

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
<p>(A) Incorporate an FAA-approved repair scheme obtained from the manufacturer; or</p> <p>(B) Fly the airplane "unpressurized only" and continue to inspect for cracks every 10 hours TIS.</p> <p>(iv) If any crack is found during an inspection required by paragraph (d)(3), the airplane may not be utilized until an FAA-approved repair scheme (obtained from the manufacturer) is incorporated.</p>		

Note 1: As earlier specified in this AD, flight is not permitted if crack damage is found.

Note 2: As earlier specified in this AD, FAA approval is required to fly pressurized beyond 90 days or 600 takeoffs/landings, whichever occurs first, from date of repair for type "C" damage.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

- (1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and
- (2) The Standards Office Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Standards Office Manager.

Note 3: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference?* Actions required by this AD must be done in accordance with Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. PC-12 Service Bulletin No. 53-003, Revision 1, dated July 26, 2002. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get copies from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer

Liaison Manager, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41 619 63 19; facsimile: +41 41 619 6224; or from Pilatus Business Aircraft Ltd., Product Support Department, 11755 Airport Way, Broomfield, Colorado 80021; telephone: (303) 465-9099; facsimile: (303) 465-6040. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in Swiss AD Number HB 2002-608, dated November 1, 2002.

(i) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on July 28, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 27, 2003.

David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-13793 Filed 6-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NM-102-AD; Amendment 39-13184; AD 2003-11-24]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328-100 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. This action requires installation of retainers instead of washers in the upper and lower torsion bars of the rudder tab. This action is necessary to prevent a spring tab torsion bar from slipping through its retaining adapters, which could result in a loose

spring tab; the loss of both tension springs could allow the spring tab to flutter and result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective June 23, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 23, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before July 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NM-102-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-iarccomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2003-NM-102-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via fax or the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from FAIRCHILD DORNIER, DORNIER Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D-82230 Wessling, Germany. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington

98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. The LBA advises that, on an affected airplane, a lower torsion spring was found to be loose and an upper torsion spring had migrated. The torsion spring system is part of the rudder tab control and comprises two torsion springs and adapters. The design of the torsion spring and structure adapters could result in a poor fit, allowing the spring to slip through the adapters. Loss of both tension springs, if not corrected, could allow the spring tab to flutter and result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Dornier has issued Service Bulletin SB-328-27-298, Revision 1, dated November 21, 2002, which describes procedures for installation of a retainer instead of a washer in the upper and lower torsion bars of the rudder tab. Accomplishment of the action specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German airworthiness directive 2003-104, dated April 3, 2003, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to prevent a spring tab torsion bar from slipping through its retaining adapters, which could result in a loose spring tab; the loss of both tension springs could

allow the spring tab to flutter and result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This AD requires installation of a retainer instead of a washer in the upper and lower torsion bars of the rudder tab. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39/Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material will no longer be included in each individual AD; however, the office authorized to approve AMOCs will be defined in each individual AD.

Determination of Rule's Effective Date

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2003-NM-102-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2003–11–24 Dornier Luftfahrt GMBH:
Amendment 39–13184. Docket 2003–NM–102–AD.

Applicability: Model 328–100 series airplanes, certificated in any category, as listed in Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–27–298, Revision 1, dated November 21, 2002.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a spring tab torsion bar from slipping through its retaining adaptors, which could result in a loose spring tab; and to further prevent the loss of both tension springs, which could allow the spring tab to flutter and result in reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Retainer Installation

(a) Within 2 months after the effective date of this AD: Install a retainer instead of a washer in the upper and the lower torsion bars of the rudder, in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–27–298, Revision 1, dated November 21, 2002. Installation of a retainer before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–27–298, dated March 26, 1999, is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this paragraph.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(c) Unless otherwise specified in this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–27–298, Revision 1, dated November 21, 2002. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Fairchild Dornier, Dornier Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D–82230 Wessling, Germany. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note: The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directive 2003–104, dated April 3, 2003.

Effective Date

(d) This amendment becomes effective on June 23, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 29, 2003.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 03–13974 Filed 6–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000–NE–47–AD; Amendment 39–13177; AD 2003–11–18]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt and Whitney PW4000 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), that is applicable to Pratt and Whitney (PW) model 4000 series turbofan engines. That AD currently requires interim actions to address engine takeoff power loss events until the high-pressure-compressor (HPC) case is redesigned and available for incorporation on the PW4000 engines. This amendment requires the same actions as that AD, adds on-wing Testing–21 to engines installed on Boeing 747 and MD–11 airplanes, and adds the requirement to install a new Ring Case Configuration (RCC) rear HPC on engines installed in the Boeing fleet as terminating action to the requirements of this AD. This amendment is prompted by the development of an RCC rear HPC for PW4000 series turbofan engines installed in the Boeing fleet. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent engine takeoff power losses due to HPC surge.

DATES: Effective July 7, 2003. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 7, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications, as listed in the regulations, were approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 17, 2002 (67 FR 1, January 2, 2002), and November 12, 2002 (67 FR 65484, October 25, 2002).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108, telephone (860)

565–6600; fax (860) 565–4503. This information may be examined, by appointment, at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane Cook, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7133; fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 2002–21–10, Amendment 39–12916 (67 FR 65484, October 25, 2002), which is applicable to PW model 4000 series turbofan engines was published in the **Federal Register** on April 7, 2003, (68 FR 16736). That action proposed to require interim actions to address engine takeoff power loss events until the HPC case is redesigned and available for incorporation on the PW4000 engines. That action also proposed to add on-wing Testing–21 to engines installed on Boeing 747 and MD–11 airplanes, and add the requirement to install a new RCC rear HPC on engines installed in the Boeing fleet as terminating action to the requirements of this AD.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Embedded Engine Configuration

One commenter states that proposed paragraph (u)(1)(ii) embeds an engine configuration that is not listed in Table 1 of the proposed AD and requires operators to replace the rear hook regardless of whether or not it is worn beyond serviceable limits. In addition, the commenter states that it is an undue burden on the operators to track and maintain an additional build configuration not previously tracked.

The FAA does not agree. For engines installed on Boeing airplanes, after the effective date of this AD, any time a Segmented Case Configuration (SCC) HPC module is disassembled to a level that separates the HPC rear case assembly from the HPC module at the H flange, the RCC rear HPC must be incorporated making the replacement of the rear hook a non-issue. After May 31, 2006, any SCC HPC engine installed on