

meetings will be transcribed and will include: (1) An overview by the NRC staff of the NEPA environmental review process, the proposed scope of the supplement to the GEIS, and the proposed review schedule; (2) an overview by SCE&G of the proposed action, V.C. Summer license renewal, and the environmental impacts as outlined in the ER; and (3) the opportunity for interested government agencies, organizations, and individuals to submit comments or suggestions on the environmental issues or the proposed scope of the supplement to the GEIS. Additionally, the NRC staff will host informal discussions one hour prior to the start of each session at the White Hall Fellowship Room. No comments on the proposed scope of the supplement to the GEIS will be accepted during the informal discussions. To be considered, comments must be provided either at the transcribed public meetings or in writing, as discussed below. Persons may register to attend or present oral comments at the meeting on the NEPA scoping process by contacting Mr. Gregory F. Suber by telephone at 1-800-368-5642, extension 1124, or by Internet to the NRC at [gxs@nrc.gov](mailto:gxs@nrc.gov) no later than November 15, 2002. Members of the public may also register to speak at the meeting within 15 minutes of the start of each session. Individual oral comments may be limited by the time available, depending on the number of persons who register. Members of the public who have not registered may also have an opportunity to speak, if time permits. Public comments will be considered in the scoping process for the supplement to the GEIS. If special equipment or accommodations are needed to attend or present information at the public meeting, the need should be brought to Mr. Suber's attention no later than November 15, 2002, so that the NRC staff can determine whether the request can be accommodated.

Members of the public may send written comments on the environmental scoping process for the supplement to the GEIS to: Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mailstop T-6 D 59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Comments may be hand-delivered to the NRC at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays. To be considered in the scoping process, written comments should be postmarked by January 6, 2003. Electronic comments may be sent by the Internet to the NRC at [VCSummerEIS@nrc.gov](mailto:VCSummerEIS@nrc.gov). Electronic

submissions should be sent no later than January 6, 2003, to be considered in the scoping process. Comments will be available electronically and accessible through the NRC's PERR link, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>, at the NRC Home page.

Participation in the scoping process for the supplement to the GEIS does not entitle participants to become parties to the proceeding to which the supplement to the GEIS relates. Notice of opportunity for a hearing regarding the renewal application was the subject of the aforementioned **Federal Register** notice of acceptance for docketing. Matters related to participation in any hearing are outside the scope of matters to be discussed at this public meeting.

At the conclusion of the scoping process, the NRC will prepare a concise summary of the determination and conclusions reached, including the significant issues identified, and will send a copy of the summary to each participant in the scoping process. The summary will also be available for inspection through the PERR link. The staff will then prepare and issue for comment the draft supplement to the GEIS, which will be the subject of separate notices and a separate public meeting. Copies will be available for public inspection at the above-mentioned addresses, and one copy per request will be provided free of charge. After receipt and consideration of the comments, the NRC will prepare a final supplement to the GEIS, which will also be available for public inspection.

Information about the proposed action, the supplement to the GEIS, and the scoping process may be obtained from Mr. Suber at the aforementioned telephone number or e-mail address.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd, day of October, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
**Pao-Tsin Kuo,**

*Program Director, License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 02-27334 Filed 10-24-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 70-3103]**

### **Louisiana Energy Services Gas Centrifuge Enrichment Facility**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Extension of opportunity to provide public comments.

**SUMMARY:** In a **Federal Register** Notice (67 FR 61932), dated October 2, 2002, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requested comments from members of the public concerning a series of "white papers" presented to the NRC by the Louisiana Energy Services (LES) addressing licensing issues for a gas centrifuge uranium enrichment facility to be located in the area of Hartsville, Trousdale County, Tennessee. The October 2, 2002, **Federal Register** Notice provided a 30-day comment period. As a result of comments made at an October 14, 2002, public information forum sponsored by Trousdale County, Tennessee, the Commission is extending the comment period to November 13, 2002.

**DATES:** Comments are due by November 13, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Timothy C. Johnson, Project Manager, Special Projects and Inspection Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T-8A33, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone (301) 415-7299, e-mail [TCJ@nrc.gov](mailto:TCJ@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a **Federal Register** Notice (67 FR 61932), dated October 2, 2002, NRC published an opportunity for the public to provide comments on six pre-application policy issue "white papers." LES submitted these white papers to the Commission as LES believes that Commission direction on these issues will be essential to the conduct of an efficient regulatory review process. *The white papers addressed the following subjects:*

1. Analysis of need for the facility and the no-action alternative under the National Environmental Policy Act;
2. Environmental justice;
3. Financial qualifications;
4. Antitrust review;
5. Foreign ownership;
6. Disposition of depleted uranium tails.

A public meeting was held on April 30, 2002, to discuss these papers. Comments on the papers were submitted by two attendees at the meeting: the Department of Energy (DOE) and the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC). The NRC prepared a meeting summary, dated May 28, 2002, which is publicly available. At the time of the April meeting, LES had not chosen a site for the facility.

On October 14, 2002, at a public information forum sponsored by

Trousdale County, Tennessee, several members of the public requested a 90-day extension of the comment period because the opportunity to provide comments was not locally advertised. On October 16, 2002, LES requested that NRC extend the comment period to end 30 days after the public information forum on October 14, 2002. After considering these requests, the Commission is extending the comment period. NRC considers that the extension provides sufficient time for members of the public to review the LES "white papers" and provide comment.

The April 24, 2002, LES "white papers"; the May 28, 2002, NRC Meeting Summary; DOE's July 25, 2002, comments; and USEC's June 19, 2002, comments are accessible electronically from the NRC Agency wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/adams.html>. The ADAMS Accession Numbers for these documents are: ML022350051, ML021480298, ML022350130, and ML021770197, respectively. These documents may also be examined and/or copied for a fee at NRC's Public Document Room located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

Members of the public may provide comments by November 13, 2002. The comments may be provided to Michael Lesar, Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Administration Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day October, 2002.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Melvyn N. Leach,**

*Chief, Special Projects and Inspection Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 02-27242 Filed 10-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Africa Investment Advisory Council Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** Monday, October 21, 2002, Noon—3 PM (OPEN Portion) <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> **Editorial Note:** This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on October 21, 2002.

**PLACE:** Offices of the Corporation, Twelfth Floor Europe Room, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Meeting OPEN to the Public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Welcome & Introductory Remarks.
2. Introduction to OPIC Instruments & Africa Project Portfolios.
3. Africa Investment Advisory Council: Role & Administrative Issues.
4. Discussion/Q&A.

**Note:** Due to unforeseen circumstances, this notice is published less than 15 days prior to the meeting (41 CFR 102-3.150(b)).

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:

Information on the meeting may be obtained from Marysue K. Shore at (202) 336-8630.

Dated: October 21, 2002.

**Marysue K. Shore,**

*Senior Advisor to the President and Director, African Affairs, Overseas Private Investment Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 02-27141 Filed 10-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

*Extension:* Rule 11a1-1(T), SEC File No. 270-428, OMB Control No. 3235-0478.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

- Rule 11a1-1(T)—Transaction Yielding Priority, Parity, and Precedence

On January 27, 1976, the Commission adopted Rule 11a1-1(T) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") to exempt transactions of exchange members for their own accounts that would otherwise be prohibited under Section 11(a) of the Exchange Act. The rule provides that a member's proprietary order may be executed on the exchange of which the trader is a member, if, among other things: (1) The member discloses that a bid or offer for its

account is for its account to any member with whom such bid or offer is placed or to whom it is communicated; (2) any such member through whom that bid or offer is communicated discloses to others participating in effecting the order that it is for account of a member; and (3) immediately before executing the order, a member (other than a specialist in such security) presenting any order for the account of a member on the exchange clearly announces or otherwise indicates to the specialist and to other members then present that he is presenting an order for the account of a member.

Without these requirements, it would not be possible for the Commission to monitor its mandate under the Exchange Act to promote fair and orderly markets and ensure that exchange members have, as the principle purpose of their exchange memberships, the conduct of a public securities business.

There are approximately 1,000 respondents that require an aggregate total of 333 hours to comply with this rule. Each of these approximately 1,000 respondents makes an estimated 20 annual responses, for an aggregate of 20,000 responses per year. Each response takes approximately 1 minute to complete. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 333 hours (20,000 minutes/60 minutes per hour = 333 hours). The approximate cost per hour is \$100, resulting in a total cost of compliance for the respondents of \$33,333 (333 hours @ \$100).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate Executive Director for the Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.