

individuals or entities, with respect to a particular farming operation, in order to determine their payment eligibility and payment limitations under the multiple programs. Forms CCC-502A, CCC-502B, CCC-502C, CCC-502D, CCC-502EZ, CCC-501A and CCC-501B are still used by the respondents. The common elements to collect information from individuals or entities in the forms are names, as well as farming interest members, addresses, social security/employee identification numbers, location of the lands, percentage of leased or owned equipments, citizenship types, estimated farming labor hours, estimated percentage of farming management, and designated names in receiving payments. The respondents are allowed to complete and submit the forms electronically to the appropriate FSA County-based office that receives and makes the payment eligibility determinations. Information collection under Titles I and II of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 are exempted from the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, including the Conservation Reserve Program, the Price Support Programs, and the Direct and Counter-Cyclical Program. Only the Noninsured Crop Disaster Assistance Program is not exempt from the requirement of Paperwork Reduction Act, so it is necessary to describe the information collection in this Notice. If the information is not collected from the respondents, the FSA would not be able to administer the payment programs properly to comply with the regulations.

Estimate of Burden: Average 56 minutes per response.

Type of Respondents: Producers who, as owner, landlord, tenant, or sharecropper, are involved in the farming operations and who would seek benefits under the Noninsured Crop Disaster Assistance Program.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 123,000.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: One per respondent.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 114,870.

Comment is invited on: (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (4) ways to minimize the burden of collection on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated,

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in responses to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission for Office of Management and Budget approval.

Signed in Washington, DC, on April 3, 2003.

James R. Little,

Administrator, Farms Service Agency, and Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 03-8722 Filed 4-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Intermountain Region; Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the ranger districts, forests and regional office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notices required under 36 CFR parts 215 and 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments or appeals were timely.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin on or after April 1, 2003. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until October 1, 2003, when another notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Priscilla McLain, Regional Appeals Coordinator, Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, and phone (801) 625-5146.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The administrative procedures at 36 CFR parts 215 and 217 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR parts 215 and 217. In general, the

notices will identify: the decision or project, by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment or appeal period. The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Regional Forester, Intermountain Region

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Idaho:

The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada:

The Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming:

Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah:

Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah.

For the decisions made by the Regional Forester that affect all National Forests in the Intermountain Region.

Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Ashley National Forest

Ashley Forest Supervisor decisions:

Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah.

Duchesne District Ranger decisions:

Uinta Basin Standard, Roosevelt, Utah.

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming:

Casper Star Tribune, Casper, Wyoming.

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah:

Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah.

Roosevelt District Ranger decisions:

Uinta Basin Standard, Roosevelt, Utah.

Vernal District Ranger decisions:

Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah.

Boise National Forest

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions:

The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho.

Cascade District Ranger decisions:

The Long Valley Advocate, Cascade, Idaho.

Emmett District Ranger decisions:

The Messenger-Index, Emmett, Idaho.

Idaho City District Ranger decisions:

The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho.

Lowman District Ranger decisions:
The Idaho World, Garden Valley,
 Idaho.
 Mountain Home District Ranger
 decisions:
The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho.

Bridger-Teton National Forest

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor
 decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Big Piney District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Buffalo District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Greys River District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Jackson District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Kemmerer District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.
 Pinedale District Ranger decisions:
Casper Star-Tribune, Casper,
 Wyoming.

Caribou-Targhee National Forest

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Caribou portion:
Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho.
 Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Targhee portion:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Ashton District Ranger decisions:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Dubois District Ranger decisions:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Island Park District Ranger decisions:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Montpelier District Ranger decisions:
Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho.
 Palisades District Ranger decisions:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Soda Springs District Ranger decisions:
Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho.
 Teton Basin District Ranger decisions:
The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 Westside District Ranger decisions:
Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho.

Dixie National Forest

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.
 Cedar City District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.
 Escalante District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.
 Pine Valley District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.
 Powell District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.
 Teasdale District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah.

Fishlake National Forest

Fishlake Forest Supervisor decisions:

Richard Reaper, Richfield, Utah.
 Beaver District Ranger decisions:
Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah.
 Fillmore District Ranger decisions:
Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah.
 Loa District Ranger decisions:
Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah.
 Richfield District Ranger decisions:
Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah.

Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Humboldt portion:
Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada.
 Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Toiyabe portion:
Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada.
 Austin District Ranger decisions:
Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada.
 Bridgeport District Ranger decisions:
The Review-Herald, Mammoth Lakes,
 California.
 Carson District Ranger decisions:
Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada.
 Ely District Ranger decisions:
Ely Daily Times, Ely, Nevada.
 Jarbidge District Ranger decisions:
Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada.
 Mountain City District Ranger decisions:
Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada.
 Ruby Mountains District Ranger
 decisions:
Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada.
 Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions:
Humboldt Sun, Winnemucca, Nevada.
 Spring Mountains National Recreation
 Area District Ranger decisions:
Las Vegas Review Journal, Las Vegas,
 Nevada.
 Tonopah District Ranger decisions:
*Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield
 News*, Tonopah, Nevada.

Manti-Lasal National Forest

Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor
 decisions:
Sun Advocate, Price, Utah.
 Ferron District Ranger decisions:
Emery County Progress, Castle Dale,
 Utah.
 Moab District Ranger decisions:
The Times Independent, Moab, Utah.
 Monticello District Ranger decisions:
The San Juan Record, Monticello,
 Utah.
 Price District Ranger decisions:
Sun Advocate, Price, Utah.
 Sanpete District Ranger decisions:
The Pyramid, Mt. Pleasant, Utah.

Payette National Forest

Payette Forest Supervisor decisions:
Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho.
 Council District Ranger decisions:
Adam County Record, Council, Idaho.
 Krassel District Ranger decisions:
Star News, McCall, Idaho.
 McCall District Ranger decisions:
Star News, McCall, Idaho.

New Meadows, District Ranger
 decisions:
Star News, McCall, Idaho.
 Weiser District Ranger decisions:
Signal American, Weiser, Idaho.

Salmon-Challis National Forests

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Salmon portion:
The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho.
 Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor
 decisions for the Challis portion:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.
 Challis District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.
 Leadore District Ranger decisions:
The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho.
 Lost River District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.
 Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.
 North Fork District Ranger decisions:
The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho.
 Salmon/Cobalt District Ranger
 decisions:
The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho.
 Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.

Sawtooth National Forest

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions:
The Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho.
 Fairfield District Ranger decisions:
The Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho.
 Ketchum District Ranger decisions:
Idaho Mountain Express, Ketchum,
 Idaho.
 Minidoka District Ranger decisions:
The Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho.
 Sawtooth National Recreation Area:
Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho.

Uinta National Forest

Uinta Forest Supervisor decisions:
The Daily Herald, Provo, Utah.
 Heber District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Herald, Provo, Utah.
 Pleasant Grove District Ranger
 decisions:
The Daily Herald, Provo, Utah.
 Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions:
The Daily Herald, Provo, Utah.

Wasatch-Cache National Forest

Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor
 decisions:
Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City,
 Utah.
 Evanston District Ranger decisions:
Uinta County Herald, Evanston,
 Wyoming.
 Kamas District Ranger decisions:
Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City,
 Utah.
 Logan District Ranger decisions:
Logan Herald Journal, Logan, Utah.
 Mountain View District Ranger
 decisions:
Uinta County Herald, Evanston,

Wyoming.
Ogden District Ranger decisions:
Ogden Standard Examiner, Ogden,
Utah.
Salt Lake District Ranger decisions:
Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City,
Utah.

Dated: April 3, 2003.

Jack G. Troyer,
Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 03-8617 Filed 4-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Bridger-Teton National Forest— Wyoming—Big Piney, Grey River and Jackson Ranger Districts; Sublette and Lincoln Counties, WY; Environmental Impact Statement for Wyoming Range Allotment Complex

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an
environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Wyoming Range Allotment Complex (composed of the Corral Creek, Mule Creek, Grizzly Creek, Pickle Pass, Upper Grayback/Phosphate, North Horse, and Prospect Peak domestic sheep allotments) is located in Townships 34, 35, 36 and 37 North, Ranges 114, 115 and 116 West; Sixth Principal Meridian. The majority of the area (65%) is located within Sublette County, with the remainder being in Lincoln County. The complex is located on three districts—Greys River, Jackson, and Big Piney. Big Piney Ranger District administers all the allotments except Pickle Pass, which is administered by the Greys River District.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by May 11, 2003. The draft environmental impact statement is expected July 2003 and the final environmental impact statement is expected September 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: District Ranger, Big Piney Ranger District, Box 218, Big Piney, Wyoming 83113. For further information, mail correspondence to mailroom_r4_bridger_teton@fs.fed.us and on the subject line put only "Wyoming Range Complex."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: District Ranger, Big Piney Ranger District, Box 218, Big Piney, Wyoming 83113 or phone (307) 276-3710.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose and Need for Action

The Purpose and Need is to make recommendations on interpretation and

site specific application of Forest Plan standards and guidelines to determine whether or not to allow domestic livestock grazing on the allotment complex.

To date, the Forest Service has identified four alternatives:

Alternative 1—Proposed Action

The Forest Service proposes to determine on the allotment complex if livestock grazing of 5 sheep bands will continue to be authorized on the allotment complex. All vacant allotment and allotment boundary changes have been incorporated into the complex through the administrative process as defined in FSH 2209.13—Grazing Permit Administration Handbook, Chapter 90—Rangeland Management Decision Making, Interim Directive No.: 2209.13-2002-4 Section 96—Delineation of Grazing Allotments and Section 98—Allotment Management Changes.

Possible Alternative

Alternative 2—No Action—Continue With the Current Livestock Management

The current management provides for grazing 5 bands of sheep on the allotment complex. All vacant allotment and allotment boundary changes have been incorporated into the complex through the administrative process as defined in FSH 2209.13—grazing permit administration handbook, chapter 90—rangeland management decision making, Interim Directive No.: 2209.13-2002-4 Sections 96—Delineation of Grazing Allotments and section 98—Allotment Management Changes.

Alternative 3—Separation Between Domestic Sheep Grazing Area and the Bighorn Sheep Core Area Boundary— Close Upper Grayback/Phosphate, Pickle Pass, Grizzly Creek and a Portion of Corral Creek Allotments to Domestic Sheep Grazing

This alternative would close the Upper Grayback/Phosphate, Pickle Pass, Grizzly Creek and approximately $\frac{2}{3}$ of the Corral Creek allotments to domestic sheep grazing. The remaining area of Corral Creek allotment would be combined with the North Horse Creek Allotment and would remain open to domestic sheep grazing. This alternative provides separation from the bighorn sheep core native herd boundary and would include all the management actions described in the proposed action.

Alternative 4—No Livestock Grazing

No domestic livestock would be allowed to graze on the allotment complex. We are required in 40 CFR

1502.14(d) and Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, 23.1 to consider the No Livestock Grazing alternative in detail and to use it as a "baseline" for comparing the effects of the other alternatives.

Responsible Officials

Greg Clark, District Forest Ranger, Big Piney Ranger District, P.O. Box 218, Big Piney, Wyoming 83113. District Forest Ranger, Greys River Ranger District, P.O. Box 339, Afton, Wyoming 83110 Nancy Hall, District Forest Ranger, Jackson Ranger District, P.O. Box 1689, Jackson, Wyoming 83001.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decision, which is based on this analysis, will be decide if livestock will be allowed to graze on the allotment complex, either through the implementation of the proposed action, or an alternative to the proposed action. The decision would include any mitigation measures needed in addition to those prescribed in the Forest Plan.

Scoping Process

The Forest Service is seeking information, comments, and assistance from individuals, organizations, tribal governments, and federal, state, and local agencies interested in or affected by this project. Comments submitted on the 1999 scoping effort, comments on the Environmental Assessment released in December of 2002, previous field trips, and any new comments will be used to prepare the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Public participation will be solicited by notifying in person and/or by mail known interested and affected publics. News releases will be used to give the public general notice. Public participation activities would include requests for written comments. The first formal opportunity to comment is to respond to this notice of intent, which initiates the scoping process (40 CFR 1501.7). Scoping includes: (1) Identifying potential issues, (2) narrowing the potential issues and identifying significant issues of those that have been covered by prior environmental review, (3) exploring alternatives in addition to No Action, and (4) identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives.

Preliminary Issues

The Forest Service has identified the following potential issues. In addition, through the April 1999 scoping effort and comments received on the Environmental Assessment released in December 2002, issues have been