

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
		Summary: The Commission will consider a Report and Order concerning service rules for the 216–220 MHz, 1390–1395 MHz, 1427–1432 MHz, 1670–1675 MHz, 2385–2390 MHz and the paired 1392–1395 MHz and 1432–1435 MHz Bands.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Maureen Peratino or David Fiske, Office of Media Relations, telephone number (202) 418–0500; TTY 1–888–835–5322.

Copies of materials adopted at this meeting can be purchased from the FCC's duplicating contractor, Qualex International (202) 863–2893; Fax (202) 863–2898; TTY (202) 863–2897. These copies are available in paper format and alternative media, including large print/type; digital disk; and audio tape. Qualex International may be reached by e-mail at Qualexint@apl.com.

This meeting can be viewed over George Mason University's Capitol Connection. The Capitol Connection also will carry the meeting live via the Internet. For information on these services call (703) 834–1470 Ext. 10. The audio portion of the meeting will be broadcast live on the Internet via the FCC's Internet audio broadcast page at <http://www.fcc.gov/realaudio/>. Audio and video tapes of this meeting can be purchased from Infocus, 341 Victory Drive, Herndon, VA 20170, telephone (703) 834–1470, Ext. 10; fax number (703) 834–0111.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–12571 Filed 5–15–02; 2:24 pm]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties can review or obtain copies of agreements at the Washington, DC offices of the Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Room 962. Interested parties may submit comments on an agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Agreement No.: 201135.

Title: SSAT (Oakland) Agreement.

Parties: Yusen Terminals Inc., SSA Terminals, LLC, SSA Terminals (Oakland), LLC, SSA Pacific Terminals, Inc.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes the parties to discuss, agree, organize, and operate as a marine terminal operator at a marine terminal facility in Alameda County, California.

Dated: May 13, 2002.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–12320 Filed 5–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Petition No. P1–02]

Petition of the National Customs Brokers and Forwarders Association of America, Inc. and the International Association of NVOCCs, Inc. for an Investigation of the Contracting Practices of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement; Notice of Filing and Request for Comments

Notice is hereby given that the National Customs Brokers and Forwarders Association of America, Inc. and the International Association of NVOCCs, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”) have petitioned the Commission for an investigation under section 11(c) of the Shipping Act of 1984 (“Shipping Act”) of certain activities by the members of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement (“TSA”).

In particular, Petitioners request that the Commission determine whether TSA's members have violated the Shipping Act through discriminatory service contracting practices with regard to traffic moving in the eastbound transpacific trades that intentionally discriminate against Ocean Transportation Intermediaries (“OTIs”) in violation of sections 10(c)(7) and 10(c)(8) of the Shipping Act.

In support of this contention, Petitioners claim that TSA's members have refused to negotiate with OTIs or shippers' associations representing OTIs until such time as they completed negotiations and signed contracts with proprietary shippers. Petitioners also claim that TSA's members have agreed to charge OTIs substantially higher rates than are being assessed against proprietary shippers for exactly the

same services, regardless of volume or other lawful transportation factors. Petitioners state that this is being done through a General Rate Increase (“GRI”) and Peak Season Surcharge (“PSS”) that are mandatory for OTI service contracts, but waived for contracts with beneficial cargo owners.

Petitioners further assert that TSA members' refusal to negotiate or enter into service contracts with OTIs at the same time as proprietary shippers constitutes an unreasonable refusal to deal in violation of section 10(c)(1) of the Shipping Act. Petitioners also request that the Commission determine whether these practices are causing unreasonable increases in transportation cost for OTIs, their customers and the shipping public within the meaning of section 6(g) of the Shipping Act.

Petitioners also contend that TSA and its members appear to be abusing the “voluntary guidelines” authorized by section 5(c) of the Shipping Act by failing to file their true agreements with the Commission, and are thus operating under an unfiled agreement in violation of section 10(a)(3) of the Shipping Act.

If the Commission's investigation concludes that Shipping Act violations have occurred, Petitioners urge the Commission to: (1) Issue sanctions against TSA and its members pursuant to section 13 of the Shipping Act for violations found; (2) require TSA member lines to pay reparations pursuant to section 11(g) of the Shipping Act to those OTIs who have been damaged; and (3) seek appropriate injunctive relief to enjoy further operation of TSA pursuant to sections 6(g) and (h) of the Shipping Act.

The Petition was filed under Rule 69 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 CFR 502.69, and states that it was served upon counsel for TSA. Replies to the petition, as provided by Rule 69 and Rule 74, 46 CFR 502.74, are due May 28, 2002. In order for the Commission to make a thorough evaluation of the petition, the Commission is also inviting interested persons to submit their comments on the petition no later than May 28, 2002. Comments shall consist of an original and 15 copies, or, if e-mailed, as an attachment in WordPerfect 8, Microsoft Word 97, or earlier versions of these applications; be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime

Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, Washington, DC 20573-0001 (e-mail to: Secretary@fmc.gov); and be served on Petitioners' counsel: David P. Street and Edward D. Greenberg, Galland, Kharasch, Greenberg, Fellman & Swirsky, P.C., 1054 Thirty-First Street, NW, Washington, DC 20007-4492; and on counsel for TSA, Stanley Sher, Sher & Blackwell, 1850 M Street, NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036.

Copies of the petition are available at the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, 800 N. Capitol Street, NW., Room 1046, by telephone request at 202-523-5725 or through email request directed to Secretary@fmc.gov.

Parties participating in this proceeding may elect to receive service of the Commission's issuances in this proceeding through e-mail in lieu of service by U.S. mail. A party opting for electronic service shall advise the Office of the Secretary in writing and provide an e-mail address where service can be made.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-12440 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be

conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 10, 2002.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (A. Linwood Gill, III, Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261-4528:

1. *FNB Corp.*, Asheboro, North Carolina; to merge with Rowan Bancorp, Inc., China Grove, North Carolina, and thereby indirectly acquire Rowan Savings Bank SSB, Inc., China Grove, North Carolina.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Sue Costello, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4470:

1. *Community First, Inc.*, Columbia, Tennessee; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Community First Bank & Trust, Columbia, Tennessee.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 13, 2002.

Robert deV. Frierson,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 02-12324 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Federal Open Market Committee; Domestic Policy Directive of March 19, 2002

In accordance with § 271.25 of its rules regarding availability of information (12 CFR part 271), there is set forth below the domestic policy directive issued by the Federal Open Market Committee at its meeting held on March 19, 2002.¹

The Federal Open Market Committee seeks monetary and financial conditions that will foster price stability and promote sustainable growth in output. To further its long-run objectives, the Committee in the immediate future seeks conditions in reserve markets consistent with maintaining the federal funds rate at an average of around 1¾ percent.

¹ Copies of the Minutes of the Federal Open Market Committee meeting on March 19, 2002, which includes the domestic policy directive issued at the meeting, are available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The minutes are published in the Federal Reserve Bulletin and in the Board's annual report.

By order of the Federal Open Market Committee, May 13, 2002.

Donald L. Kohn,
Secretary, Federal Open Market Committee.
[FR Doc. 02-12432 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Regulation and Program Development Division; Cancellation of a Standard Form

AGENCY: Federal Supply Service, General Services Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the cancellation of the following Standard form:

SF 1203, U.S. Government Billing of Lading-Privately Owned Personnel Property (7-part continuous fee version) (identified by NSN 7540-01-096-8489). New regulations require Federal agencies to use the Transportation Service Provider's bill of lading or pay with a government purchase card (*See* 41 CFR 102-118).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: General Services Administration, Forms Management, (202) 501-0581.

DATES: Effective May 17, 2002.

Dated: April 30, 2002.

Barbara M. Williams,
Deputy Standard and Optional Forms Management Officer, General Services Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-12339 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Performance Review Boards for Small Client Agencies Services by the General Services Administration, Names of Members

Sec. 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5 U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel management, one or more Performance Review Boards. The board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal by the supervisor of a senior executive's performance, along with any recommendations to the appointing authority relative to the performance of the senior executive. The Performance Review Board also shall make recommendations as to whether the career executive should be recertified, conditionally recertified, or not recertified.