Activity/Operator	Location	Date
Walter Oil & Gas Corporation, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–041.	Eugene Island Area, Block 72, Lease OCS-G 10720, located 21 miles south-southeast of Iberia Parish, Louisiana, and 93 miles west of Fourchon, Louisiana.	05/17/02
Devon Energy Production Co., L.P., Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02-042.	South Marsh Island (North Addition) Area, Block 256, Lease OCS–G 04112, located 16 miles south-southwest of Iberia Parish, Louisiana, and 42 miles south-southeast of Intracoastal City, Louisiana.	05/14/02
Unocal Corporation, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02-043.	Mobile Area, Block 829, Lease OCS–G 06844, located 5 miles south of Baldwin County, Alabama, and 29 miles southeast of Theodore, Alabama.	05/20/02
Taylor Energy Company, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–044.	Matagorda Island Area, Block 665, Lease OCS-G 03464, located 12 miles southeast of Calhoun County, Texas, and 31 miles east-northeast of Harbor Island, Texas.	05/20/02
El Paso Production, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–045.	Main Pass (South & East Addition) Area, Block 198, Lease OCS–G 13659, located 42 miles southeast of Chandeleur Islands, and 72 miles south of Theodore, Alabama.	05/29/02
Prime Natural, Resources, Inc. Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–046.	High Island Area (East Addition), Block 130, Lease OCS–G 14883, located 29 miles south of Jefferson County, Texas, and 34 miles south of Sabine Pass, Texas.	06/11/02
El Paso Production, Structure Removal Activity, SEA Nos. ES/SR 02-047, 02-048 and 02-049.	High Island Area (East Addition South Extension), Block A–313, Platform A, Lease OCS–G 02410; High Island Area (East Addition South Extension), Block A–349, Platform B, Lease OCS–G 02743; and High Island Area (South Addition), Block A–497, Platform A, Lease OCS–G 06231; located (Platform A) 102 miles south of Jefferson County, Texas, and 111 miles south of Cameron Parish, Louisiana, (Platform B) 115 miles south of Cameron Parish, Louisiana, and 117 miles south of Cameron, Louisiana, and (Platform A) 88 miles southeast of Galveston County, Texas, and 119 miles south-southwest of Cameron, Louisiana, respectively.	06/10/02
Newfield Exploration Company, Structure Removal Activity, SEA Nos. ES/SR 02–050, 02–051, 02–052, 02–053, 02–054 and 02–055.	Ship Shoal Area, Block 69, Lease OCS–G 03577, Caissons 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 13, located approximately 6 miles south of Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana, and 98 miles southeast of Intracoastal City, Louisiana.	05/31/02
El Paso Production, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–056.	High Island Area (East Addition South Extension), Block A–271, Lease OCS–G 06245, located 85 miles south of Jefferson County, Texas, and 95 miles south-southwest of Cameron, Louisiana.	06/12/02
Maritech Resources, Inc., Structure Removal Activity, SEA Nos. ES/SR 02–057 and 02–058.	Main Pass (South and East Addition), Block 187, Lease OCS–G 08756, located 37 miles east-southeast of Chandeleur Islands, and 65 miles east-northeast of Venice, Louisiana.	06/14/02
Apache Corporation, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–059.	West Cameron Area, Block 199, Lease OCS–G 14319, located 29 miles south- southwest of Cameron Parish, Louisiana, and 52 miles southeast of Sabine Pass, Louisiana.	06/13/02
McMoran Oil & Gas, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02-061.	Vermilion Area, Block 144, Lease OCS-G 03125, located 40 miles south of Vermilion Parish, Louisiana, and 58 miles southwest of Intracoastal City, Louisiana.	06/14/02
El Paso Production, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02-062.	High Island Area (East Addition South Extension), Block A–330, Lease OCS–G 02421, located 11 miles south-southeast of Jefferson County, Texas, and 115 miles south-southwest of Cameron, Louisiana.	06/10/02
Energy Resource Technology, Inc., Structure Removal Activity, SEA Nos. ES/SR 02–063 and 02–064.	Vermilion Area, Block 147, Lease OCS–G 02071, located 37 miles south of Vermilion Parish, Louisiana, and 85 miles west-southwest of Morgan City, Louisiana.	06/14/02
Newfield Exploration Company, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–065.	Viosca Knoll Area, Block 209, Lease OCS–G 08744, located 29 miles south of Bladwin County, Alabama, and 88 miles northeast of Venice, Louisiana.	06/17/02
Westport Resources Corporation, Structure Re-	Eugene Island Area, Block 85, OCS-G 16347, located 19 miles southeast of	06/17/02
moval Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–066. Williams Energy Services, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–067.	Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana, and 80 miles west of Fourchon, Louisiana.  Mustang Island Area, Block 803, Lease OCS–G 17076, located 22 miles southeast of Nueces County, Texas, and 24 miles southeast of Habor Island, Texas.	06/17/02
Fairways Specialty Sales & Service, Inc., Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–068.	High Island (South Addition) Area, Block A–511, Lease OCS–G 02694, located 77 miles southeast of Brazoria County.	06/19/02
Walter Oil & Gas Corporation, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–069.	Brazos Area, Block 572, Lease OCS-G 10228, located 24 miles southeast of Matagorda County, Texas, and 60 miles southwest of Freeport, Texas.	06/19/02
Pioneer Natural Resources, Structure Removal Activity, SEA No. ES/SR 02–NG1.	Brazos Area, Block A-7, Lease OCS-G 04558, located 32 miles southeast of Matagorda County, Texas, and 56 miles east of Port O'Connor, Texas.	06/10/02

Persons interested in reviewing environmental documents for the proposals listed above or obtaining information about EAs and FONSIs prepared for activities on the Gulf of Mexico OCS are encouraged to contact MMS at the address or telephone listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION section.

Dated: July 8, 2002.

### Chris C. Oynes,

 $\label{eq:condition} Regional\ Director,\ Gulf\ of\ Mexico\ OCS\ Region. \\ [FR\ Doc.\ 02-20438\ Filed\ 8-12-02;\ 8:45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

## Albuquerque Drinking Water Project, NM

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Thirty Days.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the comment period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the City of Albuquerque Drinking Water Project is extended an additional 30 days to September 12, 2002.

**DATES:** The end of the public comment period, as noted in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 40961) on June 14, 2002, was August 13, 2002. The public comment period is now extended to September 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the DEIS should be addressed to Lori Robertson, Bureau of Reclamation, Albuquerque Area Office, 505 Marquette, NW., Suite 1313, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102; faxogram (505) 248-5356; e-mail: lrobertson@uc.usbr.gov. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lori Robertson, Environment and Lands Division Manager, (505) 248–5326.

Dated: August 1, 2002.

#### Shelly Wiser,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 02-20429 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-406]

Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages, Consolidated Enforcement and Advisory Opinion Proceedings; Notice of Review-in-Part, Nonreview-in-Part, and Remand of Enforcement Initial Determination and Initial Advisory Opinion to the Presiding Administrative Law Judge

**AGENCY:** International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade

Commission has determined to reviewin-part and remand to the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) the initial advisory opinion (IAO) and the enforcement initial determination (EID) issued by the ALJ on May 2, 2002, in the above-captioned proceedings under section 337 of the Tariff Act as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337. Specifically, the Commission determined to review and remand to the ALJ an issue of infringement under the doctrine of equivalents in view of the Supreme Court's decision in Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd., 122 S.Ct. 1831 (May 28, 2002). The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the IAO and EID.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., telephone 202-205-3104, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission's original investigation in this matter was terminated on June 2, 1999, with a finding of violation of section 337 by 26 respondents by reason of importation or sales after importation of certain lens-fitted film packages (LFFPs) (i.e., disposable cameras) that were found to infringe one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji Photo Film Co. (Fuji). 64 FR 30541 (June 8, 1999). The Commission issued a general exclusion order, prohibiting the importation of LFFPs that infringe any of the claims at issue, and issued cease and desist orders to twenty domestic respondents. Id. Three respondents appealed the part of the Commission's determination that concerned refurbished LFFPs to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. No party appealed the Commission's determinations concerning newlymanufactured LFFPs.

On June 27, 2001, Fuji filed a "Complaint for Enforcement Proceedings Under Rule 210.75, Petition for Modification Under Rule 210.76 and/or Request for Advisory Opinion Under Rule 210.79." Fuji's enforcement complaint asserted 22 claims contained in nine utility patents and named twenty entities as respondents. Fuji later withdrew its complaint as to three of the respondents. Fuji's complaint concerns only newly-manufactured cameras that were not the subject of the appeal to the Federal Circuit. On July 31, 2001, the Commission instituted advisory opinion and enforcement proceedings and referred them to the presiding ALJ for issuance of a separate initial advisory opinion (IAO) and enforcement initial determination (EID). 63 FR 40721 (August 3, 2002).

On May 2, 2002, the ALJ issued his IAO and EID in which he made 59 separate infringement determinations involving seven patents and 13 respondents and 28 different types of accused LFFP. Eight petitions for review of the IAO and/or EID were filed on May 16, 2002. Responses were filed on May 24, 2002. On June 7, 2002, Fuji filed a supplemental brief concerning the application of intervening Supreme Court precedent. Responses to Fuji's motion were filed on June 19 and June 24, 2002, by the Commission investigative attorneys (IAs) and respondent Vastfame Camera Ltd., respectively.

The Commission, having examined the petitions for review and the responses thereto, determined to review the findings of the IAO and EID on the issue of infringement of claim 9 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,972,649 in view of the Supreme Court decision, Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd., 122 S.Ct. 1831, which was handed down after the IAO and EID were issued. The Commission has determined not to review any other part of the IAO or EID.

In connection with final disposition of the enforcement proceedings, the Commission may issue cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of lens fitted film packages. If the Commission contemplates issuance of cease and desist orders, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with