

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to Michael J. Zimmer, Esq., Baker & McKenzie, 815 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20006.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before September 30, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the

Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project.

This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-27655 Filed 10-29-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-432-000]

#### Clear Creek Storage Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Application

September 9, 2002.

Take notice that on August 30, 2002, Clear Creek Storage Company, L.L.C. (Clear Creek), 180 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, for authorization to operate withdrawal Well No. 35-4B also as an injection well, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the

Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 219-2157.

Clear Creek states that the purpose of the proposed project is to benefit existing customers by providing necessary optimization and redundancy in reservoir injection capability thereby enhancing the reliability of Clear Creek's storage-transportation system during normal injection and withdrawal activities, and in the event of pipeline failure or routine system maintenance. Clear Creek further states that use of Well No. 35-4B for both currently approved withdrawal, and injection, will not result in any change to the currently authorized maximum inventory of natural gas stored in Clear Creek; 8.0 Bcf at 14.73 psia and 60° F, or the maximum shut-in bottom hole reservoir pressure of 5,500 psig.

Questions regarding the details of this proposed project should be directed to Michael B. McGinley, Vice President, Clear Creek Storage Company, L.L.C., 180 East 100 South Street, P.O. Box 45601, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 at Phone: (801) 324-2527, Fax: (801) 324-2066, or e-mail: [MikeMcg@Questar.com](mailto:MikeMcg@Questar.com).

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before September 30, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as

possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the amendment for a formal hearing before

an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-27656 Filed 10-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02-763-003, et al.]

#### Indigo Generation LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

October 23, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

##### 1. Indigo Generation LLC, Larkspur Energy LLC and Wildflower Energy LP (collectively the Wildflower Entities)

[Docket No. ER02-763-003]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002, Indigo Generation LLC, Larkspur Energy LLC and Wildflower Energy LP (collectively the Wildflower Entities) supplemented their June 26, 2002 filing in compliance with the directives of the Commission in a letter order dated June 11, 2002 in the above-captioned dockets.

*Comment Date:* November 12, 2002.

##### 2. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER02-1779-001]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002, PECO Energy Company (PECO) submitted for filing First Revised Page 32 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO, and Rock Springs Generation LLC and CED Rock Springs, Inc. (Rock Springs/CED), and requests an effective date of October 21, 2002. The Interconnection Agreement had originally been filed by PECO Energy unexecuted. The unexecuted Interconnection Agreement was designated as Service Agreement No. 669 under PJM Interconnection L.L.C.'s (PJM) FERC Electric Tariff Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, and accepted by the Commission for filing with an effective date of May 10, 2002, subject to PECO Energy making this compliance filing. Original Page 32 has been revised to reflect the electronic signatures of the parties to the Interconnection Agreement.

Other than the addition of the parties' signatures, the Interconnection Agreement remains unchanged. Copies of this filing were served on Rock Springs/CED and PJM.

*Comment Date:* November 12, 2002.

### 3. New England Power Pool and ISO New England Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-2330-003]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002, the New England Power Pool (NEPOOL) Participants Committee and ISO New England Inc. submitted revisions to Market Rule 1 in response to requirements of the Commission's September 20, 2002 order in New England Power Pool *et al.*, 100 FERC ¶ 61,287 (2002).

The NEPOOL Participants Committee states that copies of these materials were sent to all persons identified on the service lists in the captioned proceedings, the NEPOOL Participants and the six New England state governors and regulatory commissions.

*Comment Date:* November 12, 2002.

### 4. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-3-001]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL Electric) filed an executed Interconnection Agreement between PPL Electric and Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. for interconnection at the Renovo/Chapman delivery point. The executed Interconnection Agreement replaces the unexecuted version that was filed in this docket on October 1, 2002.

*Comment Date:* November 12, 2002.

### 5. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-4-001]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL Electric) filed an executed Interconnection Agreement between PPL Electric and Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. for interconnection at the Fairfield delivery point. The executed Interconnection Agreement replaces the unexecuted version that was filed in this docket on October 1, 2002.

*Comment Date:* November 12, 2002.

### 6. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER03-63-000]

Take notice that on October 21, 2002 PECO Energy Company (PECO) submitted for filing an Interconnection Agreement by and between PECO and FPL Energy Marcus Hook, L.P. (FPL) for Generation Interconnection and Parallel Operation, designated as Service Agreement No. 791 under PJM