addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Rod Dinger, Airports Manager, city of Redding, at the following address: 777 Cypress Avenue, Redding, CA 96049–6071. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided in the city of Redding under section 158.23 of Part 158.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010–1303, Telephone: (650) 876–2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Redding Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On June 18, 2002, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the city of Redding was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than September 21, 2002.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 02–02–C–00–RDD:

Level of proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date: December 1, 2002.

Proposed charge expiration date: April 1, 2007.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$1,251,567.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Terminal Chairs Replacement, Purchase Used Pavement Sweeper, Emergency Generator for ARFF Living Quarters, Crack and Slurry Seal Airport Access Road and Taxiway, Security Fencing, Land Acquisition (3.4 acre parcel), Rescue and Fire Equipment, American with Disabilities Lift Device, Terminal Building Rehabilitation-Phase II, Land Acquisition (8 acre parcel) Approach Protection, Master Plan Update, Taxiway C, D, and E Rehabilitation and Repair, General Aviation Apron Construction, Reconstruct Runway 12/30, Land Acquisition for Approach Protection, Construct High Speed Taxiway G, Preliminary Design ARFF Station, **Emergency Communication System** 

Upgrade, Runway 16/34 Reconstruction Preliminary Design and Pavement Maintenance Program, Runway 16/34 Reconstruction—Phase I, Runway 16/34 Reconstruction—Phase II, and Runway 35 Safety Area Culvert.

Class of classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of Redding.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on June 18, 2002.

#### Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 02–16390 Filed 6–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Highway Administration**

## **Environmental Impact Statement:** Shasta and Trinity Counties, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed State Route 299 (SR 299) project in Shasta and Trinity Counties, California.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harry Khani, Transportation Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 980 Ninth Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, California 95814, telephone: (916) 498– 5056, e-mail:

Harry.Khani@fhwa.dot.gov. Chris Cummings, California Department of Transportation Project Manager, 1657 Riverside Drive, Redding, CA 96049, telephone: (530) 225–3495, e-mail: chris cummings@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), will prepare an EIS for a proposed highway improvement project of SR 299 in Shasta and Trinity Counties, California.

SR 299 is the principal arterial between Interstate 5 and Highway 101 and is designated as a high emphasis route in the Interregional Roadway System. SR 299 is of economic importance to the region as it provides access to a vast recreational area and links the upper Sacramento Valley with the deepwater port in Eureka. The project portion of the highway, the Buckhorn Grade, represents the only obstacle preventing interstate trucks and oversize permit loads from utilizing this direct access to the coast.

The proposed project limits extends approximately 7.5 miles from the boundary of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area to west of the Shasta-Trinity County line. The existing SR 299 corridor within these limits consists of a two-lane highway with limited passing lanes at various locations. The road closely follows the extremely rugged terrain forming a steep, twisted alignment with a design speed of 25 mph.

The proposed project would construct a new two-lane alignment, with truck climbing lanes, standard shoulders, 50 mph design speed, and maximum 7% grade. Possible alignment variations include bridges, viaducts, and a possible tunnel at the Buckhorn Summit. The replaced SR 299 alignment would be relinquished or reclaimed (all or part).

Caltrans has been investigating Buckhorn Grade realignment designs for over 40 years. Since the early 1990's four Project Study Reports have been completed. Since 2000, Caltrans has conducted over 11 meetings with the public, with local governmental officials, and with jurisdictional agencies.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. In addition, a public workshop will be held, with public notice given of the time and location. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public workshop.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning, and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: June 13, 2002.

#### Jeffery Kolb,

Chief District Operation South-California Division, Federal Highway Administration. [FR Doc. 02–16411 Filed 6–27–02; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Surface Transportation Board [STB Finance Docket No. 34218]

### The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption–Union Pacific Railroad Company

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP), pursuant to a written trackage rights agreement entered into between UP and The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF), has agreed to grant temporary overhead trackage rights to BNSF over UP's rail line between UP's milepost 428.7 at Klamath Falls, OR, and UP's milepost 141.9 at Binney Junction (Marysville), CA, a total distance of approximately 286.8 miles. BNSF will operate its own trains with its own crews over UP's line under the trackage rights agreement.1

Operations under the exemption were scheduled to begin on June 17, 2002, the effective date of the exemption (7 days after the notice was filed).

The temporary trackage rights are to allow BNSF to bridge its train service while BNSF's main line is out of service for maintenance.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance

Docket No. 34218, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423– 0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Michael E. Roper, 2500 Lou Menk Drive, P.O. Box 961039, Fort Worth, TX 76161–0039.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: June 20, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–16260 Filed 6–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Surface Transportation Board [STB Docket No. AB-597X]

### Butte-Silver Bow County— Abandonment Exemption—in Silver Bow County, MT

On June 18, 2002, Butte-Silver Bow County (BSB), a noncarrier, filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903–04 <sup>1</sup> to abandon approximately 11 miles of railroad known as the Missoula Gulch and Butte Hill Line (MGBH) in and near Butte, in Silver Bow County, MT.<sup>2</sup> The MGBH

<sup>1</sup> In addition to an exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10903, BSB seeks exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10904 (offers of financial assistance). Also, on May 21, 2002, BSB filed a request for a trail use condition under 16 U.S.C. 1247(d) with respect to the Missoula Gulch segment, and a request for a public use condition under 49 U.S.C. 10905 for the Butte Hill segment. These requests will be addressed in the final decision.

<sup>2</sup> The MGBH was most recently owned and operated by a non-profit corporation called the Butte/Anaconda Historic Park and Railroad Corporation (BAHPR). The BAHPR operated a tourist train over the portion of the MGBH between Rocker and the former Butte Hill Yard under a lease from the State of Montana from 1988 to 1991, at which time the BAHPR acquired the MGBH. See Butte/Anaconda Historic Park and Railroad Corporation—Acquisition Exemption—State of Montana, Department of Commerce, ICC Finance Docket No. 31982 (ICC served Feb. 11, 1992). In 1994, the Montana Secretary of State's Office involuntarily dissolved the BAHPR, but it continued intermittently to operate a tourist train over the MGBH through 1996, did not seek reinstatement, and never distributed its assets following dissolution. On October 29, 2001, the Montana district court in Silver Bow County ordered the BAHPR's assets distributed to BSB.

On February 15, 2002, BSB filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire the MGBH, with the intention to subsequently seek this abandonment. On March 15, 2002, the Board provided public notice of the acquisition exemption. See Butte-Silver Bow County—Acquisition Exemption—Silver Bow County, MT,

extends from milepost 0.0 at Rocker, west of Butte, to milepost 4.40 at the Butte Hill Yard (Missoula Gulch segment), and also extends north and east from milepost 0.0 at the Butte Hill Yard to milepost 3.69 near the Badger Mine (Butte Hill segment). The line traverses U.S. Postal Service Zip Code 59701. There are no stations on the line.

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in the railroad's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it.

BSB has no railroad employees and the entire line is involved in this abandonment. Accordingly, no employee protection will be imposed here.

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by October 4, 2002.

Any offer of financial assistance (OFA) under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each OFA must be accompanied by a \$1,100 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than July 29, 2002. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a \$150 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB–597X and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001; and (2) Susan J. Geer, Esq., Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP, 1550 17th Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202. Replies to the BSB petition are due on or before July 29, 2002.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 565–1592 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 565–1552. [TDD for the

STB Finance Docket No. 34171 (STB served Mar. 15, 2002) (67 FR 11743). Abandonment of the MGBH is being sought to facilitate environmental cleanup activities in and around Butte required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

¹ On June 20, 2002, BNSF filed a petition for exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 34218 (Sub-No. 1), The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company, where BNSF requests that the Board permit the proposed overhead trackage rights arrangement described in the present proceeding to expire on August 16, 2002. That petition will be addressed by the Board in a separate decision.