

Environmental Protection Working Group as described in section 2.2.1 of the Programmatic EIS. The Coast Guard also stated in the Programmatic EIS that all Coast Guard regulations concerning environmental protection will remain in force for the Integrated Deepwater System.

Because of the broad, programmatic nature of the Integrated Deepwater System Project Programmatic EIS, it is not currently possible to state emphatically that all practical means of avoiding or minimizing environmental harm have been adopted at the site-specific level. However, as a means to avoid or minimize environmental harm at the site-specific level, the Coast Guard has stated in the Programmatic EIS and restates here that follow-on NEPA documentation will address site-specific issues including potential mitigation measures. This tiered documentation will be completed on a level as comprehensive as possible while remaining commensurate with Coast Guard decisions being made.

In reaching my decision on the U.S. Coast Guard's proposed action, I have considered the information contained in the Integrated Deepwater System Project Programmatic EIS on the potential for environmental impacts.

Dated: June 18, 2002.

**Robert S. Horowitz,**

*Director of Finance and Procurement, U.S. Coast Guard.*

[FR Doc. 02-15892 Filed 6-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Aging Transport System Rulemaking Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a public meeting of the FAA's Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ATSRAC).

**DATES:** The FAA will hold the meeting on July 9, 10, and 11, 2002, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on the 9th and 10th and from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on the 11th.

**ADDRESSES:** On July 9th and 10th the meeting will be held at the Federal Aviation Administration, MacCracken Room, 800 Independence Avenue, Washington, DC 20591. Only July 11th the meeting will be held at the Boeing Company, 1200 Wilson Blvd., Rosslyn, Virginia.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shirley Stroman, Office of Rulemaking, ARM-208, FAA, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470; fax (202) 267-5075; or e-mail [shirley.stroman@faa.gov](mailto:shirley.stroman@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice announces a meeting of the Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee, which will be held at the Federal Aviation Administration, MacCracken Room, 800 Independence Avenue, Washington, DC 20591 on July 9 and 10, 2002, and at the Boeing Company, 1200 Wilson Blvd., Rosslyn, Virginia on July 11th.

The agenda topics for meeting will include the following:

1. Presentation of Draft Final Reports From the Following Harmonization Working Groups:
  - Wire System Certification Requirements
  - Standard Wire Practice Manual
  - Enhanced Training Program for Wire Systems
  - Enhanced Maintenance Criteria for Systems
2. Status Report on Small Transport Airplane Harmonization Working Group
3. Update on the Enhanced Airworthiness Programs for Airplane Systems (EAPAS) Plan
4. Status of FAA's Research and Development Program on Aging Systems
5. Intrusive Inspection Recommendation Status

Meeting attendance is open to the public. However, space will be limited by the size of the available meeting room. The FAA will provide teleconference services to individuals who wish to participate by telephone and who submit their requests before June 28th. If you use the teleconference service from within the Washington, DC metropolitan calling area, the call would be considered local. However, callers from outside this calling area will be responsible for paying long-distance charges. In addition to teleconferencing services, we will provide sign and oral interpretation, as well as a listening device if requests are made within 7 calendar days before the meeting. You may arrange for these services by contacting the person listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** heading of this notice.

The public may present written statements to the Committee by providing 20 copies of the Committee's Executive Director or by bringing the copies to the meeting. Public statements will only be considered if time permits.

Issued in Washington, on June 14, 2002.

**Anthony F. Fazio,**

*Director, Office of Rulemaking.*

[FR Doc. 02-15780 Filed 6-18-02; 4:55 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 02-04-C-00-TLH To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Tallahassee Regional Airport, Tallahassee, FL

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

**SUMMARY:** These corrections revise information from the previously published notice. In the notice appearing on pages 18671 and 18672 in the issue of Tuesday, April 16, 2002 (Volume 67, Number 73) under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** in the first column, in the 15th line, the date the FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than should read, "August 15, 2002". Also, under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, in the first column, in the 21st line, the Proposed charge expiration date should read, "October 1, 2007". Finally, under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, in the first column, in the 24th line, the Total estimated PFC revenue should read, "\$10,072,057".

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 24, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Orlando Airports District Office, Suite 400, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Orlando, Florida 32822.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Kenneth Austin, Airport Director of the City of Tallahassee at the following address: Tallahassee Regional Airport, 3300 Capital Circle, SW., Suite 1, Tallahassee, Florida 32310.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of Tallahassee under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Bill Farris, Program Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, Suite 400, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Orlando Florida, 32822, (407) 812-6331, extension 25. The application may be

reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 16, 2002, the City of Tallahassee submitted a revised application to correct a mathematical discrepancy in total estimated PFC revenue and to change the proposed charge expiration date in the application the FAA found substantially complete on April 2, 2002. On June 11, 2002, the City of Tallahassee submitted a letter requesting that the no later than date of July 16, 2002 for the FAA to approve or disapprove the application, in whole or part, be extended to August 15, 2002.

Issued in Orlando, Florida, on June 12, 2002.

**W. Dean Stringer,**

*Manager, Airports District Office.*

[FR Doc. 02-15801 Filed 6-21-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Docket Number FRA-2002-12175

**Applicant:** CSX Transportation, Incorporated, Mr. Eric G. Peterson, Assistant Chief Engineer, Signal Design and Construction, 4901 Belfort Road, Suite 130 (S/C J-370), Jacksonville, Florida 32256.

CSX Transportation, Incorporated seeks approval of the proposed modification of the signal systems, on three segments of the Baltimore Service Lane, Baltimore Terminal Subdivision, near, Baltimore, Maryland, consisting of the following:

1. Elimination of the present automatic block signal (ABS) Rules 243-246 which are in effect for westward movements on the South Baltimore Industrial Track between Westport and Carroll, on the South Baltimore Branch, and conversion of the method of operation to Rule 105 (Other than main track) and Rule 46 (Operating Speeds on other than main tracks).

2. Elimination of the present traffic control system (TCS) Rules 265-272

which are in effect on the Mt. Winans No.11 Track, and conversion of the method of operation to Rules 105 and 46.

3. Elimination of the present ABS current of traffic Rule D-251 and Yard Limit Rule 93 which are in effect between Westport, milepost BRN0.5 and Mt. Winans Yard Limits, milepost BAS0.5, and conversion of the method of operation to Rules 105 and 46.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that traffic density does not warrant retention of the signal systems through these track segments.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 13, 2002.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 02-15802 Filed 6-21-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### Denial of Motor Vehicle Recall Petition, RP01-001

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Denial of petition for a hearing on the adequacy of recall notification.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition submitted to NHTSA under 49 U.S.C. 30162, requesting that the agency hold a Public Hearing to determine whether General Motors Corporation (GM) has reasonably met its obligation to notify owners of NHTSA Safety Recall No. 00V-189. The petition is identified as RP01-001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jonathan White, Office of Defects Investigation (ODI), NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366-5226.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Mr. Franklin Walter Long, Jr., of Detroit, MI, submitted a petition to NHTSA by facsimile dated October 24, 2001, requesting that the agency hold a Public Hearing to determine whether GM has reasonably met its obligation to notify him of NHTSA Safety Recall No. 00V-189 with respect to his model year 1991 Oldsmobile Cutlass Supreme. The petitioner alleges that GM did not notify him of NHTSA Safety Recall No. 00V-189.

ODI has reviewed its records for this recall, which involved more than 700,000 vehicles, and no other individuals have expressed any concerns to NHTSA regarding notification. When a motor vehicle manufacturer conducts a safety recall, it is required by 49 U.S.C. 30119 to use its records and State motor vehicle records to identify owners of the vehicles covered by the recall. According to records provided by GM, Northern Michigan Loan, Inc., was notified of this recall on September 28, 2000. That entity apparently was identified as the registered owner of the vehicle at that time. Subsequently, Mr. Long was mailed an owner notification with respect to this recall on March 8, 2002. Furthermore, GM has advised NHTSA that it has taken steps to buy back the petitioner's vehicle.

In view of the foregoing, it is unlikely that NHTSA would issue an order to GM regarding the adequacy of the notification under this recall following a hearing such as the one the petitioner