

one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing 111(d) plan revisions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a revision for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a revision, to use VCS in place of a revision that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General

of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: April 21, 2003.

**Robert W. Varney,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA—New England.*

■ Part 62 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 62—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7411(d).

#### Subpart U—Maine

■ 2. Section 62.4845 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(5) to read as follows:

##### § 62.4845 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(5) A revision to the plan controlling TRS from existing kraft pulp mills to incorporate the pulp and paper maximum achievable control technology (MACT) requirements that impact TRS emission sources such as brownstock washer systems, low volume high concentration (LVHC) systems, steam strippers, and waste water treatment plants. Changes have also been made to clarify venting allowances and recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 03-10757 Filed 4-30-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-7489-6]

#### National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan National Priorities List Update

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of deletion of a portion of the South Indian Bend Wash Site from the National Priorities List

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IX is issuing a Notice of Deletion of a portion of the South Indian Bend Wash Site (Site) located in Tempe, Arizona, from the National Priorities List (NPL). The NPL is appendix B of 40 CFR part 300 which is the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended. The EPA and the State of Arizona, through the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, have determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 1, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean Hogan, Project Manager, U.S. EPA, Region IX, SFD-8-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, (415) 972-3261 or (800) 231-3075.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The area to be deleted from the NPL is a portion of the South Indian Bend Wash Superfund Site, in Tempe, Arizona. The exact area being deleted was defined in the Notice of Intent for Partial Deletion of a portion of the South Indian Bend Wash Site from the National Priorities List published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2003 (67 FR 51528).

The closing date for comments on the Notice of Intent to Delete was March 31, 2003. One comment was received in support of the partial deletion; this comment also requested consideration for deletion of another parcel at the Site. EPA will respond separately to this request and has not prepared a Responsiveness Summary. EPA identifies sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment, and it maintains the NPL as the list of those sites. Section 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP states that Fund-financed actions may be taken at sites deleted from the NPL in the unlikely event that conditions at these sites warrant such actions. Deletion of a site from the NPL does not affect responsible party liability or impede EPA's efforts to recover costs associated with response efforts.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous

substances, Hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Dated: April 14, 2003.

**Keith Takata,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 300—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 300 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923; 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

#### **Appendix B—[Amended]**

■ 2. In Table 1 of Appendix B to part 300, for Arizona, the entry for “Indian Bend Wash Area” is amended by adding “P” in the Notes column.

[FR Doc. 03–10547 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

### **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

#### **Administration for Children and Families**

#### **45 CFR Part 1309**

**RIN 0970—AB54**

#### **Head Start Program**

**AGENCY:** Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF), Administration for Children and Families (ACF).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Administration on Children, Youth and Families is amending a Final Rule which applies to the purchase of Head Start facilities in order to include in the Rule provisions implementing a statutory provision that authorizes the Agency to permit Head Start grantees to use grant funds to finance the construction and major renovation of Head Start facilities and to make other necessary changes.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 2, 2003.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Windy M. Hill, Associate Commissioner, Head Start Bureau, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, 330 C St., SW., Washington, DC 20447; (202) 205–8572.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Program Purpose**

Head Start is authorized under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9801 *et seq.*).

It is a national program providing comprehensive developmental services to low-income preschool children, primarily age three to the age of compulsory school attendance, and their families. To help enrolled children achieve their full potential, Head Start programs provide comprehensive health, nutritional, educational, social and other services. In addition, section 645A of the Head Start Act provides authority to fund programs serving infants and toddlers. Programs receiving funds under the authority of this section are referred to as Early Head Start programs.

Head Start programs are required to provide for the direct participation of the parents of enrolled children in the development, conduct, and direction of local programs. Parents also receive training and education to foster their understanding of and involvement in the development of their children. In fiscal year 2001, Head Start served 905,235 children through a network of over 2,000 grantees and delegate agencies.

While Head Start is intended to serve primarily children whose families have income at or below the poverty line, or who receive public assistance, Head Start policy permits up to 10 percent of the children in local programs to be from families who do not meet these low-income criteria. Tribal grantees can exceed this limit under certain conditions. The Act also requires that a minimum of 10 percent of the enrollment opportunities in each program be made available to children with disabilities. Such children are expected to participate in the full range of Head Start services and activities with their non-disabled peers and to receive needed special education and related services.

##### **II. Purpose of the Rule**

The Administration for Children and Families is establishing a final rule governing construction and renovation of Head Start facilities. The purpose of the Rule is to implement the statutory authority to permit Head Start grantees (including Early Head Start grantees) to use grant funds to construct or undertake major renovations of Head Start facilities. The authority for this Rule is section 644 (c), (f), and (g) and 645A (b)(9) of the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9801 *et seq.*). Paragraph (g) of section 644 was added by Pub. L. 103–252, Title I of the Human Services Amendments of 1994. Section 644(g)(1) requires that the Secretary establish uniform procedures for Head Start programs to request approval for payments of capital expenditures

related to the construction and major renovations of facilities.

### **III. Summary of the Major Provisions of the Final Rule**

- Defines major renovation to mean “a structural change to the foundation, roof, floor, or exterior or load-bearing walls of a facility, or extension of an existing facility to increase its floor area. Major renovation also means extensive alteration of an existing facility, such as to significantly change its function and purpose, even if such renovation does not include any structural change to the facility. Major renovation also includes a renovation of any kind which has a cost exceeding the lesser of \$200,000, adjusted annually to reflect the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (issued by the Bureau of Labor Statistics) beginning one year after the effective date of the amendments to these regulations, or 25 percent of the total annual direct costs approved for the grantee by ACF for the budget period in which the application is made.”

- Defines construction to mean new building, excludes renovations, alterations, additions or work of any kind to existing buildings.

- Specifies what information the grantee must provide to establish eligibility to be awarded grant funds for the construction or major renovation of a Head Start facility.

- Specifies the provisions of subordination of interest agreements between the Department and lenders.

- Requires that all construction and major renovation contracts be on a lump sum fixed-price basis.

### **IV. Rulemaking History**

On December 1, 1994, the Department published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 61575) proposing to establish a Rule to implement the statutory provision authorizing the use of Head Start grant funds to purchase facilities. The Final Rule on Purchase of Head Start Facilities was published on February 8, 1999 and became effective on March 10, 1999, with certain provisions involving information collection becoming effective when approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The Final Rule on purchase does not address construction or major renovation since the statutory change concerning construction and major renovation occurred too close to publication of the NPRM published in connection with the purchase of Head Start facilities. We recognized, however, that procedures covering the purchase,