paragraph (c) of this section before removing any requirements under § 50.69(b)(1) for those SSCs.

- (2) Following implementation of this section, licensees and applicants shall update their final safety analysis report (FSAR) to reflect which systems have been categorized in accordance with § 50.71(e).
- (3) When a licensee first implements this section for a SSC, changes to the FSAR for the implementation of the changes in accordance with § 50.69(d) need not include a supporting § 50.59 evaluation of the changes directly related to implementation. Thereafter, changes to the programs and procedures for implementation of § 50.69(d), as described in the FSAR, may be made if the requirements of this section and § 50.59 continue to be met.
- (4) When a licensee first implements this section for a SSC, changes to the quality assurance plan for the implementation of the changes in accordance with § 50.69(d) need not include a supporting § 50.54(a) review of the changes directly related to implementation. Thereafter, changes to the programs and procedures for implementation of § 50.69(d), as described in the quality assurance plan may be made if the requirements of this section and § 50.54(a) continue to be met
- (g) Reporting. The licensee shall submit a licensee event report under § 50.73(b) for any event or condition that would have prevented RISC–1 or RISC–2 SSCs from performing a safety-significant function.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 6th day of May, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03–11696 Filed 5–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–U

#### **FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION**

# 12 CFR Chapter VI

RIN 3052-AC15

## Statement on Regulatory Burden

**AGENCY:** Farm Credit Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of intent; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit Administration (FCA, our, or we) is seeking public comment on the appropriateness of the requirements it imposes on the Farm Credit System (System). We ask for comments on our regulations and policies that may duplicate other requirements, are ineffective, or impose burdens that are greater than the benefits received. We are taking this action to improve the regulatory framework within which System institutions operate.

**DATES:** Please send your comments to the FCA by July 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by electronic mail to reg-comm@fca.gov, through the Pending Regulations section of the FCA's interactive Web site at http://www.fca.gov, or through the Government-wide http:// www.regulations.gov portal. You may also send written comments to Robert E. Donnelly, Acting Director, Regulation and Policy Division, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090 or by facsimile to (703) 734-5784. Copies of all comments we receive can be reviewed at our office in McLean, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Markowitz, Policy Analyst, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4498, TTY (703) 883–4434; or Dale Aultman, Policy Analyst, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4498, TTY (703) 883–4434; or Gary K. Van Meter, Senior Counsel, Regulatory Enforcement Division, Office of General Counsel, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4020, TTY (703) 883–4020.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Objective

Consistent with law, safety, and soundness, the objective of this notice is to continue our efforts to identify and review FCA regulations and policies that:

- May duplicate other requirements;
- Are ineffective; or
- Impose burdens that are greater than the benefits received.

## II. Background

The FCA is the independent Federal agency in the executive branch of the Government responsible for examining and regulating System institutions. As a Government-sponsored enterprise, the System primarily provides loans to farmers, ranchers, aquatic producers and harvesters, agricultural cooperatives, and rural utilities.

From 1988 through 1992, as part of our initial effort to eliminate regulatory burden, we reduced, by more than 70 percent, the number of matters that required "prior approval" by the FCA.

In 1993, we took an additional step to provide relief by requesting public comments on regulatory requirements that are no longer necessary, which the FCA may have imposed on the System. See 58 FR 34003 (June 23, 1993). After reviewing the comments received, we eliminated or streamlined many regulatory requirements.

The Farm Credit System Reform Act of 1996 (Reform Act) states that we made considerable progress in reducing regulatory burden on System institutions. The Reform Act also requires that we continue our efforts to relieve regulatory burden.<sup>1</sup>

In 1998, we provided the public a summary of the actions we took in response to the 1993 solicitation and again requested public comments on regulatory requirements that are no longer necessary. See 63 FR 44176 (August 18, 1998) and 63 FR 64013 (November 18, 1998). After we reviewed and analyzed the comments received, we:

- 1. Repealed or amended 16 FCA regulations through a direct final rulemaking <sup>2</sup>—See 64 FR 43046 (August 9, 1999);
- 2. Informed the public of the regulations we retained without amendment because they either are required by the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Act), or protect the safety and soundness of the System—See 65 FR 21128 (April 20, 2000); and
- 3. Addressed other regulatory burden issues in separate regulatory projects and other guidance, including:
- Loan Purchases and Sales Final Regulation—See 67 FR 1281 (January 10, 2002);
- Stock Issuance Final Regulation— See 66 FR 16841 (March 28, 2001);
- Disclosure to Shareholders Final Regulation—See 66 FR 14299 (March 12, 2001);
- Investment Management Final Regulation—See 64 FR 28884 (May 28, 1999); and
- Policy and Reporting Changes for Young, Beginning, and Small Farmers and Ranchers Programs Bookletter—See BL-040 (December 11, 1998).

# III. Continuing Efforts To Reduce Regulatory Burden

Future regulatory projects, including proposed regulations on Distressed Loan Restructuring (*See* 68 FR 5595, February 4, 2003) and Effective Interest Rates (*See* 68 FR 5587, February 4, 2003), will address many of the regulatory burden

64 FR 55621 (October 14, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Pub. L. 104–105, § 212, 110 Stat. 174 (1996). <sup>2</sup> However, the amended regulation on approval of insider loans was subsequently withdrawn. See

comments from the 1998 notice. In addition, we will consider the remaining comments from our 1998 notice in subsequent rulemakings and other guidance.

### **IV. Requesting Comments**

In light of changes in the financial industry and its customers since our 1998 notice, we request comments on any FCA regulations or other guidance <sup>3</sup> that may duplicate other requirements, are ineffective, or impose burdens that are greater than the benefits received.

Your comments are appreciated and will assist us in our continuing efforts to identify and reduce regulatory burden on System institutions. We will also continue our efforts to maintain and adopt regulations and policies that are necessary to implement the Act and ensure the safety and soundness of the System. These actions will enable the System to better serve America's farmers, ranchers, aquatic producers and harvesters, agricultural cooperatives, and rural utilities in changing agricultural credit markets.

Dated: May 13, 2003.

#### Jeanette C. Brinkley,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 03–12264 Filed 5–15–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-SW-44-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter France Model AS350B, AS350BA, AS350B1, AS350B2, AS350B3, AS350C, AS350D, AS350D1, AS355E, AS355F, AS355F1, AS355F2, and AS355N Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes superseding an existing airworthiness directive (AD) for the specified Eurocopter France (ECF) model helicopters. The existing AD requires inspecting the main rotor swashplate bearing (bearing) for play or binding, proper assembly and lubrication, and measuring the swashplate rotational

torque. In addition, that AD requires plugging the nonrotating swashplate vent holes and barrel nut orifices. This amendment would eliminate most of those AD actions because they are now incorporated into the Airworthiness Limitations section of the maintenance manual but would retain the requirements for the initial and repetitive inspections and lubrication of the main rotor swashplate and clarify that repetitive maintenance of the main rotor swashplate and bearing is required at intervals not to exceed 100 hours time-in-service (TIS). This proposal is prompted by the need to clarify the AD wording to avoid any misinterpretation of the required interval for inspecting and lubricating the main rotor swashplate and bearing. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the bearing and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–SW–44–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov. Comments may be inspected at the Office of the Regional Counsel between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Grigg, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110, telephone (817) 222–5490, fax (817) 222–5961.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this document may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this proposal must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 2002–SW–44–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Discussion

On March 21, 1990, the FAA issued AD 89–21–01, Amendment 39–6562, Docket No. 89–ASW–53 (55 FR 12332, April 3, 1990), to require inspecting the bearing for play or binding, proper assembly and lubrication, and for measuring the swashplate rotational torque. In addition, that AD requires plugging the nonrotating swashplate vent holes and barrel nut orifices at specified hours TIS. The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent failure of the bearing, which could result in loss of helicopter control.

Since issuing that AD, an FAA inspector reports that the repetitive lubrication requirement in paragraph (c) of AD 89-21-01 requiring lubrication "within every 100 hours' additional time-in-service" is being misinterpreted by a certain operator to only require lubrication every 199 hours rather than the intended 100-hour interval. Therefore, the inspector recommends that AD 89-21-01 be rewritten to clearly state that lubrication of the bearings be required at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS. To remove any doubt as to the intended lubrication interval, we propose to adopt the suggested language. The additional requirements contained in AD 89-21-01 for inspecting and servicing the main rotor swashplate are omitted from this proposal because they are contained currently in the mandatory Airworthiness Limitations section of the **Eurocopter Master Servicing** Recommendations (maintenance manual) for the Model AS 350, dated April 26, 2001, and for the Model AS 355, dated May 31, 2001.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type designs. Therefore, the proposed AD would supersede AD 89–21–01 to clarify that the required inspection and lubrication interval of the main rotor swashplate must be accomplished within 10 hours TIS,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FCA regulations and other guidance may be reviewed through the FCA Handbook section of the FCA's Web site at http://www.fca.gov.