

Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. A copy of the proposed Partial Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.25 (without exhibits), \$41.50 (with exhibits) (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Treasurer of the United States.

Ellen M. Mahan,

Assistant Section Chief, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 02-10121 Filed 4-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

April 10, 2002.

The Department of Labor has submitted the following information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by April 26, 2002. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain a copy of this ICR, contact Darrin King on 202-693-4129 or e-mail: king-darrin@dol.gov.

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202-395-7316), and received by April 26, 2002.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Agency: Office of the Secretary (OS).
Title: Information Collection Plan for GovBenefits.

OMB Number: 1290-0NEW.

Affected Public: Individual or households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Farms; State, Local, or Tribal Governments.

Frequency: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: 500,000.

Estimated Number of Annual

Responses: 500,000.

Average Response Time: 2.5 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours:

20,000.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining): \$0.

Description: The President's Management Agenda for E-Government (February 27, 2002) sets forth a strategy for simplifying the delivery of services to citizens. The President's agenda outlines a Federal E-Government Enterprise Architecture that will transition the management and delivery of government services from a bureaucracy-centered to a citizen-centered paradigm. To this end, the Department of Labor serves as the managing partner of the Administration's "GovBenefits" (formerly "Eligibility Assistance Online") strategy for assisting citizens in identifying and locating information on benefits sponsored by the Federal government. This tool will greatly reduce the burden on citizens attempting to locate services available from many different government agencies by providing one-stop access to information on obtaining those services.

From time-to time, the precise questions or content may require modification to accommodate addition to the GovBenefits portal as well as new or revised services. Furthermore, while the initial launch version scheduled for April 2002 does not "collect" information, to better service citizens through website design, subsequent versions may need to collect user demographics such as "average age."

Respondents answer a series of questions to the extent necessary for locating relevant information on Federal benefits. Responses are used by the respondent to expedite the identification and retrieval of sought

after information and resources pertaining to the benefits sponsored by the Federal government.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-10139 Filed 4-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-23-M

THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura S. Nelson, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* May 2, 2002.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for School Teachers, submitted to

the Division of Education Programs at the March 1, 2002 deadline.

2. *Date:* May 3, 2002.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 1, 2002 deadline.

3. *Date:* May 6, 2002.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 1, 2002 deadline.

4. *Date:* May 7, 2002.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 1, 2002 deadline.

5. *Date:* May 14, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

6. *Date:* May 16, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

7. *Date:* May 17, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

8. *Date:* May 20, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

9. *Date:* May 21, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

10. *Date:* May 22, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants,

submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2002 deadline.

Laura S. Nelson,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-10090 Filed 4-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316]

Indiana Michigan Power Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-58 and Facility Operating License No. DPR-74, issued to Indiana Michigan Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Berrien County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would revise the surveillance requirements for the Train AB, CD, and N batteries in technical specification (TS) 4.8.2.3.2.c.1 and TS 4.8.2.5.2.c.1. The proposed amendment affects the requirement to verify that battery cells, cell plates and racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration. The proposed amendment would allow the operability of batteries exhibiting such damage or deterioration to be determined by an evaluation. The proposed amendment is consistent with a Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved change to the Standard TSs for Westinghouse plants (NUREG 1431, Revision 1) as documented in TS Task Force Standard TS Change Traveler-38. Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3)

involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the Proposed Change Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability of Occurrence or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated?

Response: No.

Probability of Occurrence of an Accident Previously Evaluated—

The proposed change would eliminate the requirement to declare the Train AB, CD, or N battery inoperable due to physical damage or abnormal deterioration of the cells, cell plates, or racks if the damage or deterioration would not degrade battery performance. The proposed change would also require that a decision to not declare a battery inoperable be based on an evaluation of the physical damage or abnormal deterioration. The proposed change does not affect any existing accident initiators or precursors. The safety function of the batteries is to provide power to systems and components that mitigate an accident. There is no design basis accident that is initiated by a failure of a battery to perform its safety function. The proposed change will not create any adverse interactions with other systems that could result in initiation of a design basis accident. Therefore, the probability of occurrence of an accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased.

Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated—

The proposed change does not reduce the ability of the batteries to perform their safety function. The TS will continue to require that a battery be declared inoperable if physical damage or abnormal deterioration that impairs the ability of a battery to perform its safety function is observed. As a result, the ability of the batteries to perform their safety function is unaffected by the proposed change. Therefore, the safety related systems and components that are supported by the batteries and mitigate the consequences of an accident are not affected by the proposed change.

In summary, the probability of occurrence and the consequences of an accident previously evaluated are not significantly increased.

2. Does the Proposed Change Create the Possibility of a New or Different Kind of Accident From Any Accident Previously Evaluated?

Response: No.