

rate which will be equivalent to the company-specific cash deposit established in this review except that, for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and therefore *de minimis*, the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 223.01 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 10, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX

List of Issues

Comment 1: Use of Australia Bureau of Agriculture and Resource Economics (ABARE) Statistics or Mulataga Information to Value Live Crawfish

Comment 2: Whether the Spanish Study is a Reliable Source of Live Crawfish Prices and Represents the Best Available Information

Comment 3: Size and Weight of Live Spanish Crawfish

Comment 4: Whether Crawfish Capture in Spain Is Performed with Unbaited Nets

Comment 5: Whether Spanish Crawfish Prices Are Aberrational

Comment 6: Similarity of Spanish GDP to That of China

Comment 7: The Spain Trip Versus the Australia and Mexico Trips

Comment 8: Use of Mexican Data as a Surrogate Value for Whole, Live Crawfish

Comment 9: Comparability of Economies

Comment 10: Suggested Wet-Dry Weight Conversion Factor for Crawfish Scrap, Based on Information from an Indian Chitosan Producer

Comment 11: The Appropriate Factor for Use in Calculating a Wet-Dry Conversion Factor

Comment 12: Suggested Wet-Dry Weight Conversion Ratio of 50 Percent for Crawfish Scrap

Comment 13: Incorporation of a Wet-Dry Weight Conversion Factor for Scrap for Yangzhou Lakebest (Lakebest)

Comment 14: Suqian's Wet-Dry Conversion

Comment 15: Suqian's and Yancheng Haiteng's Coal Freight Expense

Comment 16: Rescission of Review for Yancheng Foreign Trade, Ltd. (YFT)

Comment 17: The Department's Refusal to Review Certain Sales of Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation (30) (Huaiyin 30)

Comment 18: Whether the Department Improperly Determined that Fujian Pelagic and Pacific Coast are not Affiliated Parties

Comment 19: Whether the Department Improperly Applied Facts Available to Yancheng Yaou

Comment 20: Single Rate for Huaiyin 5 and Ningbo Nanlian

Comment 21: Yancheng Haiteng's Indirect Selling Expenses Ratio

Comment 22: Yancheng Haiteng's Marine Insurance Factor

Comment 23: Certain Domestic Parties' Status as Interested Parties Application of the Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000 (Byrd Amendment)

[FR Doc. 02-9802 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-846]

Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Japan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doug Campau or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1395 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled, flat-rolled, carbon-quality steel products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan on June 29, 1999 (64 FR 34778). We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on hot-rolled steel on July 23, 2001 (66 FR 38252). The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2000 through May 31, 2001. On September 4, 2001, Kawasaki - the sole respondent in this administrative review - informed the Department that it had not made any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines that it is not practicable

to complete the review within the foregoing time period. In this case, the Department requires additional time to confirm that there have been no entries of subject merchandise from Kawasaki during the POR. Therefore, it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. In order to obtain further information on any possible entries during the POR, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 60 days, until May 1, 2002.

Dated: March 1, 2002

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 02-9804 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-821]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order, and Rescission of Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of changed circumstances review, revocation of the antidumping duty order, and rescission of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On March 5, 2002, the Department of Commerce published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review, intent to revoke the antidumping duty order, and preliminary rescission of antidumping duty administrative reviews (67 FR 9957). We are now revoking this order, retroactive to September 1, 1999, based on the fact that the producers accounting for substantially all of the domestic like product have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by this order, dating back to September 1, 1999. We are also rescinding the ongoing antidumping duty administrative reviews covering the periods September 1, 1999, through August 31, 2000, for respondent Koenig & Bauer AG, and September 1, 2000, through August 31, 2001, for respondents Koenig & Bauer AG and MAN Roland Druckmaschinen AG.

DATES: April 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger or Irene Darzenta Tzafolias AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-0922, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On September 4, 1996, the Department issued the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses (LNPPs) from Germany (61 FR 46623). On September 24, 2001, Koenig & Bauer AG and KBA North America, Inc. Web Press Division (KBA NA, a domestic producer of the subject merchandise; collectively, K&B) requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Germany through initiation of a changed circumstances review. On November 2, 2001, KBA NA stated that it accounts for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product and no longer has an interest in the continuation of the antidumping duty order. In addition, prior to K&B's request, on September 19, 2001, MAN Roland Druckmaschinen AG and MAN Roland Inc. (collectively, MAN Roland), a foreign producer/exporter of the subject merchandise and its U.S. affiliate, requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Germany through a changed circumstances review.

Based on the information submitted by KBA NA and KBA NA's assertions that it accounted for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product and had no interest in maintaining the order, the Department initiated a changed circumstances review on November 5, 2001. (*See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, From Germany: Notice of Initiation of*

Changed Circumstances Review and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order, 66 FR 56798 (November 13, 2001) (*Initiation Notice*).)

Following the publication of the *Initiation Notice*, the petitioner in the LNPP proceedings, Goss Graphic Systems, Inc. (Goss) filed a letter on December 21, 2001, stating that it was no longer interested in participating in any of the current antidumping proceedings concerning LNPPs from Germany, including the changed circumstances review, and therefore was withdrawing from them. Subsequent to the filing of Goss's letter, on December 31, 2001, and January 8, 2002, MAN Roland and K&B, respectively, submitted letters urging the Department to conclude, based on the facts of the record, that Goss is not a domestic producer of the subject merchandise and to revoke the order on the basis of changed circumstances with respect to all unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise, including those that are subject to the current administrative reviews. Specifically, K&B requested that the effective date of revocation of the order be September 1, 1999. On January 31, 2002, MAN Roland specified an effective revocation date of September 1, 2000. Consequently, on March 5, 2002, we published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review with the intent to revoke the order, effective September 1, 1999, and rescind the ongoing administrative reviews (67 FR 9957) (*Preliminary Results*). We invited interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. K&B and MAN Roland submitted letters on March 14, 2002, and March 15, 2002, respectively, supporting the Department's preliminary results.

Scope of Order

The products covered by the order are large newspaper printing presses, including press systems, press additions and press components, whether assembled or unassembled, whether complete or incomplete, that are capable of printing or otherwise manipulating a roll of paper more than two pages across. A page is defined as a newspaper broadsheet page in which the lines of type are printed perpendicular to the running of the direction of the paper or a newspaper tabloid page with lines of type parallel to the running of the direction of the paper.

In addition to press systems, the scope of the order includes the five press system components. They are: (1) A printing unit, which is any component that prints in monochrome, spot color and/or process (full) color; (2)