

vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Sri Lanka and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2002 and extends through December 31, 2002.

Effective on May 30, 2002, you are directed to adjusting the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
237 .....	496,747 dozen.
314 .....	6,272,349 square meters.
331pt./631pt. <sup>2</sup> .....	1,188,599 dozen pairs.
333/633 .....	28,178 dozen.
334/634 .....	1,392,652 dozen.
335 .....	274,501 dozen.
336/636 .....	731,188 dozen.
338/339 .....	2,373,506 dozen.
340/640 .....	1,942,683 dozen.
341/641 .....	3,339,264 dozen of which not more than 2,226,176 dozen shall be in Category 341 and not more than 2,094,115 dozen shall be in Category 641.
342/642 .....	1,122,967 dozen.
345/845 .....	306,045 dozen.
351/651 .....	591,656 dozen.
352/652 .....	2,102,738 dozen.
359-C/659-C <sup>3</sup> .....	1,694,184 kilograms.
360 .....	1,327,477 numbers.
363 .....	21,656,745 numbers.
369-S <sup>4</sup> .....	768,141 kilograms.
434 .....	9,068 dozen.
435 .....	19,432 dozen.
440 .....	9,638 dozen.
611 .....	3,474,240 square meters.
635 .....	728,219 dozen.
638/639 .....	1,603,686 dozen.
644 .....	952,654 numbers.
645/646 .....	229,079 dozen.
647/648 .....	1,588,840 dozen.

<sup>1</sup> The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2001.

<sup>2</sup> Category 331pt.: all HTS numbers except 6116.10.1720, 6116.10.4810, 6116.10.5510, 6116.10.7510, 6116.92.6410, 6116.92.6420, 6116.92.6430, 6116.92.6440, 6116.92.7450, 6116.92.7460, 6116.92.7470, 6116.92.8800, 6116.92.9400 and 6116.99.9510; Category 631pt.: all HTS numbers except 6116.10.1730, 6116.10.4820, 6116.10.5520, 6116.10.7520, 6116.93.8800, 6116.93.9400, 6116.99.4800, 6116.99.5400 and 6116.99.9530.

<sup>3</sup> Category 359-C: only HTS numbers 6103.42.2025, 6103.49.8034, 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.8010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6204.62.2010, 6211.32.0010, 6211.32.0025 and 6211.42.0010; Category 659-C: only HTS numbers 6103.23.0055, 6103.43.2020, 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000, 6103.49.8038, 6104.63.1020, 6104.63.1030, 6104.69.1000, 6104.69.8014, 6114.30.3044, 6114.30.3054, 6203.43.2010, 6203.43.2090, 6203.49.1010, 6203.49.1090, 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.9010, 6211.33.0010, 6211.33.0017 and 6211.43.0010.

<sup>4</sup> Category 369-S: only HTS number 6307.10.2005.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

James C. Leonard III,  
Chairman, Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 02-13472 Filed 5-29-02; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Denying Entry to Textiles and Textile Products Allegedly Manufactured in Certain Companies in Cambodia

May 23, 2002.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements  
(CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the  
Commissioner of Customs directing  
Customs to deny entry to shipments  
allegedly manufactured in certain  
companies in Cambodia.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 30, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Janet Heinzen, International Trade  
Specialist, Office of Textiles and  
Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce,  
(202) 482-3400.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Section 204 of the Agricultural  
Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854);  
Executive Order 12475 of May 9, 1984, as  
amended.

The U.S. Customs Service has conducted on-site verification of textile and textile product production in a number of foreign countries. Based on information obtained through on-site verifications and from other sources, U.S. Customs has informed CITA that certain companies were illegally transshipping, were closed, or were unable to produce records to verify production. The Chairman of CITA has directed the U.S. Customs Service to issue regulations regarding the denial of entry of shipments from such companies. (See Federal Register notice 64 FR 41395, published on July 30, 1999). In order to secure compliance with U.S. law, including Section 204 and U.S. customs law, to carry out textile and textile product agreements, and to avoid circumvention of textile agreements, the Chairman of CITA is directing the U.S. Customs Service to deny entry to textile and textile products allegedly manufactured by G. T. Garment (Cambodia) Co., Ltd.; Kao Sing Co., Ltd.; and Horus Industrial Corporation for two years. Customs has

informed CITA that these companies were found to have been illegally transshipping, closed, or unable to produce records to verify production.

Should CITA determine that this decision should be amended, such amendment will be published in the Federal Register.

James C. Leonard III,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation  
of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile  
Agreements

May 23, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,  
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC  
20229.

Dear Commissioner: The U.S. Customs Service has conducted on-site verification of textile and textile product production in a number of foreign countries. Based on information obtained through on-site verifications and from other sources, U.S. Customs has informed CITA that certain companies were illegally transshipping, were closed, or were unable to produce records to verify production. The Chairman of CITA has directed the U.S. Customs Service to issue regulations regarding the denial of entry of shipments from such companies (see directive dated July 27, 1999 (64 FR 41395), published on July 30, 1999). In order to secure compliance with U.S. law, including Section 204 and U.S. customs law, to carry out textile and textile product agreements, and to avoid circumvention of textile agreements, the Chairman of CITA directs the U.S. Customs Service, effective for goods exported on and after May 30, 2002 and extending through May 29, 2004, to deny entry to textiles and textile products allegedly manufactured by the Cambodian companies G. T. Garment (Cambodia) Co., Ltd.; Kao Sing Co., Ltd.; and Horus Industrial Corporation. Customs has informed CITA that these companies were found to have been illegally transshipping, closed, or unable to produce records to verify production.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

James C. Leonard III,  
Chairman, Committee for the Implementation  
of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 02-13470 Filed 5-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and  
Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Corporation is conducting a Study of the Community, Higher Education, and School Partnerships (CHESP) supported with Learn and Serve America School-based funds. This notice concerns the collection of information from CHESP grantees and subgrantee organizations which will describe the organizations, the CHESP activities that they are involved in, and their perceptions of their CHES Partnerships. Copies of the information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

The Corporation is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the Corporation's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by July 29, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to the Corporation for National and Community Service, Department of Research and Policy Development, Attn:

William Ward, 1201 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20525, or [wward@cns.gov](mailto:wward@cns.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Ward (202) 606-5000, ext. 375 or [wward@cns.gov](mailto:wward@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The intent of the Community, Higher Education, and School Partnerships (CHESP), a service-learning initiative, is for communities, institutions of higher education, and schools to work together to identify and meet the needs of the community and create valuable learning opportunities for young people. The program's purpose is to encourage strategic collaborations among institutions to improve education and communities through service-learning and develop comprehensive demonstration models of service-learning and community, higher education, and school collaborations that can be replicated. A key aspect of CHESP, and what distinguishes it from other service-learning programs, is its emphasis on three-way partnerships among schools, community-based organizations and institutions of higher education.

In February 2000, the Corporation awarded 20 competitive three-year CHESP grants supported with Learn and Serve America: School-based funds to state education agencies, grantmaking entities, and one Indian Tribe. Each grantee then funded between two and 18 local subgrantees (for a total of approximately 166 subgrantees).

The study of the Community, Higher Education, and School Partnerships (CHESP) seeks to describe CHESP grantees and subgrantees and their partnerships; identify facilitators and barriers to establishing service-learning partnerships among CHESP partners; determine whether CHESP programs provide effective models or value-added strategies for service-learning initiatives that can be replicated; and determine whether CHESP programs suggest an appropriate direction for future grantmaking policy.

**Current Action**

The Corporation seeks approval of two telephone survey forms that will be used to collect information about CHESP grantee and subgrantee partnerships and programs. This requires collecting information from grantee and subgrantee organization staff that will address: (1) CHESP project characteristics and information about the implementation of the partnerships; and (2) the impact of the CHESP

partnerships on the grantee and the subgrantee organizations.

*Type of Review:* New collection.

*Agency:* Corporation for National and Community Service.

*Title:* CHESP Grantee/ Subgrantee Survey.

*OMB Number:* None.

*Agency Number:* None.

*Affected Public:* Project staff at CHESP grantee organizations such as state education agencies, grantmaking entities, and one Indian Tribe, and project staff at subgrantee organizations such as community based organizations, elementary and secondary schools and school districts, and institutions of higher education.

*Total Respondents:* 20 grantees, and approximately 166 subgrantees.

*Frequency:* One time survey.

*Average Time Per Response:* Grantee Survey: 60 minutes, Subgrantee Survey: 50 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 160.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* None.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* None.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 22, 2002.

**David Reingold,**

*Director, Department of Research and Policy Development.*

[FR Doc. 02-13465 Filed 5-29-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050--\$-\$P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**GENERAL SERVICES  
ADMINISTRATION**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND  
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**[OMB Control No. 9000-0053]**

**Federal Acquisition Regulation;  
Submission for OMB Review; Permits,  
Authorities, or Franchises Certification**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)