

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") issues this final rule to revise and remove certain sections of the Commerce and Foreign Trade Regulation concerning the policies and procedures relating to employee responsibilities and conduct. The government-wide regulations of the Office of Government Ethics make these Department provisions obsolete.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 9, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Cox, Attorney-Advisor, telephone number: (202) 482-2442, fax: (202) 501-2295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In August, 1992, the Office of Government Ethics promulgated the Executive Branch Financial Disclosure, Qualified Trusts, and Certificate of Divestiture regulations, 5 CFR part 2634, and the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR part 2635. These regulations implemented the responsibilities and standards required by the 1978 Ethics in Government Act.

This final rule removes the following provisions from the Department's internal conduct regulations codified at 15 CFR part 0:

- Subparts B, C, and E;
- Sections 0.735-10a, 0.735-11, 0.735-12, 0.735-13, 0.735-14, and 0.735-15; and
- Appendices B and C.

These provisions are removed because they are superseded by provisions in the Ethics Program that are codified at 5 CFR parts 2634 and 2635.

This final rule also modifies the authority citation for 15 CFR part 0, and revises the language in 15 CFR section 0.735-2 to indicate that employees should refer to the standards of ethical conduct, financial disclosure, and other applicable regulations that are codified in 5 CFR part 2635 and 5 CFR part 2634.

Any supplementary regulations necessary, for Department-specific circumstances, may be promulgated in the future as provided by the current Office of Government Ethics regulations.

Classification

Executive Order 12866

This action has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 13132

It has been determined that this action does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined in Executive Order 13132.

Administrative Procedure Act

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C 553(b)(A) prior notice and an opportunity for public

comment are not required for this rule of agency organization, management, and procedure. Further, this rule of agency organization, management, and procedure is not a substantive rule subject to the 30-day delay in effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

As this rule is not subject to the requirement to provide prior notice and an opportunity for public comment pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, or any other law, it is not subject to the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain or involve any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35.

List of Subjects 15 CFR Part 0

Administrative practice and procedure, Conflict of interests.

Theodore W. Kassinger,
General Counsel.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Department of Commerce is amending 15 CFR part 0 as follows:

PART 0—EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBILITIES AND CONDUCT

■ 1. The authority citation for part 0 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301, 7301, 7353; 5 U.S.C. App. (Ethics in Government Act of 1978); 26 U.S.C. 7214(b); E.O. 12674, 54 FR 15159, 3 CFR 1989 Comp., p. 215, as modified by E.O. 12731, 55 FR 42547, 3 CFR 1990 Comp., p. 306; 5 CFR part 2635.

■ 2. Section 0.735-2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 0.735-2 Cross-references to ethical conduct, financial disclosure, and other applicable regulations.

Employees of the Department of Commerce should refer to the executive branch-wide Standards of Ethical Conduct at 5 CFR part 2635 and the executive branch-wide financial disclosure regulations at 5 CFR part 2634

■ 3. Subparts B and C are removed and reserved.

■ 4. In Subpart D, §§ 0.735.10a, 0.735-11, 0.735-12, 0.735-13, 0.735-14, 0.735-15 are removed and reserved.

■ 5. Subpart E is removed and reserved.

■ 6. Appendices B and C to part 0 are removed.

[FR Doc. 03-11490 Filed 5-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-BW-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Chapter 1

Change of Address; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending its regulations to reflect a change in the address for the Dockets Management Branch (DMB). This action is editorial in nature and is intended to improve the accuracy of the agency's regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 9, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Strong, Office of Policy and Planning (HF-27), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-827-7010.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the *Federal Register* of June 16, 1998 (63 FR 32888), FDA published a notice announcing the relocation of DMB from 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857, to its current location at 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. This document amends FDA's regulations to reflect DMB's change of address by removing the entire outdated address wherever it appears and by adding the new address in its place in 21 CFR parts 3, 7, 10, 12, 17, 25, 60, 100, 101, 109, 184, 201, 312, 314, 328, 330, 355, 500, 509, 520, 522, 601, 808, 812, 814, 1030, 1240, and 1250.

Publication of this document constitutes final action on these changes under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553). Notice and public procedure are unnecessary because FDA is merely correcting nonsubstantive errors.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

■ 1. Parts 3, 7, 10, 12, 17, 25, 60, 100, 101, 109, 184, 201, 312, 314, 328, 330, 355, 500, 509, 520, 522, 601, 808, 812, 814, 1030, 1240, and 1250 are amended by removing "12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857" or "rm. 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857" wherever they appear and by adding in their place "5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852."

Dated: May 2, 2003.

Jeffrey Shuren,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 03–11650 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[TD 9048]

Guidance Under Section 1502; Suspension of Losses on Certain Stock Dispositions; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to Treasury Decision 9048, which was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, March 14, 2003 (68 FR 12287) that redetermines the basis of stock of a subsidiary member or a consolidated group immediately prior to certain transfers of such stock and certain deconsolidations of a subsidiary member.

DATES: This correction is effective on March 14, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Aimee K. Meacham at (202) 622–7530 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulations that are the subject of this correction are under section 1502 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, TD 9048 contains an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Correction of Publication

■ Accordingly, 26 CFR Part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

■ **Paragraph 1.** The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

§ 1.1502–35T [Corrected]

■ **PAR. 2.** Section 1.1502–35T(b)(2)(ii)(B) is amended by removing the word “or” at the end of the paragraph.

LaNita Van Dyke,

Acting Chief, Regulations Unit, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 03–11591 Filed 5–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 311

[Administrative Instruction 81]

Privacy Act; Implementation

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Defense, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary of Defense is exempting a system of records in its inventory of systems of records pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dan Cragg at (703) 601–4722.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed rule was published on November 29, 2002, at 67 FR 71119–71120. One comment was received which has prompted a change in the final rule. The rule, as changed, is being adopted as final.

One public comment was received where the commenter expressed concern that the wording of the proposed rule appears to impute criminality to those individuals receiving background checks and appears to suspend the rights of those individuals interacting with the DoD. We disagree. As provided by law, the rule provides a basis for the Department to exempt specified records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act. It does not serve as a basis for making judgments regarding individuals on whom the Department conducts background checks. Neither does it act to suspend any rights the individual may be entitled to under DoD administered programs. The commenter observes that the rule is unnecessary and redundant. We disagree. The purpose of the rule is to preserve and protect the identity of a source who has been promised confidentiality in return for the information he or she is providing the Department. Because only a specific exemption can be claimed for the

records, the Department must establish the exemption in order to accomplish the desired objective. And finally, the commenter expresses the view that the scope of the rule is overly broad. We agree. The principal purpose of the claimed exemption is to protect the identity of a confidential source. We therefore have revised the rule so that the exemption is only being claimed for those provisions of the Act that are supportive of the overall purpose of the exemption.

Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review”

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense are not significant rules. The rules do not (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy; a sector of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another Agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive order.

Public Law 96–354, “Regulatory Flexibility Act” (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6)

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense do not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they are concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within the Department of Defense.

Public Law 96–511, “Paperwork Reduction Act” (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense impose no information requirements beyond the Department of Defense and that the information collected within the Department of Defense is necessary and consistent with 5 U.S.C. 552a, known as the Privacy Act of 1974.

Section 202, Public Law 104–4, “Unfunded Mandates Reform Act”

It has been determined that the Privacy Act rulemaking for the Department of Defense does not involve a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more