is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for ACF.

Dated: December 16, 2002.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer

[FR Doc. 03–564 Filed 1–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: DHHS/ACF Rural Welfare-to-Work Strategies Demonstration Evaluation Project 18-Month Survey.

OMB No.: New collection.

Description: The Rural Welfare-to-Work Strategies Demonstration Evaluation Project, which was developed and funded by the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is a national evaluation to determine the benefits and cost-effectiveness of methods designed to aid current or former Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) recipients or other low-income families as they transition from welfare to the employment arena. This evaluation chiefly attempts to address four research questions:

- What are the issues and challenges associated with operating the new welfare-to-work services and policy approaches being studied.
- How effective are the welfare-to-work programs under the project in increasing employment and earnings and in improving other measures?
- What are the net costs of the welfare-to-work programs, and do the programs' benefits outweigh the costs?
- What approaches should policymakers and program managers consider in designing strategies to improve the efficacy of welfare-to-work strategies for families in rural areas?

The evaluation employs a multi-pronged approach to answer the research questions. These approaches include: (1) An impact study, which will examine the differences between control and intervention groups with respect to factors such as employment rates, earnings, and welfare receipt; (2) a cost-benefit analysis, which will calculate estimates of net program cost-effectiveness; and (3) an in-depth process study, which will identify implementation issues and challenges, examine program costs, and provide details on how programs achieve observed results. The data collected during the conduct of this study will be used for the following purposes:

- To study rural welfare-to-work programs' effects on factors such as employment, earnings, educational attainment, family composition;
- To collect data on a wider range of outcome measures—such as job acquisition, retention, and advancement, job quality, educational attainment, and employment barriers—than is available through welfare or unemployment insurance records, in order to understand how individuals are being affected by the demonstration programs;
- To support research on the implementation of welfare-to-work programs across sites;
- To obtain program participation and service use information important to the evaluation's cost-benefit component; and
- To obtain contact information for a future follow-up survey that will be important to achieving high response rates for that survey.

Respondents: The respondents of the 18-month follow-up survey are current and former TANF recipients, or individuals in families at risk of needing TANF benefits (working poor, hard-to-employ) from the three states participating in the evaluation (Illinois, Nebraska, and Tennessee). The survey will be administered to both intervention and control groups in each participating site. The estimated sample size for the survey is 3,400 individuals, including projected samples of 2,200 in Tennessee, and 600 each in Illinois and Nebraska. The survey will be conducted primarily by telephone, with field interviews conducted with those individuals who cannot be interviewed by telephone.

Respondents of the process study data collection efforts (interviews, case studies, and focus groups) include State and local-level agency staff from welfare agencies and other organizations. These individuals include program directors and site managers, program line staff, workforce development staff, TANF agency staff, and community partners and employers. Approximately 105 staff members per site are expected to participate in semi-structured interviews, 21 in case conferences, and 108 in focus groups, across the three demonstration sites.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
18-Month Follow-up Survey	963	1	45 minutes or .75 hours	723
Process Study Data Collection Staff Interviews	105	1	75 minutes or 1.15 hours	120.8
Process Study Data Collection Staff Case Conferences	21	1	30 minutes or .5 hours	10.5
Process Study Data Collection Staff Focus Groups	108	1	90 minutes or 1.5 hours	162

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1016.3.

Additional Information

Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer.

OMB Comment

OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register.** Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, NW.,

Washington, DC 20503. Attn: Desk Officer for ACF.

Dated: January 7, 2003.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–639 Filed 1–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 01N–0566]

Renee Peugeot; Debarment Order

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing an order under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) permanently debarring Renee Peugeot from providing services in any capacity to a person that has an approved or pending drug product application. FDA bases this order on a finding that Ms. Peugeot was convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of a drug product. Ms. Peugeot failed to request a hearing and, therefore, has waived her opportunity for a hearing concerning this action.

DATES: This order is effective January 13, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit applications for termination of debarment to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicole K. Mueller, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–7), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–594–2041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On August 31, 2000, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered judgment against Ms. Peugeot for two counts of making false statements to an agency of the United States, two counts of mail fraud, and one count of conspiracy to commit offenses against the United States, Federal felony offenses under 18 U.S.C. 2, 1001, 1341, and 371, respectively. These offenses were committed as part of the development of a new drug for which Ms. Peugeot was conducting efficacy trials.

As a result of this conviction, FDA served Ms. Peugeot by certified mail on May 8, 2002, a notice proposing to permanently debar Ms. Peugeot from providing services in any capacity to a person that has an approved or pending drug product application. The proposal also offered Ms. Peugeot an opportunity for a hearing on the proposal. The proposal was based on a finding, under section 306(a)(2)(A) of the act (21 U.S.C. 335a(a)(2)(A)), that Ms. Peugeot was convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of a drug product. Ms. Peugeot was provided 30 days to file objections and request a hearing. Ms. Peugeot did not request a hearing. Her failure to request a hearing constitutes a waiver of her opportunity for a hearing and a waiver of any contentions concerning her debarment.

II. Findings and Order

Therefore, the Director, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, under section 306(a)(2)(A) of the act, and under authority delegated to her (21 CFR 5.34), finds that Ms. Renee Peugeot has been convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of a drug product.

As a result of the foregoing finding, Ms. Renee Peugeot is permanently debarred from providing services in any capacity to a person with an approved or pending drug product application under section 505, 512, or 802 of the act (21 U.S.C. 355, 360b, or 382), or under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262), (see sections 306(c)(1)(B) and (c)(2)(A)(ii) and 201(dd) of the act (21 U.S.C. 321(dd))). Any person with an approved or pending drug product application who knowingly uses the services of Ms. Peugeot, in any capacity, during her period of debarment, will be subject to civil money penalties (section 307(a)(6) of the act (21 U.S.C. 335b(a)(6))). If Ms. Peugeot, during her period of debarment, provides services in any capacity to a person with an approved or pending drug product application, she will be subject to civil money penalties (section 307(a)(7) of the act). In addition, FDA will not accept or review any abbreviated new drug applications submitted by or with the assistance of Ms. Peugeot during her period of debarment.

Any application by Ms. Peugeot for termination of debarment under section 306(d)(4) of the act should be identified with Docket No. 01N–0566 and sent to the Dockets Management Branch (see **ADDRESSES**). All such submissions are to be filed in four copies. The public availability of information in these submissions is governed by 21 CFR 10.20(j). Publicly available submissions may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: December 23, 2002.

Janet Woodcock,

Director, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.

[FR Doc. 03–663 Filed 1–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 01N–0565]

Harry W. Snyder, Jr.; Debarment Order

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing an order under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) permanently debarring Harry W. Snyder, Jr., from providing services in any capacity to a person that has an approved or pending drug product application. FDA bases this order on a finding that Mr. Snyder was convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of a drug product. Mr. Snyder failed to request a hearing and, therefore, has waived his opportunity for a hearing concerning this action.

DATES: This order is effective January 13, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit applications for termination of debarment to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicole K. Mueller, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–7), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–594–2041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On August 31, 2000, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered judgment against Mr. Snyder for two counts of making false statements to an agency of the United States, two counts of mail fraud, and one count of conspiracy to commit