Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested persons. The Commission intends to publish only a public report in this investigation. Accordingly, any confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing the report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary at the Commission's office in Washington, DC. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's Rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (Nov. 8, 2002). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting our TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 4, 2003.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-8727 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Office of Justice Programs

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Extension of a currently approved collection; Certification of compliance with eligibility requirements of grants to reduce crimes against women.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 68, Number 20, page 4797 on January 30, 2003, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until May 12, 2003. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–7285.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of information collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) The title of the form/collection: Certification of Compliance with Eligibility Requirements of Grants to Reduce Crimes against Women.
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection: Form Number: none. Office on Violence Against Women, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Institutions of Higher Education. Other: None. The grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Program was authorized through section 826 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 to make funds available to institutions of higher education to combat domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking crimes.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that 125 respondents will complete the application in approximately 30 minutes.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated total public burden associated with this application is 62 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 4, 2003.

## Brenda E. Dyer,

Deputy Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 03–8687 Filed 4–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-40,947]

BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a Subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation, Including Leased Workers of Adecco, Wyandotte, MI; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the U.S. Department Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 9, 2002, applicable to workers of BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation, Wyandotte, Michigan. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 17, 2002 (67 FR 35141).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the State shows that leased workers of Adecco were employed at BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation to produce vitamin E, vitamin A and food blends/mixes at the Wyandotte, Michigan location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include leased workers of Adecco who were working at BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation, Wyandotte, Michigan.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,947 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation, Wyandotte, Michigan, and leased workers of Adecco producing of vitamin E, vitamin A and food blends/mixes at BASF Corporation, Vitamin Division, a subsidiary of BASFIN Corporation, Wyandotte, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 14, 2001, through May 9, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of March 2003.

#### Linda G. Poole.

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-8846 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-41,916]

## Emess Design Group, LLC, Ellwood City, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 2, 2002, applicable to workers of Emess Design Group, LLC, located in Ellwood City, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 23, 2002 (67 FR 78256).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce lamps and lamp products. Information provided by company shows that on April 12, 2002, Emess Design Group, LLC, purchased the assets of another company.

Since the Emess Design Group, LLC did not exist prior to April 12, 2002, the Department is changing the impact date from July 15, 2001 to April 12, 2002.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–41,916 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Emess Design Group, LLC, Ellwood City, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 12, 2002 through December 2, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 14th day of March 2003.

## Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–8848 Filed 4–9–03; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-50,122]

FCI USA, Inc., Communications, Data, and Consumer Division (CDC), Fiber Optics Group, a Member of the Areva Group, Etters, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On February 19, 2003, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department initially terminated the investigation on behalf of workers of FCI USA, Inc., Communications, Data, and Consumer Division (CDC), Fiber Optics Group, a member of the Areva Group, Etters, Pennsylvania because the Department had recently issued negative determinations applicable to the petitioning group of workers on September 20, 2002 (TA–W–41,571).

On reconsideration, the Department conducted a survey of the major customers of the subject firm regarding their purchases of electrical and fiber optic connectors during the relevant period. The survey revealed that a major customer increased their imports, while decreasing their purchases from the subject firm during the relevant period.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with electrical and fiber optic connectors, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers of FCI USA, Inc., Communications, Data, and Consumer Division (CDC), Fiber Optics Group, a member of the Areva Group, Etters, Pennsylvania. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of FCI USA, Inc., Communications, Data, and Consumer Division (CDC), Fiber Optics Group, a member of the Areva Group, Etters, Pennsylvania, engaged in the production of electrical and fiber optic connectors, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 14, 2001 through two years from date of certification are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 26th day of March 2003.

#### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–8852 Filed 4–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-42,310]

## Inteplast Group Ltd., Integrated Bagging Systems, Lolita, TX; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application of February 21, 2003, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on February 13, 2003, based on the finding that imports of plastic bags did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the Lolita plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 10, 2003 (68 FR 11409).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation. Upon further review and contact with the company, it was revealed that sales and production did decline in the relevant period. As a result of this finding, a customer survey which was conducted in the original investigation became relevant to establishing import impact. Results from this survey revealed that a major declining customer increased their imports of plastic bags while decreasing their purchases from the subject firm in the relevant period.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Inteplast Group, LTD., Integrated Bagging Systems, Lolita, Texas, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and