

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Laser Diode Development Agreement**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 21, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Laser Diode Development Agreement has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Cree, Inc., Durham, NC; and Rohm Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan. The nature and objectives of the venture are to cooperate in the development of laser diode devices for use in high capacity optical storage applications.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9696 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF")**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 25, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, TNO Environment, Energy and Process Innovation, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project.

Membership in this group research project remains open, and Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 10, 1986, Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 14, 1986 (51 FR 8903).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 5, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 5, 2001 (66 FR 63259).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9693 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PKI Forum, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on March 13, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PKI Forum, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, GlaxoSmithKline, Philadelphia, PA; Schlumberger Network Solutions, Houston, TX; and Japan PKI Forum, Tokyo, Japan have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Arthur Andersen, Houston, TX; Compaq Computer Corporation, Houston, TX; Conclusive Logic, Ltd., Maidenhead, Berkshire, United Kingdom; Digital Signature Trust Co., Salt Lake City, UT; Entegrity Solutions, Inc., San Jose, CA; Entrust Technologies, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Gemplus International, S.A., Redwood City, CA; SSE, Ltd., Dublin, Ireland; Chrysalis-its, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Certicom Corporation, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada; and Cryptomathic A/S, Aarhus C. Denmark have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned

activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PKI Forum, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 2, 2001, PKI Forum, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 3, 2001 (66 FR 22260).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 2, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 25, 2002 (67 FR 8560).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-9694 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,725 and NAFTA-05102]

General Mills Snack Division, Carlisle, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 14, 2001, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) under petition TA-W-39,725 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) under petition NAFTA-5102. The TAA and NAFTA-TAA denial notices applicable to workers of General Mills, Snack Division, Carlisle, Pennsylvania, were signed on November 5, 2001 and November 19, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 20, 2001 (66 FR 58171) and December 5, 2001 (66 FR 58171) and December 5, 2001 (66 FR 63262), respectively.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at General Mills, Snack Division, Carlisle, Pennsylvania engaged in the production of single-serve fruit juice and fruit-based beverages, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The company made a decision to exit the single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages business because the product no longer fit into this company's long-term plan for the Snacks Division. Imports of single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages did not contribute importantly to the declines in employment at the subject plant.

The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. The company made a decision to exit the single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages business because the product no longer fit into this company's long-term plan for the Snacks Division. The subject firm did not shift production to Canada or Mexico, nor did they import from Canada or Mexico single serve fruit juices or fruit-based beverages during the relevant period.

The petitioner feels that the products produced by the subject firm were impacted by imports of products like or directly competitive with what the subject plant produced.

Based on available industry data, the domestic market for single serve fruit beverages faces little or no competition from foreign sources. U.S. imports of single fruit or vegetable juice were negligible during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of March, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9758 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,488 and NAFTA-5512]

Sunbrand, A Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, GA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sunbrand, a Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, Georgia. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-40,488 & NAFTA-5512; Sunbrand, a Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, Georgia (April 11, 2002)

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9760 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,960]

Alfa-Laval Incorporated Formerly Known As Tri-Clover Kenosha, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 11, 2002 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Alfa Laval Inc., formerly known as Tri-Clover, Pleasant Prairie, Wisconsin. According to evidence developed in the course of the investigation, the location of the subject facility is Kenosha, Wisconsin and not Pleasant Prairie as listed in the petition.

A negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers was issued on January 22, 2002 (TA-W-40,590). No new information is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Dated: Signed in Washington, DC this 8th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9753 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,213, TA-W-39,213A]

Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, IL; Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, OH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 31, 2001, applicable to workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, Illinois. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 2001 (66 FR 32389).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the sales Office Westlake, Ohio location of Chicago Specialties, LLC. The Westlake, Ohio workers provide sales support function services for the subject firm's production facility in Chicago, Illinois.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, Ohio.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC who were adversely affected by increased imports of Para Cresol.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39-213 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, Illinois (TA-W-39-213) and Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, Ohio (TA-W-39,213A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 23, 2000, through May 31, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.