

| Regulatory commitments | Due date/event |
|--|---|
| [LICENSEE] [verified that it has or is making a regulatory commitment to develop] contingency plans for obtaining and analyzing highly radioactive samples from the RCS, containment sump, and containment atmosphere. The contingency plans will be contained in [specified document or program] and implementation [is complete, will be completed with the implementation of the License amendment, or will be completed within x days (< 6 months) after the implementation of the License amendment]. Establishment and maintenance of contingency plans is considered a regulatory commitment. | [Complete, implemented with amendment OR within X days of implementation of amendment]. |
| The capability for classifying fuel damage events at the Alert level threshold [has been or will be] established for [PLANT] at radioactivity levels of [300 mCi/cc dose equivalent iodine]. This capability will be described in [specified document or program] and implementation [is complete, will be completed with the implementation of the License amendment, or will be completed within x days (< 6 months) after the implementation of the License amendment]. The capability for classifying fuel damage events is considered a regulatory commitment. | [Complete, implemented with amendment OR within X days of implementation of amendment]. |
| [LICENSEE] [verified that it has or is making a regulatory commitment to develop] an ability to assess radioactive iodines released to offsite environs. The capability for monitoring iodines will be maintained within the [specified document or program]. Implementation of this commitment [is complete, will be completed with the implementation of the License amendment, or will be completed within x days (< 6 months) after the implementation of the License amendment]. The capability to monitor radioactive iodines is considered a regulatory commitment. | [Complete, implemented with amendment OR within X days of implementation of amendment]. |

Attachment 5—Possible Changes to TS Bases Pages

[FR Doc. 03–11840 Filed 5–12–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From:

Securities and Exchange Commission,
Office of Filings and Information
Services, Washington, DC 20549.
Extension: Rule 17a–25 SEC File No.
270–482, OMB Control No. 3235–
0504.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 17a–25 (17 CFR 240.17a–25) requires registered broker-dealers to electronically submit securities transaction information, including identifiers for prime brokerage arrangements, average price accounts, and depository institutions, in a standardized format when requested by the Commission staff. In addition, the rule also requires broker-dealers to submit, and keep current, contact person information for electronic blue sheets (“EBS”) requests. The

Commission uses the information for enforcement inquiries or investigations and trading reconstructions, as well as for inspections and examinations.

The Commission estimates that it sends approximately 14,000 electronic blue sheet requests per year. Accordingly, the annual aggregate hour burden for electronic and manual response firms is estimated to be 1,820 hours and 525 hours, respectively. In addition, the Commission estimates that it will request 1,400 broker-dealers to supply the contact information identified in Rule 17a–25(c) and estimates the total aggregate burden hours to be 350. Thus, the annual aggregate burden for all respondents to the collection of information requirements of Rule 17a–25 is estimated at 2,695 hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate Executive Director/CIO, Office of

Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 2, 2003.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–11882 Filed 5–12–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–47800; File No. SR–CHX–2003–08]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated Relating to Execution of Resting Limit Orders Following a Primary Market Block Trade-Through

May 6, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice hereby is given that on March 24, 2003, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated (“CHX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend Article XX, rule 37 of the CHX rules, which governs, among other things, execution of resting limit orders following a block trade-through in the primary market. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Commission or the CHX.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received regarding the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to amend Article XX, rule 37 of the CHX rules, which governs, among other things, execution of resting limit orders following a block trade-through in the primary market. Under existing Exchange rules relating to listed securities, whenever a block trade³ in the primary market trades through a CHX specialist's quote, the specialist must execute all limit orders in the book (that are priced at the block price or better) at the block price.⁴

The CHX believes that this requirement was likely instituted as a marketing tool to attract new customers when trading occurred in much larger variations and trading on regional exchanges was somewhat less common.

³ A block trade is a trade that involves (a) a trade of "block size" (10,000 shares or more, or with a market value of \$200,000 or more); and (b) either (i) a cross of block size (where a single firm represents all of one side of the transaction and all or a portion of the other side) or (ii) any other transaction where a single firm represents an order of block size on only one side of the transaction, so long as the transaction does not occur at the Exchange's current bid or offer. At the time a transaction occurs on another market, the CHX can determine whether it is a *block size trade*; the CHX does not yet know, however, which firms were on which sides of the transaction and therefore cannot then determine whether it meets the other requirements of a *block trade*.

⁴ See CHX Article XX, rule 37(a)(3).

Today, trading on regional exchanges is not a new phenomenon. Moreover, the CHX represents that because the vast majority of block trades are not identified as such when they occur, it is impossible for a specialist to know, at the time of a particular block-size trade-through, whether or not limit orders must be filled at the block price. As a result, the specialist often fills the orders at the limit price and adjusts them to the better block price when it is confirmed that a block trade occurred. The Exchange represents that the practice of manually correcting execution prices is a large inconvenience to some key CHX order-sending firms, which must send out two trade confirmations to each customer "one that is generated as soon as the trade occurs and a second to reflect the corrected execution price."

The delays associated with confirming the appropriate execution price for orders subject to this requirement are not appropriate in the fast-paced, automated markets that exist today. Therefore, the CHX is proposing to eliminate the requirement that a CHX specialist fill resting limit orders at the block price following a block trade-through in the primary market.⁵ Recognizing that many specialists may wish to continue filling such limit orders at the block price as a customer service accommodation, however, the proposed rule change would permit a CHX specialist to continue to have the option to engage an existing functionality of the Exchange's MAX automatic execution system that automatically executes designated limit orders at the block price when a block size trade-through occurs in the primary market.⁶

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of section 6(b) of the Act.⁷ In particular, the proposed rule is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁸ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable

⁵ If, however, a specialist is representing an order in his or her quote that is traded through by a block trade from another market, and the specialist receives satisfaction from the other market, the specialist must give the higher price to the customer order.

⁶ This functionality was approved by the Commission and implemented in early January of 2003. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47068 (December 20, 2002), 67 FR 79671 (December 30, 2002).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

principles of trade, to remove impediments and to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments Regarding the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No.

SR-CHX-2003-08 and should be submitted by June 3, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-11881 Filed 5-12-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-47805; File No. SR-Phlx-2003-34]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Payment for Order Flow Fees for the Top 120 Options

May 6, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 25, 2003, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which the Phlx has prepared. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Phlx proposes to establish its options payment for order flow fees imposed on the transactions of Phlx Registered Options Traders ("ROTs") for the period from May through July 2003 for the top 120 options based on volume statistics from January, February, and March 2003,³ as set forth on the ROT Equity Option Payment for Order Flow Charges Schedule.⁴ The rate levels have remained unchanged: The top-ranked

option is charged a fee of \$1.00 per contract, the next 49 options are charged a fee of \$0.50 per contract, and the fee for the remaining options in the top 120 is set at \$0.00.

The Phlx's ROT Equity Option Payment for Order Flow Charges Schedule is available at the Phlx and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Phlx included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it had received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Phlx has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The Phlx recently filed with the Commission to reinstate its payment for order flow program.⁵ Pursuant to the Phlx's current program, Phlx ROTs are assessed a payment for order flow fee on the top 120 most actively traded equity options, on a per-contract, per-options issue basis, as set forth on Phlx's ROT Equity Option Payment for Order Flow Charges Schedule, subject to certain exceptions.⁶

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to establish the payment for order flow fees for trades settling on or after May 1, 2003 through July 31, 2003 for the applicable top 120 options. The Phlx will file with the Commission a proposed rule change to address changes to the Phlx's fee schedule for subsequent time periods. No other changes to the Phlx's payment for order flow program are being made at this time.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47090 (December 23, 2002), 68 FR 141 (January 2, 2003) (SR-Phlx-2002-75).

⁶ The payment for order flow fee does not apply to transactions between: (1) a ROT and a specialist; (2) a ROT and a ROT; (3) a ROT and a firm; and (4) a ROT and a broker-dealer. Indeed, because the primary focus of the program is to attract order flow from customers, the payment for order flow fee is not imposed on the above-specified transactions. Also, the payment for order flow fee does not apply to index or foreign currency options.

2. Statutory Basis

The Phlx believes that its proposal to amend its schedule of dues, fees and charges is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act⁷ and in particular furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act⁸ in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees among Phlx members.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Phlx does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Phlx neither solicited nor received written comments with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has been designated as a fee change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder.¹⁰ Accordingly, the proposal will take effect upon filing with the Commission. At any time within 60 days after the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate the rule change if it appears to the Commission that the action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78(s)(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ The Phlx's payment for order flow fee is assessed on ROTs on the top 120 most actively traded equity options in terms of the total number of contracts that are traded nationally based on volume statistics provided by the Options Clearing Corporation. The measuring periods for the top 120 options are calculated every three months. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47424 (February 28, 2003), 68 FR 11168 (March 7, 2003) (SR-Phlx-2003-04). This cycle is scheduled to continue every three months, with a separate proposed rule change filed for each three-month trading period.

⁴ To avoid confusion, the ROT Equity Option Payment for Order Flow Charges Schedule reflects only those options being charged more than \$0.00