

confidential (42 U.S.C. 13385(b)(3)). Discuss whether revised guidelines should include a provision requiring reporters to waive the protection provided by section 1605(b)(3) if they wish to obtain a certificate of emission reductions for potential use in connection with transferable credits, or for protection against penalty under future climate policy.

D. Technical Issues

- Measurement and estimation techniques. Although the current guidelines and reporting forms provide a number of default and other estimation techniques, they do not prescribe measurement and estimation techniques. Identify and discuss the need for prescribed techniques for measurement and estimation under an improved GHG Registry that could provide the basis for transferable credits or protection against penalty under future climate policy. Provide specific examples and citations to techniques you identify.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 15, 2002.

Robert Card,

Undersecretary.

[FR Doc. 02-11180 Filed 5-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR02-8-000]

Big West Oil, LLC 333 West Center Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84054; Chevron Products Company, 2351 N. 1100 West, Salt Lake City, UT 84116; Sinclair Oil Corporation, 550 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, UT 84102 and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company, 300 Concord Plaza Dr., San Antonio, Texas 78216; Complainants, v. Express Pipeline LLC, Suite 2500, 255 5th Avenue, SW, Calgary, Alberta T2P 3G6, Canada, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

April 30, 2002.

Take notice that on April 26, 2002, Big West Oil LLC (Big West), Chevron Products Company (Chevron), Sinclair Oil Corporation (Sinclair) and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company (Tesoro) tendered for filing a Complaint against Express Pipeline LLC (Express). Big West, Chevron, Sinclair and Tesoro state in their Complaint that their refineries use substantial quantities of crude oil and synthetic crude oil (syncrude) that have been transported

from Canada on the Express pipeline system. Big West, Chevron, Sinclair and Tesoro allege that the rates Express is charging for pipeline transportation service from the US/Canadian Border to Casper, Wyoming are unjust and unreasonable and, therefore, in violation of the Interstate Commerce Act. Big West, Chevron, Sinclair and Tesoro further maintain that Express has violated and is continuing to violate the Interstate Commerce Act by improperly shifting costs to its shippers for the transfer of crude petroleum from the Express pipeline to a pipeline operated by Frontier Pipeline Company.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 16, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before May 16, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-11143 Filed 5-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. MG02-2-000]

Central New York Oil and Gas Company, LLC; Notice of Filing

April 30, 2002.

On April 4, 2002, Central New York Oil and Gas Company, LLC filed its initial standards of conduct.

Central New York Oil and Gas Company, LLC states that it served copies of the filing on all customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest in this proceeding with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214) All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before (15 days after date of notice) 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-11142 Filed 5-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR02-9-000]

Chevron Products Company, Complainant v. CalNev Pipe Line, L.L.C., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

April 30, 2002.

Take notice that on April 26, 2002, Chevron Products Company (hereinafter referred to as Complainant) filed a complaint alleging that the rates of CalNev Pipe Line, L.L.C. (CalNev) subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission are not just and reasonable.

According to Complainant, the overcharges are 22.8 percent in excess of the claimed just and reasonable return claimed by CalNev in its year 2000 interstate cost of service.

Complainant further alleges that the rate are not subject to the threshold

“changed circumstances” standard pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

Complainant alleges that it is aggrieved and damaged by the acts of CalNev Pipe Line LLC and seeks relief in the form of reduced rates in the future and reparations for past and current overcharges for transportation and terminalling, with interest.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 16, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before May 16, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the “RIMS” link, select “Docket#” and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission’s Web site under the “e-Filing” link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11144 Filed 5–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 9974–048]

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Complainant, v. Halstead Construction, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

April 30, 2002.

Take notice that on April 26, 2002, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) filed a complaint against Halstead Construction, owner of the Upper Watertown Dam.

WDNR states that a copy of the complaint was delivered to Thomas

Philipsborn, Hasteed Construction, Chicago IL.

WDNR states that the Upper Watertown Dam, project no. 9974 is not in compliance with the Exemption issued in 1989. The WDNR alleges that the dam is not fully operational, doesn’t have the necessary spillway capacity, is not following the Public Safety Plan, is not maintained ice-free; and the turbine leaks oil if generating.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 16, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before May 16, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the “RIMS” link, select “Docket#” and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission’s web site under the “e-Filing” link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11147 Filed 5–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG02–125–000, et al.]

PSEG Fossil LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 26, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. PSEG Fossil LLC

[Docket No. EG02–125–000]

Take notice that on April 24, 2002, PSEG Fossil LLC (PSEG Fossil or

Applicant), having its principal place of business at 80 Park Plaza, T–16, Newark, New Jersey, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for redetermination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission’s regulations.

PSEG Fossil is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. PSEG Fossil states that it will be engaged, directly or indirectly through an affiliate as defined in section 2(a)(11)(B) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, exclusively in owning, or both owning and operating eligible generating facilities, and engaging in sales of electric energy at wholesale.

Comment Date: May 17, 2002.

2. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER02–1618–000]

Take notice that on April 23, 2002, the New England Power Pool (NEPOOL) Participants Committee submitted the Eighty-Fourth Agreement Amending New England Power Pool Agreement (Eighty-Fourth Agreement), which proposes changes to the NEPOOL Tariff and the Restated NEPOOL Agreement to integrate the merchant transmission facility Cross Sound Cable project into the NEPOOL Tariff and to provide for operational control of the facility by the New England independent system operator. Expedited consideration, a waiver of the sixty-day notice requirement and a June 1, 2002 effective date has been requested.

The NEPOOL Participants Committee states that copies of these materials were sent to the NEPOOL Participants, Non-Participant Transmission Customers and the New England state governors and regulatory commissions.

Comment Date: May 14, 2002.

3. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER02–1619–000]

Take notice that on April 23, 2002, Ameren Services Company (ASC) tendered for filing a Parallel Operating Agreement between ASC and Clay County Trust 2000. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to permit ASC to provide transmission service to Clay County Trust 2000 pursuant to Ameren’s Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Comment Date: May 14, 2002.

4. Exelon Generation Company, LLC

[Docket No. ER02–1620–000]

Take notice that on April 23, 2002, Exelon Generation Company, Inc. (EXELON) filed under section 205 of the Federal Power Act, 16 USC 792 *et seq.*,