

amenable to delivery on site without outside/consultant expertise.

7. Compile relevant materials from previous NIC training programs and other sources on staffing analysis into a workbook which can be used as part of the developed training program.

8. Identify strategies that could be used to defend appropriate staffing levels in the climate of budget reductions. Case examples where staffing analysis have been used successfully to defend appropriate staffing levels should be included.

Specific Requirements: 1. The applicant must propose a project team which includes a person(s) with prison staffing analysis expertise and a person(s) with correctional management and operations experience. Documentation of the principal's and all team members relevant knowledge, skills, abilities, and specific experience related to carrying out the described tasks must be included in the application.

2. The person designated as project director must be the person who will manage the project on a day-to-day basis and who has full decision making authority to work with the NIC project manager. This person must have enough time dedicated to the project to assure they are available to direct the day-to-day activities of the project and to be available for collaboration with the NIC project manager.

3. Applicants should identify in the proposal specific strategies for assuring a collaborative effort between their project team and NIC. Specific examples of successful collaboration with NIC or other agencies will be helpful. The requirement, in federal law and policy, that NIC/the government agency be "substantially involved" in all aspects of the project work needs to be addressed in the proposal.

Application Requirements: Applications must be submitted using OMB Standard Form 424, Federal Assistance, and attachments. (Copies can be downloaded from the NIC Web page at <http://www.nicic.org/service/coop/default.htm>.) The applications should be concisely written, typed double-spaced and refer to the project by the "NIC Application Number;" and Title in this announcement.

Submit an original and six copies. The original should have the applicant's signature in blue ink. A cover letter must identify the responsible audit agency for the applicant's financial accounts.

The narrative portion of this cooperative agreement application should include, at a minimum:

1. A brief paragraph indicating the applicant's understanding of the purpose of this cooperative agreement;

2. One or more paragraphs detailing the applicants understanding of the history of and need for doing staffing analysis in prisons;

3. A brief paragraph summarizing the project goals and objectives;

4. A clear description of the methodology for project completion and achievement of its goals;

5. A clearly developed Project Plan which demonstrates how and when the various goals and objectives of the project will be achieved through its various activities so as to produce the required results;

6. A chart of measurable project milestones and time lines for the completion of each milestone;

7. A description of the qualifications of the applicant organization and each project staff direct experience in conducting staffing analysis should be highlighted;

8. A description of the staffing plan for the project, including the role of each project staff, the percentage of the time commitment for each (in days), the relationship among the staff (who reports to whom), and a statement from individual staff that they will be available to work on this project and meet the required level of experience.

9. A budget detailing all costs for the project, shows consideration for all contingencies for this project, and notes a commitment to work within the budget proposed. The budget should be divided into object class categories as shown on application Standard Form 424A. A budget narrative must be included which explains how all costs were determined.

Authority: Public Law 93-415.

Funds Available: The award will be limited to a maximum of \$100,000 (direct and indirect costs). Funds may only be used for the activities that are linked to the desired outcome of the project. No funds are transferred to state or local governments. This project will be a collaborative venture with the NIC Prisons Division.

Eligibility of Applicants: An eligible applicant is any state or general unit of local government, private agency, educational institution, organization, individuals or team with expertise in the requested areas in order to successfully meet the objectives of this project.

Review Considerations: Applications received under this announcement will be subject to a 3- to 5-member Peer Review Process.

Number of Awards: One (1).

NIC Application Number: 03P25. This number should appear as a reference line in the cover letter, in box 11 of Standard Form 424, and on the outside of the envelope in which the application is sent.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number is: 16.601, **Title:** Training and Staff Development.

Executive Order 12372: This program is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372.

Dated: March 3, 2003.

Morris L. Thigpen,

Director, National Institute of Corrections.

[FR Doc. 03-5525 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-36-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers United States City Average

Pursuant to Section 112 of the 1976 amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act (Pub. L. 94-283, 2 U.S.C. 441a), the Secretary of Labor has certified to the Chair of the Federal Election Commission and publishes this notice in the **Federal Register** that the United States City Average All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (1967=100) increased 264.8 percent from its 1974 annual average of 147.7 to its 2002 annual average of 538.8. Using 1974 as a base (1974=100), I certify that the United States City Average All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers thus increased 264.8 percent from its 1974 annual average of 100 to its 2002 annual average of 364.8.

Signed at Washington, DC on the 3rd day of March, 2003.

Elaine L. Chao,

Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 03-5408 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,626]

Crowe Logging, Inc., Encampment, WY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 22, 2003, in response to a worker

petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Crowe Logging, Inc., Encampment, Wyoming.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of February 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5417 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,887]

General Binding Corporation, Notice of Termination of Investigation, De Forest, WI

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 13, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at General Binding Corporation, De Forest, Wisconsin.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition filed on January 31, 2003 (TA-W-50,813) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of February, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5420 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,799]

General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, VA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on September 30, 2002, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to

apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, Virginia was signed on September 3, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2002 (67 FR 59551).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, Virginia, engaged in activities related to production of drives and control systems, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act was not met. The contributed importantly test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. Results of the survey revealed that customers did not increase their imports of competitive products during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import drives and control systems during the relevant period.

In requesting reconsideration, the petitioner(s) stated that their function as engineers merited separate consideration from the negative determination issued to production workers. This separate consideration appears to be based on the belief that their jobs had been shifted overseas and the understanding that "the moving of business functions overseas is the equivalent of importing products when U.S. jobs are eliminated."

The work conducted by the engineering group is considered a service. Since the engineering worker group was engaged in design and development and not the actual production of drive and control systems produced at the subject plant they do not meet the eligibility requirements under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision under certification for TAA. If import impact had been established for the production workers of General Electric Industrial

Systems, only then, could the engineers be included in a certification for TAA.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of February 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5415 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,775]

Harman Wisconsin, Inc., Prairie Du Chien, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 4, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Harman Wisconsin, Inc., Prairie du Chien, Wisconsin.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of February 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5418 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,779]

Jacobson Greenhouse, Inc. Spokane, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 4, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Jacobson Greenhouse, Inc., Spokane, Washington.

The petitioning group of workers was separated from the Jacobson