Flammability of Carpets and Rugs (16 CFR part 1630). Carpets and rugs that have no dimension greater than six feet and a surface area not greater than 24 square feet are subject to the Standard for the Surface Flammability of Small Carpets and Rugs (16 CFR part 1631).

Both of these standards were issued under the Flammable Fabrics Act (FFA) (15 U.S.C. 1191 et seq.). Both standards require that products subject to their provisions must pass a flammability test that measures resistance to a small, timed ignition source. Small carpets and rugs that do not pass the flammability test comply with the standard for small carpets and rugs if they are permanently labeled with the statement that they fail the standard and should not be used near sources of ignition.

Section 8 of the FFA (15 U.S.C. 1197) provides that a person who receives a guaranty in good faith that a product complies with an applicable flammability standard is not subject to criminal prosecution for a violation of the FFA resulting from the sale of any product covered by the guaranty. Section 8 of the FFA requires that a guaranty must be based on "reasonable and representative" tests. Many manufacturers and importers of carpets and rugs issue guaranties that the products they produce or import comply with the applicable standard. Regulations implementing the carpet flammability standards prescribe requirements for testing and recordkeeping by firms that issue guaranties. See 16 CFR part 1630, Subpart B, and 16 CFR part 1631, Subpart B. The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by firms that issue these guaranties to help protect the public from risks of injury or death associated with carpet fires. More specifically, the information helps the Commission arrange corrective actions if any products covered by a guaranty fail to comply with the applicable standard in a manner that creates a substantial risk of injury or death to the public. The Commission also uses this information to determine whether the requisite testing was performed to support the guaranties.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the collection of

information in the regulations under control number 3041–0017. OMB's most recent extension of approval expires on November 30, 2002. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval without change for the collection of information in the regulations.

### **B. Estimated Burden**

The Commission staff estimates that the enforcement rules result in an industry expenditure of a total of 60,000 hours for testing and recordkeeping. However, the Commission is unable to estimate the total dollar cost incurred by the industry. The Commission staff estimates that 120 firms are subject to the information collection requirements because the firms have elected to issue a guaranty of compliance with the FFA. The number of tests that a firm issuing a guaranty of compliance would be required to perform each year varies, depending upon the number of carpet styles and the annual volume of production. The staff estimates that the average firm issuing a continuing guaranty under the FFA is required to conduct a maximum of 200 tests per year. The actual number of tests required by a given firm may vary from 1 to 200, depending upon the number of carpet styles and the annual production volume. For example, if a firm manufactures 100,000 linear yards of carpet each year, and has obtained consistently passing test results, only one test per year is required. The time required to conduct each test is estimated by the staff to be 21/2 hours plus the time required to establish and maintain the test record. The estimated annual cost of the information and collection requirements to the Federal government is approximately \$22,500. This sum includes three staff months and travel costs expended for examination of the records required to be maintained.

#### **C. Request for Comments**

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

 Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility:

 Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;

• Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and

• Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: September 10, 2002.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission. [FR Doc. 02-23455 Filed 9-13-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Office of the Secretary

#### [Transmittal No. 02-37]

#### 36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Pub L 104-164 dated 21 July 1996.

For further information contact:  $\ensuremath{Ms}\xspace.$ J. Hurd, DSCA/COMPT/RM, (703) 604-6575

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 02-37 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: September 9, 2002.

#### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. BILLING CODE 5001-08-M



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

4 SEP 2002 In reply refer to: I-02/008608

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert Speaker of the House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-6501

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export

Control Act (AECA), as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 02-37,

concerning the Department of the Army's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance

(LOA) to Egypt for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$122 million. Soon

after this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to notify the news media.

Sincerely,

10

TOME H. WALTERS, JR. LIEUTENANT GENERAL, USAF DIRECTOR

## Attachments

Same ltr to: House Committee on International Relations Senate Committee on Appropriations Senate Committee on Foreign Relations House Committee on Armed Services Senate Committee on Armed Services House Committee on Appropriations

## Transmittal No. 02-37

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

- (i) <u>Prospective Purchaser</u>: Egypt
- (ii)Total Estimated Value:<br/>Major Defense Equipment\*\$ 93 millionOther\$ 29 millionTOTAL\$122 million
- (iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under <u>Consideration for Purchase</u>: 459 AGM-114K3 HELLFIRE II Air-to-Surface Anti-Armor missiles, 500 M1045A2 High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWV), 8,072 25.4mm chaff cartridges, engines, winches, kits, dispensers, containers, spare and repair parts, test and tool sets, personnel training and equipment, publications, a U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics personnel services, Quality Assurance Team, and other related elements of logistics support. NOTE: These two items are not operationally linked together nor supportive of each other.
- (iv) <u>Military Department</u>: Army (UVA and UUP)
- (v) <u>Prior Related Cases, if any</u>: FMS Case URB - \$43 million – 9Jun96 FMS case UTA - \$23 Million – 1Mar00
- (vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: none
- (vii) <u>Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services</u> <u>Proposed to be Sold</u>: See Annex attached
- (viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: 4 SEP 2002
- \* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

## **POLICY JUSTIFICATION**

## Egypt - HELLFIRE II Air-to-Surface Anti-Armor Missiles and Vehicles

The Government of Egypt has requested a possible sale of 459 AGM-114K3 HELLFIRE II Air-to-Surface Anti-Armor missiles, 500 M1045A2 High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWV), 8,072 25.4mm chaff cartridges, engines, winches, kits, dispensers, containers, spare and repair parts, test and tool sets, personnel training and equipment, publications, a U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics personnel services, Quality Assurance Team, and other related elements of logistics support. NOTE: These two items are not operationally linked together nor supportive of each other. The estimated cost is \$122 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been and continues to be an important force for political stability and economic progress in the Middle East.

Egypt currently has HELLFIRE missiles in its operational inventory for use with APACHE attack helicopters. These additional missiles will enhance Egyptian war reserve stocks, and equals less than one full combat load per AH-64 helicopter. The proposed sale of the HMMWVs will be fielded with Egypt's TOW weapon system in their Field Artillery and to replace existing jeeps as support vehicles. Egypt, which already has the proposed items in its inventory, will have no difficulty absorbing these missiles and trucks.

The principle contractors will be: Lockheed Martin Electronics and Missiles of Orlando, Florida and AM General of Mishawaka, Indiana. There are no offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require the assignment of U.S. Government Quality Assurance Teams and five contractor representatives for one week intervals to participate in program review and technical reviews to Egypt.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

## Transmittal No. 02-37

# Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

# Annex

## Item No. vii

## (vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The AGM-114K3 HELLFIRE II Air-to-Surface Anti-Armor missile hardware and documentation are unclassified. Missile performance parameters and characteristics, including susceptibility to countermeasures, are classified up to Secret and considered very sensitive. Missile hardware is considered sensitive and knowledge of the warhead timing mechanism would be useful in development of countermeasures. Technology contained within the missile is sensitive and Unclassified. The sensitivity of the system is primarily in the software programs which enable the missile to operate in a countermeasures environment. Training, maintenance, operations and related documentation are unclassified and not considered sensitive.

2. Missile design features minimize the possibility of reverse engineering U.S. capabilities.

3. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware in this proposed sale, the information could be used to develop countermeasures, which might reduce the effectiveness of the reconnaissance system, or be used in the development of a system with similar capabilities.

4. A determination has been made that Egypt can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the sensitive technology being released as the U.S. Government. This sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.

[FR Doc. 02–23414 Filed 9–13–02; 8:45 am]	ACTION: Notice.	The following is a copy of a letter to
BILLING CODE 5001-08-C	SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is	the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 02–40 with
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification.	attached transmittal and policy justification.
Office of the Secretary	This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Pub L	Dated: September 9, 2002.
[Transmittal No. 02–40]	104–164 dated 21 July 1996.	<b>Patricia L. Toppings,</b> Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification	For further information contact: $Ms$ .	Officer, Department of Defense.
<b>AGENCY:</b> Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.	J. Hurd, DSCA/COMPT/RM, (703–604– 6575.	BILLING CODE 5001-08-M