prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine

witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. Because of the continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that petitions for leave to intervene and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-1101 or by e-mail to hearingdocket@nrc.gov. A copy of the petition for leave to intervene and request for hearing should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and because of continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-3725 or by email to OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov. A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to John O'Neill, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 21, 2003, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of April, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Stephen Dembek**,

Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate IV, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 03–9316 Filed 4–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collecton of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

# Title and Purpose of Information Collection

Request for Medicare Payment; OMB 3220–0131

Under section 7(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act, the RRB administers the Medicare program for persons covered by the railroad retirement system. The collection obtains the information needed by Palmetto GBA, the Medicare carrier for railroad retirement beneficiaries, to pay claims for payments under part B of the Medicare program. Authority for collecting the information is prescribed in 42 CFR 424.32.

The RRB currently utilizes Forms G–740S and CMS 1500 to secure the information necessary to pay Part B Medicare Claims. One reponse is completed for each claim. Completion is required to obtain a benefit. No changes are proposed to RRB Form G–740S or CMS Form 1500. The RRB estimates annual respondent burden associated with RRB Form G–740S as follows:

Estimated number of responses: 100. Estimated completion time per response: 15 minutes. Estimated annual of burden hours: 25. Additional Information or Comments: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751–3363. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Bush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

### Chuck Mierzwa,

Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–9266 Filed 4–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7905–01–M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-47651; File No. SR-CBOE-2003-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Withdrawal of Approval for Securities Underlying Options Traded on the Exchange

April 8, 2003.

On January 27, 2003, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend CBOE rule 5.4, which governs the withdrawal of approval for securities underlying options traded on the Exchange.

The Exchange proposed to add new Interpretation and Policy .11 to CBOE rule 5.4 to clarify the manner in which the Exchange determines whether the so-called "float" of the underlying security was fewer than 6.3 million shares ("float" requirement) or the number of "holders" of the underlying security was fewer than 1,600 ("holders" requirement). Specifically, the Exchange proposed to expressly state that in determining whether any of the events specified in Interpretation and Policy .01(a) or (b) to CBOE rule 5.4 have occurred, the Exchange would monitor on a daily basis news sources for information of corporate actions, including stock splits, mergers and acquisitions, distribution of special cash dividends, recapitalizations, and stock

buy backs. If a corporate action indicates that an underlying security no longer meets the Exchange's requirements for continued approval under Interpretation and Policy .01(a) or (b) to CBOE rule 5.4, the Exchange would not open additional series of option contracts of the class covering the underlying security. If, however, information of a corporate action does not indicate that any of the events specified in Interpretation and Policy .01(a) or (b) to CBOE rule 5.4 have occurred, the Exchange shall consider the requirements set forth in Interpretation and Policy .01(a) and (b) to have been satisfied.3

The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2003.<sup>4</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange 5 and, in particular, the requirements of section 6 of the Act 6 and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,7 because it clarifies how the Exchange determines whether the "float" and "holder" requirements set forth in Interpretation and Policy .01(a) and (b) to CBOE rule 5.4 respectively are satisfied. Specifically, the Commission believes it is reasonable to permit the Exchange to monitor on a daily basis news sources for information of corporate actions indicating whether the events specified in Interpretation and Policy .01(a) and (b) to CBOE rule 5.4 have occurred to establish whether an underlying security of a class of options no longer meets the Exchange's requirements for continued approval.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act<sup>8</sup>, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–CBOE–2003–03) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–9318 Filed 4–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–47657; File No. SR-PHLX-2002–86]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Automatic Execution of Booked Customer Limit Orders

April 10, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 20, 2002, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PHLX" or "Exchange"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") the proposed rule change as described in items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. On February 27, 2003, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.3 On March 28, 2003, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.4 On April 9, 2003, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 3 to the proposed rule change.<sup>5</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ The Exchange represents that existing Interpretation and Policy .03 to CBOE rule 5.4 would continue to apply when the Exchange considers whether any of the events specified in Interpretation and Policy .01 have occurred with respect to an underlying security. Specifically, Interpretation and Policy .03 to CBOE rule 5.4 provides that the Exchange shall ordinarily rely on information made publicly available by the issuer and/or markets in which such security is traded. Telephone conversation between Patrick Sexton, CBOE, and Frank N. Genco, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, on February 11, 2003.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47400 (February 25, 2003), 68 FR 10286.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See letter from Richard S. Rudolph, Director and Counsel, Phlx to Deborah Lassman Flynn, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated February 26, 2003 ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, the Phlx replaces in its entirety the original proposed rule change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See letter from Richard S. Rudolph, Director and Counsel, Phlx to Deborah Lassman Flynn, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated March 27, 2003 ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, the Phlx replaces in its entirety Amendment No. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See letter from Richard S. Rudolph, Director and Counsel, Phlx to Deborah Lassman Flynn, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated April 9, 2003 ("Amendment No. 3"). In Amendment No. 3, the Phlx incorporates changes to the text of the Exchange rule 1080 that have been made in separate proposed rule change filings since the time the current proposed rule change was submitted.