON and private development on Ford Island. DON development would be the same as that in Alternative