selected for decommissioning. This alternative would have the least amount of roads and trails available for travel. Under this alternative, there are relatively less impacts to resources; therefore, mitigation and protection measures take a shorter amount of time to implement.

- Blended: In this alternative, social, recreation and resource needs associated with the transportation system are considered equitably. This alternative seeks to create a balanced emphasis containing both separation of uses and shared use systems, along with a moderate amount of area available for winter travel. In this alternative, some unclassified roads and trails are be added to the system. Some system roads are selected for decommissioning.
- No Action: This alternative reflects the current condition under the Forest Plan. It contains the roads and trails currently in the travel system. The uses generally follow the heirarchical system. No unclassified roads or trails are added to the system, and no classified roads are designated for decommissioning under this alternative.

The public is encouraged to comment on these alternative concepts as well as present others for consideration.

#### Scoping Process/Comment Requested

The first formal opportunity to comment on the White River Travel Management Plan is during the scoping process (40 CFR 1501.7), which begins with the issuance of this notice of intent. All comments, including the names, addresses and when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection.

Comments must be in writing. Mail comments to: Dottie Bell, White River National Forest, PO Box 948, Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81602.

The Forest Service requests comments on the nature and scope of the environmental, social and economic issues, and possible alternatives related to the development of this Travel Management Plan and EIS.

A series of public opportunities are scheduled to explain the Travel Management Planning and provide an opportunity for public input. Five (5) scoping meetings are planned.

September 10—Garfield County Fairgrounds (one of the rooms under the grandstand), 6–9 p.m.

September 12—Blanco Ranger District Office, 3–7 p.m.

September 16—Eagle County Office in Basalt (Mt. Sopris Room), 6:30–9 p.m. September 17—Summit County Middle School auditorium, 6–9 p.m. September 18—Avon Public Library (Beaver Creek Room), 6–9 p.m. Written comments will be accepted at these meetings. The Forest Service will work with tribal governments to address issues that would significantly or uniquely affect them.

## Response To Comments/Forest Plan EIS Process

During the Proposed Forest Plan and DEIS comment period, many comments were received regarding travel management. Many of these were addressed in the White River Forest Plan Environmental Impact Statement in Appendix A, Response to Comments. The remaining comments, which tended to be site-specific (i.e., addressed a specific road or trail), were sorted and distributed to the responsible ranger district. The ranger district and the ID team will use these for reference. The comments received from the Proposed Forest Plan and DEIS on travel management will be incorporated into internal deliberative processes. The comments that do not comply with the Forest Plan cannot be considered. Because the Travel Management Plan/ EIS is a stand-alone document, only public comment letters on the Travel Management Plan DEIS will be formally addressed in an appendix in the FEIS.

### Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

A DEIS will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the DEIS will be 60 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of DEISs must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 60-day comment period so substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully

consider them and respond to them in the FEIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on **Environmental Quality Regulations for** implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: August 20, 2002.

#### Stephen C. Sherwood,

Deputy Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 02–21706 Filed 8–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–BW-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

# **Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Red Bluff, California. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Introductions, (2) Approval of Minutes, (3) Public Comment, (4) Other Project Proposals/ Possible Action, (5) Sunflower Coordinated Resource Presentation/ Possible Action, (6) Valentine Ridge Project Proposal/Possible Action, (7) General discussion, (8) National RAC Member Talk, (9) Evaluation Criteria Form/Possible Action, (10) House Committee Report, (11) Draft Addition to Standard Long Form/Possible Action.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on September 12, 2002, from 9 a.m. and end at approximately 12 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Lincoln Street School, Conference Room A, 1135 Lincoln Street, Red Bluff, CA. Individuals wishing to speak or propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Jim Giachino, DFO, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bobbin Gaddini, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, PO Box 164, Elk Creek, CA 95939. (530) 968–5329; EMAIL ggaddini@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public.
Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by September 9, 2002 will have the opportunity to address the committee at those sessions.

Dated: August 21, 2002.

### James F. Giachino,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 02-21732 Filed 8-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Natural Resources Conservation Service

Rehabilitation of Floodwater Retarding Structure No. 3C of the East Fork Above Lavon Watershed of the Trinity River Watershed, Collin County, TX

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Regulations (7 CFR part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the rehabilitation of Floodwater Retarding Structure No. 3C of the East Fork Above Lavon Watershed of the Trinity River Watershed.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tomas M. Dominquez, Acting State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 101 South Main, Temple, Texas 76501–7682, Telephone (254) 742–9800.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that

the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Tomas M. Dominquez, Acting State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project will rehabilitate Floodwater Retarding Structure No. 3C to maintain the present level of flood control benefits and comply with the current performance and safety standards.

Rehabilitation of the site will require the disturbance of 3.59 acres. A new 30 inch principal spilllway and an additional auxiliary spillway 180 feet wide will be installed. The disturbed areas will be planted to plants that have wildlife values. The proposed work will not affect any prime farmland, endangered or threatened species, wetlands, or cultural resources.

Federal assistance will be provided under authority of the Small Watershed Rehabilitation Amendments of 2000 (Section 313, Pub. L. 106–472). Total project costs is estimated to be \$1,215,700, of which \$790,205 will be paid from the Small Watershed Rehabilitation funds and \$425,495 from local funds.

The notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Tomas M. Dominquez, Acting State Conservationist.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 13, 2002.

#### Tomas M. Dominquez,

Acting State Conservationist.
[FR Doc. 02–21715 Filed 8–26–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–16–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### Natural Resources Conservation Service

## Twin Parks Watershed, Iowa County, Wisconsin

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Wisconsin Department of Agriculture. **ACTION:** Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Regulations (7 CFR part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Twin Parks Watershed, Iowa County, Wisconsin.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Krapf, Water Resources Staff Leader, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 6515 Watts Road, Suite 200, Madison, Wisconsin, 53719. Telephone (608) 276–8732.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Patricia S. Leavenworth, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The project purpose is flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include: the repair of a pipe separation in Twin Parks Structure Number 10, the raising of the dam an average of one foot to meet current freeboard guidelines, and the enactment of a county floodplain zoning ordinance which restricts future development within the hydraulic shadow of Structure Number 10.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Thomas Krapf.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 24, 2002.

## Patricia S. Leavenworth,

 $State\ Conservation ist.$ 

[FR Doc. 02–21714 Filed 8–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P