institutions, such as: Universities, industry, non-profit organizations, federal laboratories and Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), including the DOE National Laboratories, where appropriate, and to include cost sharing and/or consortia wherever feasible. Additional information on collaboration is available in the Application Guide for the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program that is available via the World Wide Web at: *http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/grants/Colab.html.*

Formal Applications

Information about the development and submission of applications, eligibility, limitations, evaluation, selection process, and other policies and procedures are contained in 10 CFR Part 605, and in the Application Guide for the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program. Electronic access to the latest version of the Office of Science's Financial Assistance Guide and required forms is made available via the World Wide Web at: *http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/grants/grants.html.* DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with the preparation or submission of applications if an award is not made.

The research project description must be five pages per task or less, exclusive of attachments and must contain an abstract or summary of the proposed research. Projects reporting results or progress on work conducted with DOE funding under the previous RIA R&D program may include two additional pages per task. All collaborators should be listed with the abstract or summary. On the grant face page, form DOE F 4650.2, in block 15, also provide the Principal Investigator's phone number, fax number, and E-mail address. Attachments include curriculum vitae, a listing of all current and pending federal support and letters of intent when collaborations are part of the proposed research. Curriculum vitae should be limited to no more than two pages per individual.

Merit Review

Applications will be subjected to scientific merit review (peer review) and will be evaluated against the following evaluation criteria listed in descending order of importance as codified at 10 CFR part 605.10(d):

1. Scientific and/or Technical Merit of the Project,

2. Appropriateness of the Proposed Method or Approach,

3. Competency of Applicant's Personnel and Adequacy of Proposed Resources,

4. Reasonableness and Appropriateness of the Proposed Budget.

The evaluation will include program policy factors, such as the relevance of the proposed research to the terms of the announcement and agency's programmatic needs. Note, external peer reviewers are selected with regard to both their scientific expertise and the absence of conflict-of-interest issues. Non-federal reviewers may be used, and submission of an application constitutes agreement that this is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 81.049, and the solicitation control number is ERFAP 10 CFR part 605.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 30, 2002.

John Rodney Clark,

Associate Director of Science for Resource Management.

[FR Doc. 02–20064 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC02–907–000, FERC–907]

Public Information Collection and Request for Comments August 2, 2002.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Request for Office of Management and Budget Emergency Processing of proposed information collection and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collection pursuant to the requirements of Section 3507(j)(1)of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No.104–13), and 5 CFR 1320.13 of OMB's regulations. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

DATES: The Commission and OMB must receive comments on or before August 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: (1) Michael Miller, Office of the Chief Information Officer, CI–1, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Mr. Miller can be reached by telephone at (202) 502–8415 and by e-mail at *michael.miller@ferc.fed.us*; and

(2) Ms. Ruth Solomon, FERC Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503. Ms. Solomon may be reached by telephone at (202) 395–7856 or by fax at (202) 395–7285. Ms. Solomon should be contacted by phone or fax.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Klose, Office of the Executive Director, Division of Regulatory Accounting Policy, (202) 219–2595; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) in Docket No. RM02–14–000, issued a proposed rule, to amend its Uniform System of Accounts for public utilities, natural gas companies and oil pipeline companies by establishing the documentation necessary to furnish readily full information concerning cash management agreements created by a Commission-regulated subsidiary and a non-regulated parent. Specifically, the Commission is requiring that all such cash management arrangements be in writing and specify the duties and responsibilities of participants in a cash management system (a system in which funds are transferred from multiple accounts to a single account in the parent company's name or a single account with interest earned or charged on the net cash balance position, or in which balances in affiliated companies' accounts are at the same bank as the parent company and transfers are made daily to the parent's account).

The Commission's staff has reviewed several cash management agreements between Commission-regulated companies and their non-regulated parent companies. With only one exception, there was no formal, written agreements at the gas pipelines, electric utilities and oil pipelines among the companies reviewed. There is potential for serious financial harm to Commission-regulated entities if non-regulated parent companies default on accounts payable owed to their regulated subsidiaries. Accordingly, the Commission is proposing to require written cash management agreements for the entities it regulates. Cash management agreements serve to define the rights and responsibilities of the parties to the agreements plus clarify how the funds will be advanced/transferred and whether interest will be paid and at what rate of interest to the companies providing the funds.

The Commission intends to clearly define the roles and responsibilities of all parties regarding transfers of cash, payment of bills, payment of interest, and the funds that can be taken from the regulated subsidiary. Cash management agreements should be reviewed and updated periodically to ensure that change in the corporate structure has not made the agreements obsolete. Additionally, cash management agreements must provide assurance for Commission-regulated entities and regulators that non-regulated parents aren't exposing their subsidiaries to severe financial harm for the benefit of non-regulated affiliated companies.

Under the statutes that it administers, the Commission has broad authority to act in the public interest and to ensure that adequate supplies of energy are available to the nation at a reasonable cost. Because of the Commission's concern that cash management accounts not be used improperly to impair the financial health of regulated entities, so as to cause harm to the rate paying public, it believes it is appropriate to put into place these requirements to protect the ratepayers.

The Commission has submitted this collection of information to OMB for approval. OMB's regulations describe the process that federal agencies must follow in order to obtain OMB approval for collections of information. See 5 CFR 1320. The standards for emergency processing of information collections appear at 5 CFR 1320.13. If OMB approves a reporting requirement, then it will assign an information control number to that requirement. OMB requires federal agencies seeking approval of information collections to allow the public an opportunity to comment on the proposed information collection. 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv). Therefore, the Commission is soliciting comment on:

(1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility:

(2) The accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the collection of this information, including the validity of methodology and assumptions used;

(3) The quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) How to minimize the burden of the collection of this information on respondents, including the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

OMB Control No.: (to be assigned).

Expiration Date: 01/31/2002.

Title: Regulation of Cash Management Practices.

IC No.: FERC–907.

Respondents: Businesses or other for -profit.

Estimated annual burden: 896 hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and/or Recordkeeping cost: $50,418.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20043 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02–112–000]

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., FirstEnergy Generation Corp., Complainant, v. PJM Interconnection, LLC, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 2, 2002.

Take notice that on August 1, 2002, FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. and FirstEnergy Generation Corp. (FirstEnergy) filed a Complaint against PJM Interconnection, LLC (''PJM''). In the Complaint, FirstEnergy requests that the Commission issue an order directing PJM to eliminate its eFuel reporting requirement. In the alternative, FirstEnergy requests that the Commission issue an order directing PJM to address the eFuel reporting requirement under the MMU information gathering rules in effect at the time PJM initiated reporting requirement in February 2002.

Copies of this filing were served upon PJM, state regulatory agencies in Ohio, Pennsylvania and New Jersey and others FirstEnergy reasonably knows may be expected to be affected by the Complaint.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before August 21, 2002. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at *http://www.ferc.gov* using the ''RIMS'' link, select ''Docket #'' and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the ''e-Filing'' link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20042 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG02–172–000, *et al.*]

Genova Oklahoma I, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

July 31, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Genova Oklahoma I, LLC

[Docket No. EG02–172–000]

Take notice that on July 25, 2002, Genova Oklahoma I, LLC, 5700 West Plano Parkway, Suite 1000, Plano, Texas 75093, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commissions regulations.

Genova Oklahoma I, LLC states it is a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and is engaged directly and exclusively in owning and operating the Genova Oklahoma I, LLC electric generating facility (the Project) to be located in Grady County, Oklahoma, and selling electric energy at wholesale from the Project. The Project will consist of a combined cycle combustion turbine unit with a nominal rating of approximately 580 megawatts and associated transmission interconnection components.

Comment Date: August 21, 2002.